

## Review of the 2020 Urban Area Changes and Joint Agreement History



## 2010 to 2020 GIS Urban Comparison

• U.S. Census Urban Areas 2010 and 2020 (arcgis.com)



#### **Urban Area Census Data for 2020:**

2020 Urban Area	2020 Population	Land area (square miles)		
Bonita Springs—Estero	425,675	243.0		
Cape Coral	599,242	331.8		
Port Charlotte-North Port	199,998	134.7		
Bradenton-Sarasota-Venice	779,075	404.3		

#### **Urban Area Census Data for 2010:**

2010 Urban Area	2010 Population	Land area (square miles)		
Bonita Springs	310,298	187.0		
Cape Coral	530,290	330.3		
North Port-Port Charlotte	169,541	119.9		
Sarasota-Bradenton	643,260	326.7		

Lee County MPO	760,822	3.66%	730,937	3.74%	726,806	3.81%
Bonita SpringsEstero, FL (part - total pop = 425,675)	-	-	126,872	0.65%	126,872	0.66%
BradentonSarasotaVenice, FL (part - total pop = 779,075)	-	-	973	0.00%	973	0.01%
Burnt Store Marina, FL	-	-	2,076	0.01%	-	-
Cape Coral, FL (part - total pop = 599,242)	-	-	598,961	3.06%	598,961	3.14%
St. James City, FL	-	-	2,055	0.01%	-	-
Collier MPO	375,752	1.81%	332,079	1.70%	298,803	1.56%
Bonita SpringsEstero, FL (part - total pop = 425,675)	-	-	298,803	1.53%	298,803	1.56%
Immokalee, FL	-	-	23,485	0.12%	-	-
Orangetree, FL	-	-	9,791	0.05%	-	-
Charlotte County-Punta Gorda MPO	188,086	0.90%	175,732	0.90%	173,617	0.91%
BradentonSarasotaVenice, FL (part - total pop = 779,075)	-	-	44,519	0.23%	44,519	0.23%
Burnt Store Marina, FL	-	-	2,115	0.01%	-	-
Cape Coral, FL (part - total pop = 599,242)	-	-	203	0.00%	203	0.00%
Port CharlotteNorth Port, FL (part - total pop = 199,998)	-	-	128,895	0.66%	128,895	0.68%

#### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### URBANIZED AREA POPULATION ESTIMATES April 1, 2011

II S. Canada Burana	2010	Population	Change	2011
U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Urbanized Areas	Urbanized	2010 -	2011	Urbanized
20 10 Orbanized Areas	Population	Numerical	Percent	Population
Bonita Springs	310,298	2,378	0.8%	312,676
Collier County (Part)	259,499	1,840	0.7%	261,339
Lee County (Part)	50,799	537	1.1%	51,336
Cape Coral Charlotte County (Part)	530,290 172	5,609 1	1.1% 0.3%	<b>535,899</b> 173
Lee County (part)	530,118	5,608	1.1%	535,726
Deltona	182,169	297	0.2%	182,466
Volusia County (part)	182,169	297	0.2%	182,466
Fort Walton BeachNavarreWright	191,917	1,997	1.0%	193,914
Okaloosa County (Part)	126,512	614	0.5%	127,126
Santa Rosa County (Part) Walton County (Part)	55,939 9,466	1,304 79	2.3% 0.8%	57,243 9,545
Gainesville	187.781	49	0.0%	187.830
Alachua County (Part)	187,781	49	0.0%	187,830
Homosassa SpringsBeverly HillsCitrus Springs*	80,962	-130	-0.2%	80,832
Citrus County (Part)	79,279	-132	-0.2%	79,147
Marion County (Part)	1,683	2	0.1%	1,685
Jacksonville	1,065,219	1,560	0.1%	1,066,779
Clay County (Part) Duval County (Part)	157,054 839,100	193 327	0.1% 0.0%	157,247 839,427
St. Johns County (Part)	69,065	1,040	1.5%	70,105
Kissimmee	314,071	5,091	1.6%	319,162
Orange County (Part)	105,700	1,046	1.0%	106,746
Osceola County (Part)	208,371	4,044	1.9%	212,415
Lady LakeThe Villages	112,991	1,902	1.7%	114,893
Lake County (Part) Marion County (Part)	16,649 44,104	70 54	0.4% 0.1%	16,719 44,158
Sumter County (Part)	52,238	1,778	3.4%	54,016
Lakeland	262,596	1,181	0.4%	263,777
Hillsborough County (Part)	436	3	0.8%	439
Polk County (Part)	262,160	1,178	0.4%	263,338
LeesburgEustisTavares Lake County (Part)	131,337 129.684	<b>601</b> 545	0.5% 0.4%	<b>131,938</b> 130,229
Sumter County (Part)	1,653	545 56	3.4%	1,709
Miami	5,502,379	30,552	0.6%	5,532,931
Broward County (Part)	1,747,770	5,133	0.3%	1,752,903
Martin County (Part)	4,909	13	0.3%	4,922
Miami-Dade County (Part) Palm Beach County (Part)	2,486,340 1,263,360	19,984 5,422	0.8% 0.4%	2,506,324 1,268,782
North PortPort Charlotte	169,541	639	0.4%	170,180
Charlotte County (Part)	108,948	355	0.3%	109,303
DeSoto County (Part)	1,251	-6	-0.5%	1,245
Sarasota County (Part)	59,342	290	0.5%	59,632
Ocala Marion County (Bart)	<b>156,909</b> 156,909	<b>190</b> 190	0.1% 0.1%	157,099
Marion County (Part)  Orlando			0.1%	157,099
Lake County (Part)	1,510,516 82,411	<b>12,328</b> 346	0.8%	<b>1,522,844</b> 82,757
Orange County (Part)	1,010,858	10,007	1.0%	1,020,865
Osceola County (Part)	7,877	153	1.9%	8,030
Seminole County (Part)	409,370	1,823	0.4%	411,193

### Previous Board Actions on Urbanized Census Changes

In 2003, the Lee and Collier MPO's were advised to combine or address coordination issues. The two MPO's developed a regional coordination agreement in response and jointly approved it (included being on each others TAC, working jointly on LRTP's, joint meetings etc.).

In 2013 the MPO's were asked to combine or provide reasons why they were different and why it didn't make sense. The two MPO's met jointly and passed a resolution stating that we were remaining separate.

#### JOINT RESOLUTION 2013 - 01

JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE LEE COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION AND COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION IN SUPPORT OF THE REDESIGNATION OF THEIR RESPECTIVE CURRENT PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, BASED UPON THE SIZE AND COMPLEXITY OF THEIR EXISTING MPO PLANNING AREAS.

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2013, the Lee County and Collier Metropolitan Planning Organizations (collectively, the "MPOs") each received a letter from Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Secretary Ananth Prasad, P.E., requesting the MPOs to review and evaluate whether having multiple MPOs representing Lee and Collier's shared urbanized areas is still warranted upon consideration of the 2010 Census data; and

WHEREAS, the Collier and Lee County MPOs met on March 22, 2013 at a regularly scheduled Joint Lee County / Collier MPO meeting; and

WHEREAS, that joint meeting was held in part to discuss, among other regional items, whether having multiple MPOs representing the shared urbanized area[s] is warranted or if the existing MPOs should be consolidated; and

WHEREAS, after thoughtful consideration and discussion, the MPOs determined that their respective Planning Areas comprise distinct and complex urbanized areas that are better addressed through their respective Planning Organizations, with continued regional coordination between the MPOs as necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION AND THE LEE COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

- While the metropolitan areas of the two MPOs are contiguous, they are distinct and complex urbanized areas.
- It is appropriate and in the public's best interest for the urbanized areas to retain two separate MPOs.
- It is desirable and strongly urged that the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization and the Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization continue to coordinate regional transportation planning and policy activities as separate MPOs.

This Resolution was PASSED and DULY ADOPTED JOINTLY by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization and the Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization on March 22, 2013.

Updated
Joint
Agreement

Last signed version is from 2018

A draft was circulated in 2022

New 2023 legislative requirements from HB 425

## 2023 Legislative Impacts (HB 425)

- If there is more than one MPO designated within the boundary of one urbanized area, each MPO for the area must:
  - o Consult with every other MPO designated for the urbanized area and the state to coordinate plans and TIPs.
  - o Ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, the consistency of data used in the planning process, including data used in forecasting travel demand within the urbanized area.
- Prohibits MPOs from performing production or delivery for capital improvement projects on the SHS.
- In developing its LRTP and TIP, it requires each MPO to:
  - Support the economic vitality of the contiguous urbanized metropolitan area.
  - Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between contiguous urbanized metropolitan areas, for people and freight; and
  - o Improve the resilience of transportation infrastructure.



## 2023 Legislative Impacts (HB 425)

- Requires each MPO to prepare a congestion management system for the contiguous urbanized metropolitan area.
- Requires that, whenever possible, representatives of intermodal logistics centers be included on the TAC.
- Requires MPOs to consider the proportional representation of the area's population when selecting TAC membership.
- Removes legislative findings regarding transportation projects crossing from one MPO's jurisdiction into another MPO's jurisdiction.



## 2023 Legislative Impacts (HB 425)

- Provides that multiple MPOs may merge as a single MPO.
- Requires multiple MPOs within a contiguous urbanized area to coordinate the development of LRTPs to be reviewed by the MPOAC.
- Requires multiple MPOs within a contiguous urbanized area to ensure consistency in the data used in the planning process to the maximum extent possible.
- Adds 'ensuring safety' to the list of principles to be considered by each MPO when developing a
  LOPP and a TIP, requires multiple MPOs within a contiguous urbanized area to coordinate TIPs,
  and requires each MPO's TIP to indicate coordination or alignment with TIPs of other MPOs within
  the contiguous urbanized area.
- Abolishes the Chairs Coordinating Committee and requires the MPOs serving Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties submit a feasibility report by December 31, 2023, exploring the benefits, costs, and process of consolidation into a single MPO serving the contiguous urbanized area, with specified goals. (This is also included in HB 1305 Department of Transportation.)



## Comments or Questions



# Recommendation for the 2020 Lee and Collier MPO Apportionment Plans and Funding Allocations

## Transportation Management Areas (TMA's) Population Greater Than 200,000

District		TMA	Population	% Based on Population for All Areas	% Based on Population for TMA's
01	X01	Cape Coral	530,290	2.82%	3.65%
01	X02	Sarasota-Bradenton	643,260	3.42%	4.43%
02	X03	Jacksonville	1,065,219	5.67%	7.33%
03	X04	Pensacola-AL	333,801	1.78%	2.30%
04	X05	*Ft. Lauderdale, Hollywood, Por	1,752,679	9.32%	12.06%
04	X06	*W Palm Bch, Boca Raton, Delr	1,263,360	6.72%	8.69%
05	X07	Daytona Beach - Port Orange	349,064	1.86%	2.40%
05	X08	Palm Bay-Melbourne	452,791	2.41%	3.12%
05	X09	Orlando	1,510,516	8.03%	10.39%
06	X10	*Miami	2,486,340	13.22%	17.11%
07	X11	Tampa	2,441,770	12.99%	16.80%
01	X12	Bonita Springs - Naples	310,298	1.65%	2.14%
03	X13	Tallahassee	240,223	1.28%	1.65%
04	X14	Port St. Lucie	376,047	2.00%	2.59%
01	X15	Lakeland	262,596	1.40%	1.81%
01	X16	Winter Haven	201,289	1.07%	1.38%
05	X17	Kissimmee	314,071	1.67%	2.16%
		Total	14,533,614	77.30%	100.00%

<sup>\*</sup> Consolidated into Miami TMA by 2010 Census



#### **Schedule - A Federal Fund Allocations**

					Current Year		Five	Year Work Progra	am		Five Year	Current + 5Yr
Fund	I BD	Dist Area	unit	Bal-Fwd	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	WP Total	WP Total
SU - Po	pulati	on Greater t	han 20	00K								
SU	01	X01	\$ K	9,256.006	9,374.064	9,561.545	9,752.776	9,947.831	9,947.831	9,947.831	49,157.815	58,531.879
SU	01	X02	\$ K	13,285.512	11,371.062	11,598.483	11,830.453	12,067.062	12,067.062	12,067.062	59,630.120	71,001.182
SU	02	X03	\$ K	8,357.021	18,830.132	19,206.735	19,590.870	19,982.687	19,982.687	19,982.687	98,745.666	117,575.798
SU	03	X04	\$ K	13,616.352	5,900.680	6,018.694	6,139.068	6,261.849	6,261.849	6,261.849	30,943.310	36,843.991
SU	04	X05	\$ K	19,868.948	30,982.528	31,602.178	32,234.222	32,878.906	32,878.906	32,878.906	162,473.120	193,455.648
SU	04	X06	\$ K	27,154.175	22,332.718	22,779.373	23,234.960	23,699.659	23,699.659	23,699.659	117,113.311	139,446.029
SU	05	X07	\$ K	6,546.757	6,170.488	6,293.898	6,419.776	6,548.171	6,548.171	6,548.171	32,358.188	38,528.677
SU	05	X08	\$ K	7,761.160	8,004.095	8,164.177	8,327.461	8,494.010	8,494.010	8,494.010	41,973.668	49,977.763
SU	05	X09	\$ K	21,576.023	26,701.754	27,235.789	27,780.505	28,336.115	28,336.115	28,336.115	140,024.641	166,726.395
SU	06	X10	\$ K	66,282.259	43,951.630	44,830.662	45,727.276	46,641.821	46,641.821	46,641.821	230,483.401	274,435.031
SU	07	X11	\$ K	16,042.534	43,163.755	44,027.030	44,907.571	45,805.722	45,805.722	45,805.722	226,351.768	269,515.523
SU	01	X12	\$ K	10,294.034	5,485.212	5,594.917	5,706.815	5,820.951	5,820.951	5,820.951	28,764.585	34,249.797
SU	03	X13	\$ K	2,369.733	4,246.480	4,331.409	4,418.037	4,506.398	4,506.398	4,506.398	22,268.641	26,515.121
SU	04	X14	\$ K	10,008.775	6,647.473	6,780.423	6,916.031	7,054.352	7,054.352	7,054.352	34,859.509	41,506.982
SU	01	X15	\$ K	4,255.604	4,641.973	4,734.812	4,829.508	4,926.098	4,926.098	4,926.098	24,342.616	28,984.588
SU	01	X16	\$ K	892.246	3,558.234	3,629.399	3,701.987	3,776.026	3,776.026	3,776.026	18,659.465	22,217.699
SU	05	X17	\$ K	1,682.990	5,551.909	5,662.947	5,776.206	5,891.730	5,891.730	5,891.730	29,114.342	34,666.250
		Total SU	\$ K	239,250.129	256,914.188	262,052.471	267,293.521	272,639.391	272,639.391	272,639.391	<u>1,347,264.165</u>	<u>1,604,178.353</u>



#### **Schedule - A Federal Fund Allocations**

					Current Year		Five	Year Work Progra	am		Five Year	Current + 5Yr
Fund B	D Di	st Area	unit	Bal-Fwd	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	WP Total	WP Total
TALU - Por	pulatio	n Greater	than	200K								
TALU 0	1 X0	1	\$ K	1,360.890	1,385.986	1,414.572	1,443.730	1,473.471	1,473.471	1,473.471	7,278.714	8,664.701
TALU 0	1 X0	2	\$ K	1,698.183	1,681.249	1,715.925	1,751.294	1,787.371	1,787.371	1,787.371	8,829.331	10,510.580
TALU 02	2 X0	3	\$ K	2,582.530	2,784.097	2,841.519	2,900.090	2,959.831	2,959.831	2,959.831	14,621.103	17,405.200
TALU 03	3 X0	4	\$ K	858.995	872.435	890.429	908.783	927.504	927.504	927.504	4,581.723	5,454.159
TALU 04	4 X0	5	\$ K	3,982.098	4,580.869	4,675.350	4,771.719	4,870.017	4,870.017	4,870.017	24,057.119	28,637.988
TALU 04	4 X0	6	\$ K	2,368.009	3,301.966	3,370.069	3,439.534	3,510.388	3,510.388	3,510.388	17,340.769	20,642.735
TALU 0	5 X0	7	\$ K	1,000.363	912.327	931.144	950.337	969.914	969.914	969.914	4,791.222	5,703.549
TALU 0	5 X0	8	\$ K	1,307.708	1,183.432	1,207.840	1,232.737	1,258.131	1,258.131	1,258.131	6,214.970	7,398.402
TALU 0	5 X0	9	\$ K	2,853.103	3,947.943	4,029.369	4,112.424	4,197.139	4,197.139	4,197.139	20,733.211	24,681.153
TALU 06	6 X1	0	\$ K	8,610.291	6,498.394	6,632.423	6,769.133	6,908.577	6,908.577	6,908.577	34,127.286	40,625.680
TALU 0	7 X1	1	\$ K	5,048.812	6,381.904	6,513.531	6,647.790	6,784.734	6,784.734	6,784.734	33,515.522	39,897.426
TALU 0	1 X1	2	\$ K	612.761	811.007	827.734	844.795	862.198	862.198	862.198	4,259.123	5,070.130
TALU 03	3 X1	3	\$ K	621.383	627.856	640.806	654.014	667.487	667.487	667.487	3,297.280	3,925.136
TALU 04	4 X1	4	\$ K	1,202.482	982.851	1,003.122	1,023.799	1,044.889	1,044.889	1,044.889	5,161.588	6,144.439
TALU 0	1 X1	5	\$ K	750.804	686.331	700.487	714.925	729.653	729.653	729.653	3,604.370	4,290.701
TALU 0	1 X1	6	\$ K	648.759	526.097	536.947	548.015	559.304	559.304	559.304	2,762.875	3,288.972
TALU 0	5 X1	7	\$ K	577.278	820.868	837.798	855.067	872.682	872.682	872.682	<u>4,310.911</u>	5,131.779
	Tota	al TALU	\$ K	36,084.449	37,985.614	38,769.065	39,568.186	40,383.288	40,383.288	40,383.288	<u>199,487.115</u>	237,472.729

## Current Funding Allocation Coordination Activities

Lee and Collier MPO staff working with FDOT regarding the split of SU, TALU & CARU funds based on the portion of Bonita - Estero urban area population in the Lee MPO planning area

Those funds would then be split based on the urban population of Lee at 726,806 and the urban population of Collier at 298,803

Implementation would take place at the end of the current work program to not impact already programmed projects


Recommendation would be to do a joint letter to follow what we have done in the past and memorialize this for the future

Due to FDOT by November 14, 2023

Apportionment Plans

Both MPO's are not planning on any MPO Board membership changes


Falls into the Minor/No Changes Category and each MPO will put together a resolution for the Central Office



2020 Population

Participating Agency	Unincorporated Area	Bonita Springs	Cape Coral	Fort Myers	Estero	Fort Myers Beach	Sanibel	Countywide Total	Pearson's Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Percent RMS Error
2020 Census Population	377,864	53,644	194,016	86,395	36,939	5,582	6,382	760,822	0.97	0.90	
Current Membership	5	2	5	3	1	1	1	18			64%
Apportioned by Population	8.94	1.27	4.59	2.04	0.87	0.13	0.15	18.00			
Population per Member	75,573	26,822	38,803	28,798	36,939	5,582	6,382	42,268			





#### 2020 Population

Participating Agency	Unincorporated Area	Naples	Marco Island	Everglades City	Countywide Total	Pearson's Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Percent RMS Error
2020 Census Population	340,525	19,115	15,760	352	375,752	0.93	0.98	
Current Membership	5	2	1	1	9			110%
Apportioned by Population	8.16	0.46	0.38	0.01	9.00			
Population per Member	68,105	9,558	15,760	352	41,750			

## Planning Funds Distribution Formula

#### **Each MPO Receives:**

- A base amount of \$350,000
- Additional funds proportionate to the MPO urban area population vs. urban area population in the state
- MPO's that merge retain base amount for both until the next time this is done

## Planning Funds Calculation

TMA	No.	MPO/TPO	POPULATION	RATIO	Current Formula		
-	<b>‡</b> †	▼	₩	-	\$350k Base 🔻		
Non-TMA	1	Bay County TPO	150,319	0.79%	\$492,778		
TMA	2	Broward MPO	1,944,233	10.16%	\$2,196,698		
TMA	3	Capital Region TPA	252,934	1.32%	\$590,245		
Non-TMA	4	Charlotte County-Punta Gorda MPO	172,587	0.90%	\$513,929		
TMA	5	Collier MPO	298,803	1.56%	\$633,813		
TMA	6	Florida-Alabama TPO	459,752	2.40%	\$786,688		
TMA	7	Forward Pinellas	957,280	5.00%	\$1,259,257		
TMA	8	Gainesville MTPO	213,748	1.12%	\$553,025		
Non-TMA	9	Heartland Regional TPO	64,589	0.34%	\$411,349		
Non-TMA	10	Hernando/Citrus MPO	238,011	1.24%	\$576,071		
TMA	11	Hillsborough MPO	1,406,155	7.35%	\$1,685,613		
Non-TMA	12	Indian River County MPO	148,215	0.77%	\$490,780		
Non-TMA	13	Lake-Sumter MPO	393,241	2.06%	\$723,514		
TMA	14	Lee County MPO	726,806	3.80%	\$1,040,345		
TMA	15	Martin MPO	141,334	0.74%	\$484,244		
TMA	16	MetroPlan Orlando	2,215,958	11.59%	\$2,454,792		
TMA	17	Miami-Dade TPO	2,678,436	14.00%	\$2,894,069		
TMA	18	North Florida TPO	1,389,965	7.27%	\$1,670,236		
Non-TMA	19	Ocala/Marion County TPO	238,221	1.25%	\$576,270		
TMA	20	Okaloosa-Walton TPO	168,374	0.88%	\$509,927		
TMA	21	Palm Beach TPA	1,449,833	7.58%	\$1,727,100		
TMA	22	Pasco County MPO	500,879	2.62%	\$825,752		
TMA	23	Polk TPO	599,247	3.13%	\$919,185		
TMA	24	River to Sea TPO	612,835	3.20%	\$932,091		
TMA	25	Sarasota/Manatee MP0	804,502	4.21%	\$1,114,143		
TMA	26	Space Coast TPO	583,073	3.05%	\$903,822		
TMA	27	St. Lucie TPO	317,579	1.66%	\$651,647		
					\$ 27,617,382		

## Comments or Questions



## Status of I-75 Master Plan, SIS CF Plan, 2050 LRTP Revenue Estimates and Moving Florida Forward

August 3, 2023



## I-75 South Corridor Master Plan

I-75 (SR 93) from South of Collier Boulevard (SR 951) to North of Bayshore Road (SR 78)

#### **Final - Master Plan Summary Report**

June 2023

PREPARED FOR:

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - DISTRICT 1

PREPARED BY:

H. W. Lochner, Inc.

FINANCIAL PROJECT IDENTIFICATION (FPID) NO. 442519-1-12-01

ETDM No. 14400

The Florida Department of Transportation may adopt this planning product into the environmental review process, pursuant to Title 23 U.S.C. § 168(d)(4), or to the state project development process.






Figure 5-5: No Build Design Year (2045) Northbound I-75 Mainline Years of Need and Level of Service



Figure 5-6: No Build Design Year (2045) Southbound I-75 Mainline Years of Need and Level of Service

Table 5.6: No Build Design Year (2045) Merge and Diverge Year of Need (HCM)

			Northbound			Southbound	
I-75 Ramp	Analysis Type	Year of Need	2045 LOS	2045 Density (pc/mi/ln)	Year of Need	2045 LOS	2045 Density (pc/mi/ln)
Bayshore Road (SR 78) Off-ramp	Diverge	> 2045	С	27.4	> 2045	А	10.0
Bayshore Road (SR 78) On-ramp	Merge	> 2045	В	17.4	> 2045	D	32.5
Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80) Off-ramp	Diverge	2036	F	37.7	> 2045	В	17.0
Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80) On-ramp	Merge	> 2045	В	18.0	> 2045	D	33.9
Luckett Road Off-ramp	Diverge	2034	F	47.9	2036	F	39.0
Luckett Road On-ramp	Merge	2036	F	38.3	2033	F	45.1
MLK Boulevard (SR 82) Off-ramp	Diverge	2033	F	42.9	2033	F	44.0
MLK Boulevard (SR 82) On-ramp	Merge	2035	F	41.9	2033	F	45.6
Colonial Boulevard Off-ramp	Diverge	2027	F	55.2	2032	F	44.1
Colonial Boulevard On-ramp	Merge	2033	F	42.8	2031	F	48.4
Daniels Parkway Off-ramp	Diverge	2030	F	47.5	2029	F	55.5
Daniels Parkway On-ramp	Merge	2030	F	47.5	2021	F	70.2
Alico Road/Terminal Access Road Off-ramp	Diverge	2034	F	39.6	2030	L	50.8
Alico Road/Terminal Access Road On-ramp	Merge	2030	F	38.2	2035	F	44.1
Corkscrew Road Off-ramp	Diverge	2031	F	48.9	2031	F	51.9
Corkscrew Road On-ramp	Merge	2034	F	42.4	2033	F	46.0
Bonita Beach Road Off-ramp	Diverge	2036	F	42.5	2031	F	52.6
Bonita Beach Road On-ramp	Merge	2034	F	43.0	2036	F	41.7
Immokalee Road Off-ramp	Diverge	> 2045	D	34.8	2030	F	48.4
Immokalee Road On-ramp	Merge	2039	F	39.3	2045	F	33.2
Pine Ridge Road Off-ramp	Diverge	> 2045	F	33.4	2040	F	37.5
Pine Ridge Road On-ramp	Merge	> 2045	F	31.5	> 2045	D	29.6
Golden Gate Parkway Off-ramp	Diverge	> 2045	С	21.3	> 2045	D	29.1
Golden Gate Parkway On-ramp	Merge	> 2045	F	33.1	> 2045	В	16.7
Collier Boulevard (SR 951) Off-ramp	Diverge	> 2045	С	20.9	> 2045	В	16.6
Collier Boulevard (SR 951) On-ramp	Merge	> 2045	В	17.4	> 2045	В	16.2
Collier Boulevard (SR 951) On-ramp (Loop)	Merge	> 2045	В	13.1			

Table 5.7: No Build Design Year (2045) Interchange Year of Need (Vissim)

I-75 Interchange	AM Year of Breakdown			Basis of Need
Immokalee Road	2034	2025	2025	Immokalee Rd capacity constraints
Bonita Beach Road	2041	10	2041	Interchange configuration and capacity constraints
Corkscrew Road	2032		2032	Corkscrew Rd and adjacent intersection capacity constraints
Alico Road	2039	2025	2025	Three Oaks Pkwy westbound left-turn capacity (>800 veh/hr) and eastbound Alico Rd queue spillback east of interchange
Daniels Parkway	2039	2027	2027	High volume increase at Fiddlesticks Blvd intersection (part of Three Oaks Pkwy Extension) and high volume on eastbound Daniels Pkwy
MLK Boulevard (SR 82)	2026	2026	2026	MLK Blvd capacity constraints (westbound in the AM peak period and eastbound in the PM peak period)
Luckett Road	2025	2025	2025	Stop-controlled ramp terminals, no left-turn lane at Country Lakes Dr, and eastbound Luckett Rd capacity constraints
Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80)	2044	2034	2034	Orange River Blvd eastbound capacity constraints
Bayshore Road (SR 78)		2028	2028	Ramp capacity (1950 veh/hr on single-lane off-ramps)
Bayshore Road (SR 75)	-	2040	2040	Ramp capacity (1950 veh/hr on two-lane northbound off- ramp)



Table 5.5: No Build Design Year (2045) Weave Segment Year of Need (HCM)

			Northbound			Southbound		
I-75 Segment	Analysis Type	Year of Need	2045 LOS	2045 Density (pc/mi/ln)	Year of Need	2045 LOS	2045 Density (pc/mi/ln)	
North of Bayshore Road (SR 78)	Basic	> 2045	В	16.6	> 2045	В	16.7	
Bayshore Road (SR 78) to SR 80	Basic	> 2045	С	21.6	> 2045	C	21.7	
Palm Beach Blvd (SR 80) to Luckett Road	Basic	> 2045	D	33.9	2045	E	35.1	
Luckett Road to MLK Boulevard (SR 82)	Basic	2029	F	82.2	2028	F	118.0	
MLK Boulevard (SR 82) to Colonial	Basic	2042	E	39.2	2041	E	40.7	
Boulevard	Weave	2021	F	-	> 2045	С	21.5	
Colonial Boulevard to Daniels Parkway	Basic	2027	F	146.3	2027	F	167.3	
Daniele Barkway to CD Board Barns	Basic	2039	F	45.1	2037	F	50.2	
Daniels Parkway to CD Road Ramp	Weave	2037	F	-	2031	F	-	
CD Road Ramp to Alico Road	Basic	> 2045	D	29.4	> 2045	D	34.5	
Alico Road to Corkscrew Road	Basic	2029	F	84.4	2029	F	102.3	
Corkscrew Road to Bonita Beach Road	Basic	2029	F	84.5	2028	F	123.8	
Bonita Beach Road to Immokalee Road	Basic	2034	F	58.1	2030	F	85.3	
Immokalee Road to Pine Ridge Road	Basic	2041	E	40.3	2038	F	46.7	
Pine Ridge Road to Golden Gate Parkway	Basic	2044	E	36.4	2043	E	37.6	
Golden Gate Parkway to Collier Boulevard (SR 951)	Basic	> 2045	В	17.6	> 2045	В	17.3	
South of Collier Boulevard (SR 951)	Basic	> 2045	В	16.6	> 2045	A	10.9	

#### 2.6 Interchanges

There are 14 existing interchanges within the study limits as shown in **Table 2.2**. Interchanges with modifications either currently funded or planned are indicated in **blue** in the table. The I-75 South Corridor Master Plan study area and interchanges are depicted in **Figure 2-3**.

Table 2.2: I-75 Interchanges

County	MP	Exit#	I-75 Interchange	Existing (2022) Interchange Type		
Lee	28.3	143	Bayshore Road (SR 78)	Diamond		
Lee	26	141	Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80)	Diamond		
Lee	24.1	139	Luckett Road	Diamond		
Lee	22.6	138	Martin Luther King (MLK), Jr. Boulevard (SR 82)	Diamond		
Lee	21	136	Colonial Boulevard	1-Quadrant Partial Cloverleaf		
Lee	16.4	131	Daniels Parkway	1-Quadrant Partial Cloverleaf		
Lee	13.9	;**:	Terminal Access Road (aka Airport Access Road)	Trumpet		
Lee	12.6	128	Alico Road	2-Quadrant Partial Cloverleaf		
Lee	8.3	123	Corkscrew Road	Diamond		
Lee	1.0	116	Bonita Beach Road	Diamond		
Collier	60.5	111	Immokalee Road	Diamond		
Collier	56.1	107	Pine Ridge Road	Diamond		
Collier	53.7	105	Golden Gate Parkway	1-Quadrant Partial Cloverleaf		
Collier	50.3	101	Collier Boulevard (SR 951)	Diamond		




Figure 2-3: Interchanges

### I-75 Mainline Alternatives

Three Build alternatives were considered for the I-75 South Corridor: Managed Lanes (ML), General Purpose (GP), and Thru Lanes plus Local Lanes (TL+LL) and no tolling. The Thru Lanes plus Local Lanes Alternative was selected and analyzed as the Proposed Mainline Alternative for the Master Plan because it mitigates congestion, promotes a better distribution of traffic across all lanes, and offers an option for users to travel longer distances on the Interstate while avoiding the ramp-to-ramp turbulence of those using the Interstate for shorter distance trips. The Proposed Mainline Alternative line diagram is shown in Figure 6-1.





Figure 6-1: Proposed Mainline Alternative Line Diagram






#### LEGEND:

GP GENERAL PURPOSE LANE AUX - AUXILIARY LANE LA R/W - LIMITED ACCESS RIGHT OF WAY

Figure 6-4: Proposed Typical Section #3





#### LEGEND:

GP - GENERAL PURPOSE LANE AUX - AUXILIARY LANE LA R/W - LIMITED ACCESS RIGHT OF WAY

Figure 6-5: Proposed Typical Section #4



\$254,069,989

\$2,794,769,878

Contingency (10% of Subtotal + MOT + Mobilization)

SOUTH CORRIDOR GRAND TOTAL

Table 6.4: Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate for Preliminary I-75 South Corridor Segments

Segment	Description	Roadway	Bridge	Drainage	Signing	Pavement Markings	Lighting	ITS	Interchange Improvements	Segment Subtotal
1	Collier Boulevard (SR 951) Interchange	\$1,660,370	\$0	\$5,025,173	\$1,416,000	\$37,117	\$1,335,531	\$1,352,000	\$0	\$0
2	from Collier Boulevard (SR 951) interchange to Golden Gate Parkway interchange	\$6,106,184	\$0	\$11,274,324	\$669,000	\$65,195	\$0	\$1,820,000	\$0	\$0
3	Golden Gate Parkway interchange	\$1,860,760	\$0	\$5,621,842	\$1,428,000	\$41,489	\$1,207,853	\$1,115,000	\$0	\$0
4	from Golden Gate Parkway interchange to Pine Ridge Road interchange	\$18,219,148	\$0	\$8,031,556	\$406,000	\$36,207	\$0	\$1,015,000	\$0	\$27,707,911
5	Pine Ridge Road interchange	\$3,191,765	\$1,723,800	\$7,780,552	\$1,392,000	\$60,778	\$1,483,964	\$1,015,000	\$0	\$16,647,858
6	from Pine Ridge Road interchange to Immokalee Road interchange	\$25,053,199	\$2,163,600	\$19,891,144	\$884,000	\$76,711	\$0	\$2,470,000	\$0	\$50,538,654
7	Immokalee Road interchange	\$2,234,235	\$1,211,200	\$3,769,311	\$1,368,000	\$52,584	\$851,688	\$880,000	\$150,000,000	\$160,367,019
8	from Immokalee Road interchange to Bonita Beach Road interchange	\$18,653,267	\$856,000	\$22,132,243	\$872,000	\$80,435	\$0	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$44,993,944
9	Bonita Beach Road interchange from Bonita Beach Road interchange	\$2,657,605	\$4,124,800	\$5,253,665	\$1,380,000	\$86,356	\$830,562	\$995,000	\$50,000,000	\$65,327,989
10	to Corkscrew Road interchange	\$35,021,206	\$6,406,299	\$42,712,597	\$1,565,000	\$147,003	\$0	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$89,852,104
11	Corkscrew Road interchange from Corkscrew Road interchange to	\$3,971,602	\$4,031,700	\$4,801,653	\$2,855,000	\$89,736	\$961,193	\$925,000	\$50,000,000	\$67,635,885
12	Alico Road interchange	\$60,691,278	\$6,213,880	\$31,679,519	\$848,000	\$158,657	\$0	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$101,891,333
13	Alico Road interchange	\$44,340,326	\$0	\$16,531,687	\$1,440,000	\$108,472	\$768,478	\$1,330,000	\$250,000,000	\$314,518,964
14	from Alico Road interchange to Terminal Access Road interchange	\$4,885,365	\$0	\$3,123,024	\$179,000	\$14,874	\$0	\$485,000	\$0	\$8,687,263
15	Terminal Access Road interchange	\$16,821,676	\$4,190,900	\$9,774,482	\$1,392,000	\$53,998	\$1,454,413	\$1,045,000	\$0	\$34,732,470
16	from Terminal Access Road interchange to Daniels Parkway interchange	\$26,283,430	\$0	\$12,645,821	\$406,000	\$64,454	\$0	\$1,305,000	<b>\$</b> O	\$40,704,706
17	Daniels Parkway interchange	\$44,114,745	\$6,791,400	\$13,624,334	\$4,366,000	\$192,458	\$1,211,594	\$1,260,000	\$0	\$71,560,531
18	from Daniels Parkway interchange to Colonial Boulevard interchange	\$47,982,583	\$3,764,900	\$34,363,387	\$860,000	\$168,573	\$0	\$2,460,000	\$0	\$89,599,442
19	Colonial Boulevard interchange	\$42,549,404	\$7,697,600	\$13,650,903	\$4,366,000	\$191,408	\$1,341,105	\$1,195,000	\$0	\$70,991,420
20	from Colonial Boulevard interchange to MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange	\$11,049,842	\$0	\$6,031,185	\$203,000	\$31,293	\$0	\$955,000	\$0	\$18,270,321
21	MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange	\$20,738,370	\$6,318,000	\$7,043,889	\$1,368,000	\$53,386	\$1,169,686	\$910,000	\$250,000,000	\$287,601,332
22	from MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange to Luckett Road interchange	\$11,555,858	\$0	\$6,178,737	\$203,000	\$29,748	\$0	\$655,000	\$0	\$18,622,343
23	Luckett Road interchange	\$34,324,559	\$5,304,000	\$12,145,897	\$4,354,000	\$178,163	\$1,267,010	\$1,185,000	\$50,000,000	\$108,758,628
24	from Luckett Road interchange to Palm Beach Blvd (SR 80) interchange	\$24,416,013	\$0	\$9,964,210	\$227,000	\$49,580	\$0	\$835,000	\$0	\$35,491,803
25	Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80) interchange	\$8,296,026	\$3,506,800	\$4,505,037	\$2,867,000	\$79,437	\$1,020,320	\$1,045,000	\$100,000,000	\$121,319,621
26	from Caloosahatchee Bridge to Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange	\$1,543,142	\$7,099,600	\$3,686,981	\$215,000	\$21,892	\$0	\$1,115,000	\$0	\$13,681,616
27	Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange	\$1,350,250	\$0	\$3,292,759	\$1,368,000	\$38,771	\$969,458	\$910,000	\$50,000,000	\$57,929,238
28	from Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange to end of project	\$687,102	\$0	\$2,184,162	\$191,000	\$11,474	\$0	\$628,000	\$0	\$3,701,738
							SOU	TH CORRIDOR SUBTOTAL MOT (15% OF Subtotal)		134,131
	Mobilization (15% of Subtotal + MOT)  Mobilization (15% of Subtotal + MOT)							\$288,170,120 \$331,395,638		
	Wood and Control (1997) of Suprish (1997)								\$331,393,036	

Note: These cost estimates do not have the benefit of a PD&E Preferred Alternative engineering level cost estimate and do not have a cost and schedule risk analysis workshop factored in as required in PD&E for FHWA major projects. These factors, and the current economic uncertainty around cost increases due to inflation, should be factored in when using these planning level estimates for 5-year work programming.

#### 6.4.1 Right of Way Cost

Right of way costs were estimated based on planning level cost per acre provided by FDOT. Planning level costs vary by county and by rural and urban context. **Table 6.5** shows the assumptions. For the I-75 South Corridor, all of the acreage is classified as urban. **Table 6.6** displays the planning level right of way cost estimates by segment. Detailed tabulation of each component of the right of way cost estimate is provided in **Appendix C**.

Table 6.5: Planning Level Right of Way Cost Per Acre Assumptions

County	Urban Per Acre	Rural Per Acre
Collier	\$1M / acre	\$0.5M / acre
Lee	\$1M / acre	\$0.5M / acre

Table 6.6: Planning Level Right of Way Cost Estimates for I-75 South Corridor

Segment	Description	Right of Way Acreage Needed	Right of Way Cost*			
1	Collier Boulevard (SR 951) Interchange	0	\$0			
2	from Collier Boulevard (SR 951) interchange to Golden Gate Parkway interchange	0	\$0			
3	Golden Gate Parkway interchange	0.00	\$0			
4	from Golden Gate Parkway interchange to Pine Ridge Road interchange	9.58	\$10,000,000			
5	Pine Ridge Road interchange	6.85	\$5,000,000			
6	from Pine Ridge Road interchange to Immokalee Road interchange	25.33	\$25,000,000			
7	Immokalee Road interchange	9.79	\$10,000,000			
8	from Immokalee Road interchange to Bonita Beach Road interchange	39.62	\$40,000,000			
9	Bonita Beach Road interchange	38.80	\$40,000,000			
10	from Bonita Beach Road interchange to Corkscrew Road interchange	75.93	\$75,000,000			
11	Corkscrew Road interchange	30.00	\$30,000,000			
12	from Corkscrew Road interchange to Alico Road interchange	54.98	\$55,000,000			
13	Alico Road interchange	61.20	\$60,000,000			
14	from Alico Road interchange to Terminal Access Road interchange	5.15	\$5,000,000			
15	Terminal Access Road interchange	17.18	\$15,000,000			
16	from Terminal Access Road interchange to Daniels Parkway interchange	22.68	\$25,000,000			
17	Daniels Parkway interchange	27.11	\$25,000,000			
18	from Daniels Parkway interchange to Colonial Boulevard interchange	58.41	\$60,000,000			
19	Colonial Boulevard interchange	26.60	\$25,000,000			
20	from Colonial Boulevard interchange to MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange	10.31	\$10,000,000			
21	MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange	42.49	\$40,000,000			
22	from MLK, Jr. Boulevard (SR 82) interchange to Luckett Road interchange	10.38	\$10,000,000			
23	Luckett Road interchange	53.05	\$55,000,000			
24	from Luckett Road interchange to Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80) interchange	18.17	\$20,000,000			
25	Palm Beach Boulevard (SR 80) interchange	22.31	\$20,000,000			
26	from Caloosahatchee Bridge to Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange	0.00	\$0			
27	Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange	30.00	\$30,000,000			
28	from Bayshore Road (SR 78) interchange to end of project	0.00	\$0			
	TOTAL	695.92	\$690,000,000			

\*Rounded to nearest \$5 million




Figure 8-1: No Build Year of Need (South Corridor)

Table 8.1: I-75 South Corridor - Preliminary Master Plan Projects List

Project#	Segment*	Interchange/I-75	Construction Cost				
1	7	Immokalee Rd	Interim DDI under existing structure and adjacent intersection improvements	\$233.2M			
2	21	MLK Blvd (SR 82)	Major reconstruction of interchange and adjacent intersection improvements (possible grade separation and a two- or three-level interchange)	\$418.4M			
38	19-25	I-75	Mainline improvements from Colonial Blvd to Palm Beach Blvd (SR 80)	\$105.3M			
зb	10-23	Luckett Rd	DDI and adjacent intersection improvements, including signal at Country Lakes Dr.	\$158.3M			
4	13	Alico Rd	Major reconstruction of interchange and adjacent intersection improvemtents (possible grade separation and two- or three-level interchange)	\$457.5M			
5a	13-18	Daniels Pkwy	Re-evaluate proposed County improvements at Fiddlesticks Blvd as part of Three Oaks Extension project	TBD			
5b		1-75	Mainline improvements from Alico Rd to Colonial Blvd	\$356.9M			
68	27	Bayshore Rd (SR 78)	TBD				
6b	25	Palm Beach Blvd (SR 80)	Adjacent intersection improvements at Orange River Blvd	TBD			
78		I-75	Mainline improvements from Immokalee Rd to Alico Rd	\$392.3M			
7b	7-12	Corkscrew Rd	\$98.3M				
8	17	Daniels Pkwy	Revisit interim DDI for additional improvements if needed after mainline bridges are reconstructed	TBD			
	9	Bonita Beach Rd	DDI and adjacent intersection improvements	\$95.0M			
10	7	Immokalee Rd	Revisit interim DDI for additional improvements if needed after mainline bridges are reconstructed	TBD			
11	27	Bayshore Rd (SR 78)	DDI and adjacent intersection improvements (reconstruct I-75 bridges if needed)	\$176.5			
128	3-6	I-75	Mainline improvements from Golden Gate Pkwy to Immokalee Rd	\$138.1M			
126	3-0	Golden Gate Pkwy  Displaced Left Diamond and adjacent intersection improvements		\$161.9M			
14	25	Palm Beach Blvd (SR 80)	Displaced Left Diamond and adjacent intersection improvements	\$176.5			
15	5	Pine Ridge Rd	Revisit interim DDI for additional improvements if needed after mainline bridges are reconstructed	TBD			


Note: Construction estimates include 15% for Maintenance of Traffic, 15% for Mobilization and 10% for Contingencies.

TBD = To Be Determined

\*- Segment Numbers from Table 6.4. and depicted on Figure 8.1

LEE Immokalee (29) W Main St **Immo**kalee 415 SEE INSET 0.5 (29) HENDRY Fine Ridge Rd 20 19 65 = 32 = C1 & C2 Naples Legend COLLIER Collier County Major Intersection Improvement COUNTY Adjacent County Minor Intersection Improvement Airport Interchange or Flyover ■ Interstate 23 Intersection or Interchange Project Number - U.S. Highway -(9)- State Highway 61B Roadway Project Number County Road Location to be determined upon PD&E Study --- Railroad Rattlesnake Hammock Rd 2 Lanes 6 Lanes 4 Lanes Other 41} 小 GULF OF MEXICO 10 Miles MONROE

Figure ES-4. 2045 Needs Plan Project Map



# MOVING FLORIDA FORWARD | ACCELERATING 20 PRIORITY PROJECTS

Subject to change. Listed alphabetically by county.







#### STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM • Long Range Cost Feasible Plan • FY 2033-2050



ID FACILITY		FROM TO	ТО	Design			Right of Way / Construction			P3 Funds			Other Funds	IMPRV
	FACILITY	FROW	10	PDE	PE	TOTAL	ROW	CON	TOTAL	COST	Begin Yr	#Yrs	TOTAL	TYPE
3982	-4	West of US 98	East of US 98	2,250	2,250	4,500	30,000	75,000	105,000					M-INCH
3962	-4	West of Socrum Loop Rd/Lakeland Hills Blv	East of Socrum Loop Rd/Lakeland Hills Blvd	3,000	3,000	6,000								M-INCH
4049 I		West of Polk Parkway (East) (SR 570)	West of US 27	3,000	4,233	7,233								MGLANE
3985	-4	West of Polk Parkway (West) (SR 570)	East of US 98	1,875	1,875	3,750								MGLANE
3986		East of US 98	West of Polk Parkway (East) (SR 570)	2,613	2,613	5,226				<u> </u>				MGLANE
4048 I	-75	at SR 80		3,000		3,000								M-INCH
3966	-75	South of SR 681 (Venice Connector)	South of SR 72 (Clark Road)	3,000	3,406	6,406	90,000	125,248	215,248					M-INCH
3975 I	-75	US 17 (Duncan Road) NB Off/SB On Gore Po	US 17 (Duncan Road) NB On/SB Off Gore Po	1,218	1,218	2,436	30,000	40,600	70,600					M-INCH
3964	-75	South of Alico Road/Terminal Access Road	North of Alico Road/Terminal Access Road	3,000	15,000	18,000	144,000	520,711	664,711					M-INCH
3965 I	-75	South of Luckett Road	North of Luckett Road	3,000	· ·	3,000								M-INCH
3972	-75	I-275 (SR 93)	North of Moccasin Wallow Road (SR 93A)	3,000	7,621	10,621	18,000	309,259	327,259					M-INCH
3979 I	-75	North of University Parkway	North of SR 70	3,000	7,470	10,470	11,000		11,000					MGLANE
3963	-75	South of Immokalee Road	North of Immokalee Road	3,000	6,999	9,999	10,000		10,000					M-INCH
3967	-75	South of SR 82 (Martin Luther King Jr. Boule		3,000	12,552	15,552	103,000		103,000					M-INCH
3968	-75	North of SR 884 (Colonial Boulevard)	South of SR 80 (Palm Beach Boulevard)	3,000	2,044	5,044								MGLANE
3969	-75	North of Corkscrew Road	North of SR 884 (Colonial Boulevard	3,000	14,222	17,222								MGLANE
3970 I	-75	South of Bonita Beach Road	North of Corkscrew Road	3,000	3,921	6,921							1	A4-10
3971	-75	SR 78 (Bayshore Road) NB Off/SB on Gore P		3,000	3,287	6,287								M-INCH
3973	-75		South of Bonita Beach Road	3,000	5.162	8,162								A4-10
3974		CORP. DOOR DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACTOR OF CONT	South of I-275 (SR 93)	2,531	- 1	2,531								A4-10
3976	-75		North of Corkscrew Road	2,952	2,952	5,904								M-INCH
3978			North of US 301 (SR 43)	3,000	11,829	14,829	16,000		16,000					MGLANE
3980	W	North of SR 72 (Clark Road)	North of University Parkway	3,000		3,000								MGLANE
3981		Sumter Boulevard	North of SR 72 (Clark Road)	3,000	15,000	18,000	26,000	611,372	637,372				1	A4-10
3983			North of Bonita Beach Road	2,851	2,851	5,702	20,000	,	,					M-INCH
	Piney Point Rd		Reeder Rd.		1,100	1.100	565	3,765	4,330					A2-4
	SR 29 (In-Town) By-pass		New Market Rd.		2,200			52,979	52,979				1	NR
3348			SR 78 (Bayshore Rd.)				20.478	32,373	20,478				1	A4-6
3604			Grape Hammock Rd				14.345	44.878	59.223					A2-4
3605		20 20	E. of Kissimmee River Bridge		4.000	4.000	1,370	22,006	23,376				. 1	A2-4
3954		CR 676	Jenkins Road	2,000	4,000	6,000	2,0 / 0							GRASEP
3953			Mosaic Entrance Road	1,250	4,000	5,250					1			GRASEP
3359			US 27	1,200	4,700	5,900								A2-4
3363			US 27	2,200	6,396	6,396	2,491	25,461	27.952					A2-4
3958			CR 760	2,000	3,000	5,000	2,649	28,549	31,198					A2-4
3961			County Line Road	2,000	7,800	9.800	7,179	71,768	78,947		1	$\vdash$	. 1	A2-4
3615		NW 128th Ave	US 98	1,575	4,090	5,665	7,175	71,700	70,347		+	$\vdash$		A2-4
3361		Manatee County Line	West of Peace River (American Legion Rd)	1,000	11.573	12.573	7.818	89,050	96.868		+	$\vdash$		A2-4
3987			NW 128th Avenue	1,000	14,500	14,500	7,010	05,030	20,000	<b>—</b>	+	$\vdash$		A2-4
3365			Lonesome Island Road		7,000	7.000				1	+			A2-4
3957		County Line Road	Jefferson Avenue	2,000	7,100	9,100				1	+	$\overline{}$	<b>.</b>	A2-4
3360			DeSoto County Line	4,000	9,652	13,652				1	+			A2-4
3960			US 441	2,000	2,100	4,100	1,055		1,055	1	+	$\overline{}$	1	NR
	SR 710 (Western By-Pass)		US 98	2,000	3,300	5,300	1,657		1,657	<b>-</b>	+	$\vdash$		NR
			Buckingham Rd.	1,900	5,000	6,900	1,037		1,037	<del>                                     </del>	+	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>	A2-6
3370	SR 80	SR 31 / Arcadia Rd.												

#### LEGEND

# (A) FY 2032/2033 - 2034/2035 (B) FY 2035/2036 - 2039/2040 (C) FY 2040/2041 - 2044/2045 (D) FY 2046/2047 - 2049/2050

#### NOTES

- (1) All values in thousands of Present Day Dollars (2023).
- (2) All phase costs shown as supplied by each District.
- (3) CON includes both Construction (CON52) and Construction Support (CEI).
- (4) ROW includes both Right-of-Way Acquisition/Mitigation (ROW43/45) and Right-of-Way Support.
   (5) "P3 Funds" Used to fund Public-Private Partnership projects over a specified number of years.
- (6) Revenue forecast provides separate values for PDE and PE than for ROW and CON.
- (7) Other Funds assumed to be toll revenue or partner funded.
- (8) This is a DRAFT and revisions will be made based on further coordination.

#### IMPROVEMENT TYPES

A1-3: Add 1 Lane to Build 3 A2-4: Add 2 Lanes to Build 4 A2-6: Add 2 Lanes to Build 6 A2-8: Add 2 Lanes to Build 8 A4-12: Add 4 Lanes to Build 12 A1-AUX: Add 1 Auxilliary Lane A4-SUL: Add 4 Special Use Lanes ACCESS: Access BRIDGE: Bridge FRTCAP: Freight Capacity GRASEP: Grade Separation HWYCAP: Highway Capacity PTERM: Passenger Terminal ITS: Intelligent Transp. System MGLANE: Managed Lanes M-INCH: Modify Interchange N-INCH: New Interchange NR: New Road PDE: Project Dev. Env. SERVE: Add Svc/Front/CD System STUDY: Study UP: Ultimate Plan

# 2050 REVENUE FORECAST HANDBOOK

# 2050 Long Range Plan Revenue Estimates

- Overall revenues for FDOT from Federal and State sources is about the same as 2045 -\$251,519 million
- Federal funding is up about 25%
- But the state capacity project funding for the MPO's is down about 80%
- Transit funding for the MPO's is also down about 80% but there is additional District discretionary funding but, for instance, if Lee County received all of that they would still be down 40%
- Appears that most of the funding difference is due to estimates being too high for 2045.
   Also, more funding is going to resurfacing, operations and maintenance but staff is seeking further clarification

Questions and/or Comments?



# FDOT Project Updates

Joint Collier and Lee MPO
Technical Advisory Committee
(TAC) and Citizen's Advisory
Committee (CAC)

August 3, 2023





Old U.S. 41 (CR 887) Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study

Financial Project Identification (FPID) Number: 435110-1 & 435347-1



# 435110-1 & 435347-1: CR 887 (Old 41)



- Project Limits: 435110-1 US 41 to Lee County Line (Collier Project)
   435347-1 Lee County Line to Bonita Beach Road (Lee Project)
- Currently in Project, Development and Environment Phase (PD&E)
- Class of Action: Type 2 Categorical Exclusion
- Purpose: Relieve congestion and accommodate future travel demand and improve safety for all users, including cyclists and pedestrians

# 435110-1 & 435347-1: CR 887 (Old 41)



- Key Stakeholders: City of Bonita Springs, Lee County, and Collier County
- Design Programmed: 435110-2 Collier FY28 for \$3 Million
   435347-2 Lee FY27 for \$2 Million
- LRE: 435110-1 \$39.2 M Construction estimate
   435347-1 \$20.1 M Construction estimate
- Status: Stakeholder coordination ongoing to select Preferred Alternative
- Next Steps: Preferred Alternative Selection, Public Hearing (tentatively early 2024)



# U.S. 41 and Bonita Beach Road Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study

Financial Project Identification (FPID) Number: 444321-1




# Purpose for Improvements & Next Steps:




- Improve operational, traffic mobility and transportation network access.
- Enhance emergency evacuation and response times
- Enhance mobility options and multi-modal access
- Workshop was held in April
- Partial Displaced Left Turn intersection and Enhanced Signalized intersection were presented as build options, along with the no-build
- Public supported Partial Displaced Left Turn intersection alternative
- Planning to go to a public hearing next peak season

# **Build Alternatives**



**Enhanced Traffic Signal** 



Partial Displaced Left Turn


# **Enhanced Traffic Signal – Reduces Delay**



Avg. vehicle delay reduced to 1.5 min.

**Predicted crashes** higher than no-build

**Pedestrians & cyclists** have more lanes to cross



# - Public Supported <u>Partial</u> <u>Displaced Left Turn intersection</u> alternative at April Workshop




Avg. Vehicle Delay reduced to <1.5 min.



**Crashes are lower than enhanced traffic signal** 



Peds & cyclists - fewer lanes to cross than enhanced traffic signal






# **State Road 29**

Financial Project Identification (FPID) Numbers: 417540-5 & 417540-6




## 417540-5



#### **Project Limits:**

- 417540-5 SR29, from CR 846 E to N of New Market Rd North
- New Alignment/"Loop Road"
- 3.35-mile segment
- Proposed Typical Sections Include:
- four lane divided highway, curb and gutter
- 12-ft shared use paths on both sides
- Design is currently underway. Currently working towards Phase I plans and then phase II plans. We cannot progress farther until PDE is completed and we have LDCA (and the Location, Design and Concept Approval) There are "unknowns" as we wait and see the final financial allocations from the MFF. Portions of the of the project have funding such as the ROW in 2025\*

## 417540-6



#### **Project Limits:**

- 417540-6 SR29, from North of New Market Road North to SR82 (3 mile segment)
- Widening going from 2 4 12-foot travel lanes with accommodations for cyclists and pedestrians.
- Design is currently underway and we anticipate PH2 plans later this year. We cannot proceed past PH2 until we receive LDCA (Location, Design and Concept Approval) from PD&E.
- Construction is programmed for FY27



# **State Road 82**

Financial Project Identification (FPID) Numbers: 430848-1



## 430848-1





#### **Project Limits:**

- 430848-1 State Road 82, from Hendry County Line to Gator Slough Lane
- Widening
- 3.8-mile segment
- SR 82 is existing two-lane undivided and the proposed improvements will be expanding to a four-lane divided roadway with 10' shoulders (5' paved).
- 5' wide concrete sidewalk on the north side of SR 82 and a 10'
  wide asphalt shared use path along the south side of SR 82
  throughout the project limits.
- There is a *proposed* box-span (4-poles/strain wire) signal at SR 82 and Corkscrew Rd.
- Project is currently in Design.

# Thank you



We appreciate your participation and input.

FDOT thanks you for making safety a continued priority!





Victoria Peters, FDOT D1 Liaison


Southwest Area Office (SWAO) 10041 Daniels Parkway Fort Myers FL




# Corkscrew Road Improvements – FDOT LAP Collier County MPO

August 3, 2023


# Location Map




# Location Map Aerial




# Corkscrew Rd Curve



# Corkscrew Rd – South (Straight Section)




# Corkscrew Curve – Plan View



# Corkscrew Rd South - Limits



# Corkscrew Rd South Typical Section



CROSS SLOPE CORRECTION DETAIL

# Corkscrew Rd Improvements – FDOT LAP

Questions?





Florida Department of Transportation
District One
Commute Connector Program



#### Who We Are

- Commute Connector is a program of the Florida Department of Transportation
- We serve 12 counties in Southwest Florida
- We promote transportation options for the workforce
  - Carpools, vanpools, transit, biking and walking
  - Compressed work weeks and telecommuting





#### What We Do



- We provide complimentary transportation resources and consulting services to employers and commuters
- Our mission is to improve shared mobility across the region through planning, promotions and development of commute options to:
  - Expand Access to jobs
  - Improve Air Quality
  - Save People Money
  - Reduce Traffic Congestion



#### Website: swflroads.com/commute-connector/

Links to the mobile app





#### About Commute Connector

Commute Connector is a program focused on improving shared mobility in southwestern Florida. Through planning, promotions and the development of commute options, we expand access to jobs and reduce concestion across the region.

We partner with employers, property managers and universities to solve their biggest transportation challenges.

<u>Download</u> our free Commute Connector mobile app to find a carpool or vanpool group. Track your trips in our mobile app to earn rewards, too.

#### What We Do

(866) 585-RIDE (7433)

**Quick Links** 

**Email Newsletter** 

Download the App

Travel Updates

511

- · We provide customized commute plans
- · We build communities of transportation ambassadors
- · We reward smart commute choices


#### What We Offer

- · Ridematching service to connect commuters to their options
- . Reward commuters who use alternative transportation options to get to work
- . Emergency Ride Home (ERH) reimbursement program
- · Free consultations to set up commuter benefit programs

Commute Connector is a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program of the Florida Department of Transportation, serving District One. Our services are available to residents or employees of Charlotte, Collier, DeSoto, Glades, Hardee, Hendry, Highlands, Lee, Manatee, Okeechobee, Polk and Sarasota counties.

#### Want to Learn More?








#### Ridematching & Incentives





#### Rewards

Earn points for recording your trips. Redeem your commute points for discounts, prizes and more from local or national businesses. Or use your points to enter raffles for a chance to win high value prizes.

#### Mobile Coupons

Rewards can be redeemed via email or mobile coupon. Agile Mile and Entertainment handle all the rewards including sourcing, fulfillment and support.





#### Regional Vanpool Program





- Launched in March 2021
- Partnership with Florida District of Transportation District 1 and Commute with Enterprise

- Provides \$500 Monthly Subsidy for each Vanpool
- Employees can also qualify for the Emergency
   Ride Home program 6 times per year up to \$100
   per ride















Recruiting & Retention





Employee Wellness Initiatives



Parking & Facilities




Sustainability



#### Vanpools in District 1





#### Vanpools Pre Hurricane Ian in D1

Vanpools: 37

Participants: 412

#### Vanpools Immediately after Hurricane Ian in D1

Vanpools: 20

Participants: 207

#### Vanpools Post Hurricane Ian in D1

Vanpools: 35

Participants: 334







#### Vanpool Accounts in Collier



Active Accounts

- The Club at Mediterra
- Naples Grande Beach Resort
- Estate Landscaping 2 Sites
- LaPlaya Resort
- Copperleaf Golf Club

Inactive Accounts Due to Hurricane

- Bay Colony Beach Club
- Port Royal Club
- Golf Club at Palmira
- Vasari Golf Club
- Golf Club at Palmira







#### Vanpool Accounts in Lee



#### **Active Accounts**

- South Seas
- Estate Landscape
- Pink Shell Beach Resort
- The Club at Mediterra
- Shell Point Retirement Community
- Bravo Site Works

# Inactive Accounts Due to Hurricane

- Sundial Beach Resort & Spa
- Inns of Sanibel
- Sandpiper Golf Resort
- Jerry's Enterprises

# Inactive Accounts Due to Seasonal Work

- Bay Colony Community
- Copperleaf Golf Club
- LaPlaya Resort
- Vasari Country Club







# 9 Active Vanpools2 Pending New Deliveries









#### Impact to Employees in Lee County



Savings vs. Driving Alone:



\$797 Per Month

\$9,567 Per Year

Usable Time Back for Riders:



48 Hours Per Month

572 Hours Per Year

Miles Reduced on Personal Car:



1,607 Miles Per Month

19,287 Miles Per Year







#### Annual Environmental Impact in D1



COMMUTER MILES REDUCED




2,377,418

**TOTAL TRIPS ELIMINATED** 



312,285

CO2 EMISSIONS
REDUCED (LBS)



1,811,799








#### Program Opportunities in Collier County



#### In the Pipeline:

- Paradise Sports Complex
- Naples Botanical Garden
- JW Marriott Marco Island
- Coral Hospitality
- NCH Healthcare

- Marriott's Crystal Shore
- Fiddler's Creek
- Lipman Produce
- Arthrex
- Jackson Citrus










#### Program Opportunities in Lee County



#### In the Pipeline:

- Lee Memorial Health
- B&I Contractors
- Sanibel Captiva Beach Resort
- Asplundh Tree Services
- Gasparilla Inn
- Fiddler's Creek
- PBS Contractors
- Discovery Senior Living









#### Why Vanpool?



- Attract and retain talent
- Significant savings
- Free up parking spaces
- Sustainability

- Receive recognition as a "Best Workplaces for Commuters"
- Vanpooling fills the gap, reaching the underserved areas of the community
- Reduces traffic congestion









#### Additional Benefits and Observations from MPO Staff



- Trips get reported in the NTD (National Transit Database) that FTA uses to apportion funds to transit providers (\$12 billion in 2018)
- From national FTA data, the average trip length for a van pool commuter is longer than any other transit vehicle type (from 2018 data: Van Pool = 36.8 miles, Commuter Bus = 23.8 miles, Commuter Rail = 25.2 miles)
- In our region, the average ridership per vehicle ranges from 9 to 12 whereas in Tampa area it is around 5





or slow down for emergency lights.











A Program of the Florida Department of Transportation

866-585-RIDE (7433)

#### **Michelle Peronto**

FDOT-District One Transit Administrator
Commute Connector Program Manager
Michelle.Peronto@dot.state.fl.us

#### **Arlinda Karuli**

Commute with Enterprise
Account Executive
727-455-4658

Arlinda.Karuli@ehi.com









# Regional Transit Service & Fare Study

JOINT LEE COUNTY/COLLIER MPO TAC & CAC MEETINGS



ride CAT

August 3, 2023

**Consultant:** Jacobs Engineering Group

Contract Managed by: Collier County PTNE, Collier MPO

**Funding:** \$120,000, FTA 5305

#### Purpose:

- ☐ Develop transit service strategies to connect and create mobility options for residents of Lee and Collier Counties
- ☐ Assist in the development of a Regional Transit Vision Framework
- Evaluate 2 Regional Corridors New UF/IFAS and Lehigh Acres Route and I-75 Premium Express Commuter Service operating on managed lanes on I-75
- ☐ Evaluate and recommend regional fare structure


**Timeline:** 1 yr from NTP issued 3/13/23; anticipated completion 3/12/24





#### In more detail, the study shall consist of:

- Establishing a Base Transit Condition / Identify Cross Jurisdictional Service Gaps
- Identifying Existing and Future Funding Gaps.
- Identifying Potential Funding Sources
- Analyzing Existing Local Government Transit Supportive Plans and Policies
- Estimating Transit Market Demand-Patronage Forecast Ranges
- Identifying Strong Candidate Corridors for Higher Capacity Transit
- Identifying the Conceptual Regional Transit Vision
- Identifying Interim and Long Term High Priority Transit Investments
- Developing Strategies for Advancing Conceptual Regional Transit
   Vision
- Revenue Split Between Agency and utilization of farebox media



# Project Timeline




**Questions?** 


Alexander Showalter
Collier County PTNE
239-252-5849
Alexander.Showalter@colliercountyfl.gov

Dawn Huff LeeTran 239-533-0233 dhuff3@leegov.com



# JOINT TAC/CAC 8/3/23 SUNTRAIL UPDATE Lee County






Amount:


#### Phase will be advanced from FY 2027 to FY 2025

\$9,656,285



## **SUNTRAIL ALIGNMENT** CHANGES LINE Archaeological State Park l√miami Estero Trail Corridor Lovers Key State Park Bonita Springs Delnor-Wiggins Rass State Park

#### OLD 41 EXPANSION From US 41 to Bonita Bch Road



Est. PD&E Completion Date: *Mid 2024* 

Design: FY 2027




#### Old 41 (County Road 887) PD&E Study



From US 41 to Bonita Beach Road


Financial Project Number 435110-1 & 435347-1 | Collier County & Lee County

Collier County
4-Lane Roadway Concept





## Bonita Springs/Lee County 4-Lane Roadway Concept






#### Old 41 (County Road 887) PD&E Study



From US 41 to Bonita Beach Road

Financial Project Number 435110-1 & 435347-1 | Collier County & Lee County

#### Major Intersections




Details of the major intersections will be determined following selection of the preferred Old 41 roadway alternative and detailed traffic modeling






#### U.S. 41 and Bonita Beach Road Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study



Est. PD&E Completion Date: *Late 2024* 

Design: FY 2026 (Anticipated)

## Build Alternatives




**Enhanced Traffic Signal** 




Partial Displaced Left Turn


#### **Bonita Beach Road Proposed Typical Section**



US 41 Displaced Left Turn Intersection Proposed Typical Section










#### **SR 31 from SR 80 to SR 78**



# HIGH-LEVEL FIXED BRIDGE RENDERING (ALTERNATIVES 1A/1B) SR 31 AT CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER



### 2022 LEE MPO SUN TRAIL FUND PRIORITIES Adopted by Lee MPO Board on November 18, 2022

FM#	Project	From	То	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Programmed Phase	Next Phase	PDC Estimate	SunTrail Fund Request	Local Match	Recommended Priority
PROJECTS WITH ONGOING/COMPLETED PRE CONSTRUCTION PHASES											
443603-1	Kismet Pkwy Multi Use Trail	Del Prado Blvd	NE 24th Avenue	Multi Use Trail	1.0	PE	CST +CEI	\$2,969,389	\$2,969,389	\$0	1
RESUBMITTED PROJECTS WITH NO PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASES FUNDED											
*	CR 865 Multi Use Trail	Little Hickory Pass Bridge	Big Carlos Bridge	Multi Use Trail (North Side)	3.84	NA	PE	\$545,095	\$4,027,649	\$0	2
							CST+CEI	\$3,482,554.00			
NA	Caloosahatchee Downtown Multimodal Alternative Study	JYLP Trail at Hanson St	Littleton Rd at US 41	Study to evaluate alternative trail alignment and develop bike ped design concepts & treatments	6.67	NA	Study	\$800,000	\$600,000	\$200,000	3

\$200 Million in SB/HB 140 will pay for unfunded projects in FY2025 from last year's solicitation



#### PROPOSED 2023 LEE MPO SUN TRAIL PRIORITIES

FM#	Project	From	То	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Programmed Phase	Next Phase	PDC Estimate	SunTrail Fund Request	Local Match	Recommended Priority
NA **	JYLP Enhancement	North Canal Crossing next to Daniels Pkwy Trailhead	South (Ten Mile) Canal Crossing	(1) Replace 6' sidewalk with a 12' shared use path (2) Build a ped overpass at Daniels Pkwy to maintain the linear character of the trail	0.50	NA	PE CST + CEI (SUP) CST+ CEI (Bridge) Total	\$1,142,349 \$4,400,000 <u>\$6,955,844</u> \$12,498,193	\$12,498,193	\$0	4
NA	Littleton Rd	US 41	North Tamiami Trail/Business 41	Shared Use Path (North Side)	0.66	NA	PE CST + CEI Total			\$0	5
NA	SR 80	Broadway Ave	Silk Bay Blvd/1st St	Shared Use Path (South Side)	1.71	NA	PE CST + CEI Total	\$1,150.000 \$ <u>8,760,000</u> \$9,910,000	\$9,910,000.00	\$0	6
NA	SR 80	Buckingham Rd	Broadway Ave	Shared Use Path (North Side)	8.04	NA	PE CST + CEI	\$3,150,000 \$27,050,000	\$30,200,000	\$0	7

New Solicitation Cycle is October -December

> Address Projects to be funded in 5<sup>th</sup> year of 2026 – 2030 Draft Tentative Work Program



# A&D



# Gulf Coast Trail -Collier County


JOINT LEE COUNTY/COLLIER MPO TAC & CAC MEETINGS




# **Collier MPO Updated SUN Trail Alignment** Draft for MPO Board Approval 05/13/22 Randall Blvd विकासिक विकासिक विकासिक entill Ceach at Ext Collien Cale Blvd GIII of Mexico

# Updated SUN Trail Alignment (part of Paradise Coast Trail)

- Approved by MPO Board May 13, 2022
- Submitted to Office of Greenways and Trails on May 18, 2022; approved by FGTC April 14, 2023







## Louisian has part their Land Trail Priority Corridors Land Trail Opportunity Corridors Florida National Scenic Trail - Priority Florida Wildlife Corridor Florida Counties Lines represent five mile wide planning corridors, not specific alignments of Southern Region **FGTS PRIORITY** MAP INDEX AND OPPORTUNITY LAND TRAIL CORRIDOR

# 2023 SUN Trail Map

Approved by Florida Greenways and Trails Council June 14, 2023





#### **MPO Projects**

- Livingston FPL Trail PD&E: Radio Rd to Collier County Line FPN#447514-1 Joint Collier County/MPO SUNTrail Application 2019; \$1.1million originally programmed in FY26; advanced to FY24 in the new FY24-28 Transportation Improvement Program
- Marco Island Loop Trail Feasibility Study:
   FPN#448028-1 PD&E SU \$300k FY22 FDOT
   Lead Agency, Landis Evans + Partners, SR 951,
   CR 92; Draft Report presented to BPAC, TAC,
   CAC and Marco Island City Council in May,
   MPO Board in June 2023. Back to MPO Board
   for endorsement/direction in September 2023.





# Naples Pathways Coalition Paradise Coast Trail Feasibility Study

- FDOT, Collier County and City of Naples Partnership FPN# 447302-1 Kimley Horn, **Completed June 2022**
- Proposes a 70+ mile multi-use trail in Collier County
- Includes the Gulf Coast Trail alignment
- Will provide connections to:
  - North: SUN Trail Network in Lee County (Estero Bonita Lee Rail-Trail or Old 41
  - South: Collier Seminole State Park
  - East: Ave Maria and Immokalee
  - West: Gordon River Greenway and Baker Park in Naples

## **NPC Report on Current Activities**





**Questions?** 

Sean Kingston, Principal Planner Collier MPO 239-252-5859 Sean.kingston@colliercountyfl.gov

