COLLIER COUNTY
Metropolitan Planning Organization

AGENDA

Board of County Commission Chambers
Collier County Government Center
3299 Tamiami Trail East, 3rd Floor
Naples, FL 34112

February 12, 2021
9:00 AM

Councilwoman Elaine Middelstaedt, Esq., Chair
Councilman Greg Folley
Commissioner Penny Taylor
Commissioner Andy Solis, Esq.
Commissioner Burt L. Saunders
Commissioner Rick LoCastro
Commissioner William L. McDaniel, Jr.
Councilman Mike McCabe
Councilman Paul Perry

This meeting of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is open to the public and citizen input is encouraged. Any person wishing to speak on any scheduled item may do so upon recognition of the Chairperson. Any person desiring to have an item placed on the agenda shall make a request in writing with a description and summary of the item, to the MPO Director or MPO Chairman 14 days prior to the date of the next scheduled meeting of the MPO. Any person who decides to appeal a decision of this Board will need a record of the proceedings pertaining thereto, and therefore may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceeding is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting should contact Anne McLaughlin, MPO Executive Director, 72 hours prior to the meeting by calling (239) 252-8192. The MPO’s planning process is conducted in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Related Statutes. Any person or beneficiary who believes that within the MPO’s planning process they have been discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, disability, or familial status may file a complaint with the Collier MPO Executive Director, Anne McLaughlin at (239) 252-8192 or by writing to Ms. McLaughlin at 2885 South Horseshoe Dr., Naples, FL 34104.
1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. ELECTION OF CHAIR (NOT REQUIRED)
   4.A. Elect MPO Board Chair and Vice-Chair

5. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA, PREVIOUS MINUTES AND CONSENT ITEMS
   5.A. December 11, 2020 Meeting Minutes
   5.B. Approval of Annual Local Contribution to the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council
   5.C. Approve Use of SU Funds to Cover Minor Cost-Overrun on S Golf Bike-Ped Project Design Phase

6. PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

7. AGENCY UPDATES
   7.A. FDOT
   7.B. MPO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

8. COMMITTEE CHAIR REPORTS
   8.A. CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC)
   8.B. TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)
   8.C. BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)
   8.D. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (CMC)
       8.D.1. Congestion Management Committee Chair Report
   8.E. LOCAL COORDINATING BOARD (LCB)

9. REGULAR BOARD ACTION (ROLL CALL REQUIRED)
   9.A. Approve an Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and an Authorizing

10. REGULAR BOARD ACTION (NO ROLL CALL)
10.B. Review and Approval of Amended Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Bylaws

10.C. Approve Two Re-appointments to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

10.D. Approve Re-appointment to the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

11. PRESENTATIONS (MAY REQUIRE BOARD ACTION)

11.A. FDOT Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026

11.B. Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update


12. DISTRIBUTION ITEMS

13. MEMBER COMMENTS

14. NEXT MEETING DATE

14.A. Next Meeting Date - March 12, 2021 - 9:00 a.m. Board of County Commissioners Chambers, 3299 Tamiami Trail East, Naples, FL 34112

15. ADJOURN
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Elect MPO Board Chair and Vice-Chair

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for calendar year 2021.

CONSIDERATIONS: The MPO by-laws (attached) state that the Board shall elect a Chair and Vice-Chair at the first regularly scheduled meeting of each calendar year and that the officers shall be voting members of the MPO.

Any Board member may nominate or be nominated as Chair/Vice-Chair. Elections shall be decided by majority vote. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall serve a one-year term or until a successor is elected. Councilwoman Elaine Middelstaedt is the current Chair; the former Vice-Chair was Councilman Erik Brechnitz, City of Marco Island.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for calendar year 2021.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. MPO Bylaws (PDF)
COLLIER COUNTY
Metropolitan Planning Organization

Item Number: 4.A
Doc ID: 14933
Item Summary: Elect MPO Board Chair and Vice-Chair

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 12:58 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 12:58 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Brandy Otero  MPO Analyst Review  Completed  02/03/2021 3:43 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  MPO Executive Director Review  Completed  02/03/2021 4:23 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  Meeting  Pending  02/12/2021 9:00 AM
THE BYLAWS OF
COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO)

Section 1 Description of Organization

1.01 The Agency
1.02 Composition and Operation of MPO
1.03 Committees
1.04 General Information on the MPO
1.05 Public Access to Agency Meetings and Workshops
1.06 Rights of Review

Section 2 Agenda and Scheduling--Meeting and Workshops

2.01 Notice of Meetings and Workshops
2.02 Agenda
2.03 Emergency Meetings and Workshops

Section 3 Amendments to Bylaws

Section 1 DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION

1.01 THE AGENCY

The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization, hereinafter referred to as the MPO, is created pursuant to Florida Statute 339.175 and operates under an Interlocal Agreement, as amended and restated dated February 26, 2015, authorized under Chapter 163 of the Florida Statutes. The parties to the interlocal agreement are Collier County, the City of Naples, the City of Marco Island, the City of Everglades City and the Florida Department of Transportation.

Creation of the MPO is for the purpose of implementing Title 23, United States Code, Section 134, and Title 49, United States Code, Sections 1602, 1603, and 1604, and Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 450, and Chapter 339.175, Florida Statutes. The MPO is created to work in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

1.02 COMPOSITION AND OPERATION OF THE MPO

The composition and operation of the MPO shall be as provided in the aforesaid
Interlocal Agreement; the officers of the MPO and their duties, and these rules may be amended as provided by said Agreement.

A. Officers – The MPO shall elect a Chairman and a Vice Chairman of the MPO at its first meeting of the calendar year. The Chairman and Vice Chairman shall serve for a period of one (1) year or until a successor is elected. The Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall be voting members of the MPO.

B. Chairman – The Chairman of the MPO shall call and preside at all meetings of the MPO. The Vice Chairman shall serve as Chairman in the absence of the Chairman.

C. Agency Clerk – The staff of the MPO shall maintain the minutes and other records of the MPO. The minutes shall accurately reflect the proceedings of the MPO.

D. Quorum – A majority of the voting members of the MPO must be present for the MPO to conduct business.

E. Rules of Order – Except as otherwise provided in these Bylaws, Roberts Rules of Order, as revised, shall be followed as to any parliamentary procedures at all meetings.

F. Reconsideration of Matters – Except for MPO approved contracts, any matter which has been voted upon by the MPO may be reconsidered as follows:

(1) By a motion to reconsider made by a member who voted with the majority if such motion is made prior to the adjournment of the meeting at which the matter was voted upon. If there were no public speakers on the item, or if all of the public speakers for the item are still present in the boardroom following a successful motion to reconsider, the MPO may elect to reheat the matter during that meeting, or direct the MPO Executive Director to place the item on the agenda for a future meeting. In the event that there were public speakers for the item, and not all of the public speakers are still present in the boardroom following a successful motion to reconsider, the MPO Executive Director shall be directed to place the item on the agenda for a future meeting.

(2) By a motion to reconsider made by a member who voted with the majority if such motion is made at a regular meeting following the meeting at which the matter was voted upon, but only in accordance with the following:
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(i) Where a member who voted with the majority wishes the Board to reconsider a matter after the adjournment of the meeting at which it was voted on, the member shall deliver to the MPO Executive Director a written memorandum stating that the member intends to introduce a motion to reconsider. The memorandum shall state the date of the regular meeting at which the member intends to introduce such motion, and shall be delivered to the MPO Executive Director at least six days prior to such meeting. The purpose of this requirement is to allow staff to advise the Board of the legal or other ramifications of reconsideration.

(ii) No motion to reconsider shall be made any later than the second regular MPO meeting following the MPO Board’s vote on the matter sought to be reconsidered; with the exception of where the basis for such request for reconsideration is found upon MPO’s staff’s presentation of newly discovered and previously unknown facts which would have been material to the MPO’s consideration at the time the item was originally considered but were not known earlier despite the due diligence of MPO staff.

(iii) Upon adoption of a motion to reconsider, the MPO Executive Director shall place the item on an agenda not later than the second regular MPO meeting following the meeting at which the motion for reconsideration was adopted.

(iv) All parties who participated by speaking, submitting registration forms or written materials at the original meeting the item was addressed by the MPO, shall be notified by the MPO Executive Director of the date of reconsideration.

(v) MPO approved contracts may only be reconsidered by motion made prior to the adjournment of the meeting at which the matter was voted upon notwithstanding the discovery of subsequent newly discovered facts. For purposes of this subsection, a contract is defined as an agreement that is legally binding and enforceable in a court of law.

1.03 COMMITTEES

There are hereby created five (5) standing committees, which shall be advisory committees to the governing board of the MPO. These advisory committees are the Technical Advisory Committee, Citizens Advisory Committee, the Bicycle
and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, the Congestion Management Committee and the Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged which shall have the following composition and duties:

A. The Technical Advisory Committee – the responsibility of the Technical Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the TAC, shall be to serve the MPO in an advisory capacity on technical matters regarding all modes of travel, including promoting coordination among agencies, members, and transportation planning and programming; reviewing technical sufficiency, accuracy and completeness of appropriate studies; making priority recommendations for the transportation plan and program implementation, and providing technical analyses on other transportation planning issues.

The TAC shall be composed of thirteen (13) voting members and one (1) non-voting member appointed by the division, department or agency that they represent. TAC voting members may designate an alternate to replace them in their absence.

B. Citizens Advisory Committee – the responsibility of the Citizen Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the CAC, is to advise the MPO reviewing, reacting to, and providing comment on transportation planning issues and needs regarding all modes of travel from the citizens’ perspectives. The CAC shall consist of voting members appointed by the MPO.

Membership shall be composed of thirteen (13) citizens residing or whose principal place of business is located in the following areas:

City of Naples (2),
Collier County Unincorporated Area (5), coinciding with the established Collier County Commission Districts,
City of Marco Island (1),
City of Everglades City (1), including the area encompassing Chokoloskee and Plantation Island, and;

Four (4) citizens at large, including a minimum of one (1) citizen representing the disabled or an advocate for the disabled community, one (1) minority citizen, and two (2) appointed from Collier County to ensure adequate representation from all geographic areas of the county, and to include groups having civic, community and economic interests.

C. Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee – The responsibility of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the BPAC, is to provide citizen input into the deliberation of bicycle- and
pedestrian-related issues within the community, to advise the MPO on developing a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP) that is responsive to the needs of the community, to recommend policies and advise the MPO Board of opportunities that will improve the walking and bicycling environment, recommend priorities for bicycle and pedestrian projects and program implementation, and contribute to the BPMP’s vision and recommendations regarding the development of the bicycle and pedestrian network.

Membership shall be composed of twelve (12) at-large voting members representing a wide cross-section of Collier County residents and neighborhoods, bicycle and pedestrian safety professionals, Safe Routes to Schools organizations, transit riders, local bicycle and pedestrian advocacy groups, organizations that encourage active transportation from a community health perspective, and advocates for persons with disabilities, and other transportation disadvantaged populations.

D. The Congestion Management Committee – the responsibility of the Congestion Management Committee, hereinafter referred to as the CMC, shall be to serve the MPO in an advisory capacity on technical matters relating to the update of the MPO’s Congestion Management Process (CMP) and the coordination of the CMP with regional Congestion Management System and Intelligent Transportation System architecture.

The CMC shall be composed of eleven (11) voting members appointed by the division, department or agency that they represent. CMC voting members may designate an alternate to replace them in their absence.

E. The Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged – the responsibility of the Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged, hereinafter referred to as the LCB, shall be to assist the MPO in identifying local service needs and providing information, advice and direction to the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) on the coordination of services to be provided to the transportation disadvantaged pursuant to Chapter 427.0157, Florida Statutes. In accordance with Rule 41-2.012, Florida Administration Code, all members of the LCB shall be appointed by the designated official planning agency. The designated official planning agency for Collier County is the MPO.

The LCB shall be composed of sixteen (16) voting members appointed by the division, department, or agency that they represent. LCB voting members may designate an alternate to replace them in their absence.
1.04 GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE MPO

All public records of the MPO, TAC, CAC, BPAC, LCB and CMC Committee are open for inspection and examination at the office of the MPO, 2885 South Horseshoe Drive, Naples, Florida, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. during regular business days.

1.05 PUBLIC ACCESS TO MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS

All MPO, TAC, CAC, BPAC, LCB and CMC meetings, workshops and proceedings shall be open to the public.

1.06 RIGHTS OF REVIEW

All parties to the Interlocal Agreement, as well as the FHWA and FTA shall have the rights of technical review and comment of MPO projects.

Section 2 AGENDA, SCHEDULING and MEETINGS

2.01 NOTICE OF MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS

A. Except in the case of emergency meetings or workshops, the MPO shall give at least seven (7) days public notice of any meeting or workshop through the issuance of a press release to local print and broadcast media in the Naples Area.

B. The press release notice of such meeting or workshop shall provide:

1. The date, time, and place of the event.
2. A brief description of the purpose of the event.
3. The address where interested parties may write to obtain a copy of the agenda.

A copy of the Agenda may be obtained by writing to the Collier MPO, 2885 South Horseshoe Drive, Naples, Florida 34104. Agendas may also be obtained by e-mail at colliermpo@colliergov.net or by accessing the MPO’s Web site at colliermpo.net.

2.02 AGENDA

A. At least seven (7) days prior to a meeting or workshop, the MPO staff shall prepare and make available an agenda for distribution on request by any interested person. The agenda shall list the items in the order they are to be considered; provided, however, that for good cause stated in the
record by the person who is designated to preside at the meeting, items may be considered out of their listed order.

B. The agenda shall be specific as to the items to be considered. All matters shall be listed on the agenda.

1. Any person who desires to have an item placed on the agenda of the meeting of the MPO shall request in writing that the item be considered at the next scheduled meeting of the MPO, provided, however, that such a request must be received fourteen (14) days in advance of the scheduled meeting. Written requests for placing an item on the agenda must describe and summarize the item and shall be mailed or delivered to MPO, at the address in Section 2.01 (B) of these Bylaws.

2. Additional items not included on the meeting agenda may be considered at a meeting if the chairman or his designee feels that the item requires immediate action by the MPO.

2.03 EMERGENCY MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS

A. The MPO may hold an emergency meeting or workshop notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 2.01 and 2.02 of these Bylaws, for the purpose of acting upon matters affecting the public health, safety and welfare.

B. Whenever an emergency meeting or workshop is scheduled to be held, the MPO shall notify, as soon as possible prior to the meeting, at least one major newspaper of major circulation in the Naples Area stating time, date, place and purpose of the meeting or workshop.

Section 3 AMENDMENTS TO BYLAWS

These Bylaws may be amended by a majority vote of the voting members, provided a copy of the proposed amendment shall have been sent to all members at least seven (7) calendar days prior to it being voted on. The MPO Board has sole authority to adopt and amend the bylaws of any advisory committee.

These Bylaws and any and all amendments to the Bylaws will become effective upon endorsement of the Collier MPO.

These Bylaws for the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization were hereby adopted in an open session with a quorum present and voting on November 13, 2020.
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

By: 
Elaine Middelstaedt
Elaine Middelstaedt, MPO Chair

Attested By:
Anne McLaughlin, MPO Executive Director

Approved as to form and legality:

COLLIER COUNTY ATTORNEY

By:
Scott R. Teach
Deputy County Attorney
COLLIER COUNTY
Metropolitan Planning Organization

Item Number: 5.A
Item Summary: December 11, 2020 Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 12:24 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 12:24 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization Brandy Otero MPO Analyst Review Completed 02/04/2021 8:48 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin MPO Executive Director Review Completed 02/04/2021 9:07 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin Meeting Pending 02/12/2021 9:00 AM
1. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order at approximately 9:05 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Brandy Otero called roll and confirmed a quorum was present.

Members Present (in BCC Chambers)
Commissioner Andy Solis, Collier County BCC District 2
Councilman Paul Perry, City of Naples
Councilman Mike McCabe, City of Naples
Commissioner Rick LoCastro, Collier County BCC District 1
Commissioner William L. McDaniel, Jr. District 5

Members Present (virtually and via phone)
Councilwoman Elaine Middelstaedt, City of Everglades City, Chair
Commissioner Burt Saunders, Collier County BCC District 3
Councilman Greg Folley, City of Marco Island

Members Absent
Commissioner Penny Taylor, Collier County BCC District 4

MPO Staff
Anne McLaughlin, Executive Director
Brandy Otero, Principal Planner
Karen Intriago, Administrative Assistant
Josephine Medina, Senior Planner

FDOT
L. K. Nandam, District 1 Secretary
Victoria Peters
Wayne Gaither
Jennifer Marshall

Others Present
Scott Teach, Deputy County Attorney
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Commissioner McDaniel served as Chair [pursuant to Hybrid Remote board meeting protocol] and called the meeting to order.

Commissioner LoCastro led the Pledge of Allegiance.

4. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA, PREVIOUS MINUTES AND CONSENT ITEMS**

4.A. November 13, 2020 Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Solis moved to approve the November 13, 2020 minutes and consent items. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Passed unanimously.

5. **PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**

(There were none.)

6. **AGENCY UPDATES**

6.A. **FDOT**

Ms. Peters – The public hearing for the new Draft Tentative Work Program FY22-FY26 will take place online Monday, January 11th through Friday, January 15th 2021 and in-person on Tuesday, January 12th at Southwest Area Office (at SWIFT SunGuide Center, Daniels Rest Area exit off I-75) from 10 am to noon. Will send email out through MPO in upcoming weeks.

6.B. **MPO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

Ms. McLaughlin – introduced herself to Commissioner LoCastro noting they haven’t met or spoken yet.
7. COMMITTEE CHAIR REPORTS

7.A. CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC)

7.A.1. Citizens Advisory Committee Chair Report

Ms. McLaughlin – noted that Committee Chair is not present to give report, which is available in the agenda packet.

7.B. TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

7.B.1. Technical Advisory Committee Chair Report

Ms. McLaughlin – introduced Lorraine Lantz, Chair of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). Ms. Lantz – Read report into record of TAC meeting held on November 30, 2020. Noted that two members voted against endorsement of Transit Impact Analysis because they felt it should go to Board of County Commissioners first.

7.C. BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)

7.C.1. Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Chair Report

Ms. McLaughlin – this was the first committee meeting after the Governor’s Executive Order allowing virtual meetings expired. The Committee was unable to muster a quorum, therefore the meeting could not be held. Commissioner McDaniel – not everyone is comfortable attending meetings in-person with the spike in COVID-19, let concerns be known to the Governor’s Office, request extension of EO.

7.D. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (CMC)

7.D.1. Congestion Management Committee Chair Report

Ms. McLaughlin – this committee was also unable to achieve a quorum and therefore did not meet.

7.E. LOCAL COORDINATING BOARD (LCB)

7.E.1. Local Coordinating Board Chair Report

Commissioner Solis – Read report into the record of LCB meeting held on December 2, 2020 via Zoom.

8. REGULAR BOARD ACTION (ROLL CALL REQUIRED)

8.A. Approve the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)

Ms. McLaughlin – at long last the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan is up for the
MPO Board’s approval. The LRTP has been revised to address public and agency comments received since the Board was briefed on the plan in November. Public comment period continues through this meeting; any comments received today will be added to summary in Technical Compendium. FHWA requires MPO to adopt 2045 LRTP this month. Both the CAC and TAC unanimously recommended endorsement of the LRTP at their meetings on November 30th. Staff and consultant will conduct final review for grammatical errors and typos – and correct Scrivener’s errors prior to submitting to FDOT by way of the MPO Document Portal. Consultant will give a brief presentation on the Plan today; presentation addresses concerns raised by the Conservancy. A representative of the Conservancy has registered to speak on this item today after the presentation.

Mr. Gramer – presented PPT [slides are available for review in the agenda packet]. Noted changes made since draft 2045 LRTP was presented to the Board on 11/13/20, including updates to Roadway and Transit Cost Feasible Plans (CFP), revenue forecast, added Executive Summary, incorporated public outreach activities and comments, added Technical Compendium of support documents. Referenced comments received from Conservancy of Southwest Florida on 11/6/20 regarding opposition to 3 road projects in CFP: Benfield Rd, I-75/Everglades interchange and Big Cypress Parkway. Showed history of projects in past LRTP Needs and CFP. Roadways identified as needed due to projected growth, congestion on existing roadways, policy limitations on roadway expansions; status of County studies, development approvals; all 3 projects will go through extensive environmental review and permitting; mitigation costs included in cost estimates. Staff does not recommend making any changes to CFP. CAC and TAC have endorsed the plan as is.

Ms. Olson – presented PPT [slides available for review upon request made to MPO office, will be included in 2045 LRTP, Technical Compendium, Appendix F, Public Comments] Benfield Rd, Big Cypress Parkway and New Interchange new Everglades Blvd should be removed from CFP. History of being on a list does not mean project is appropriate. Could be on list at request of a landowner, despite opposition letters from environmental agencies, high mitigation costs. Big Cypress parkway will primarily function as a development [required] road rather than serve regional function. Regarding Benfield Road – County never studies travel demand alternatives to building another road to alleviate congestion, as the 2040 LRTP suggested. New interchange on I-75, vicinity of Everglades Blvd not required; access already allowed in emergency, funding should be directed elsewhere.

Commissioner McDaniel – greater emphasis should be given to assessing environmental impacts, for content of PD&E studies defers to FDOT. Secretary Nandam – agree PD&E process takes environmental impacts and mitigation into account; this was a large part of the discussion during M-CORES task force meetings. Councilman McCabe – need to consider environmental mitigation up front, before deciding on alignment, consider no-build option. Commissioner McDaniel – have all the comments we received included in the LRTP? Ms. McLaughlin – Yes. In the Technical Compendium. Commissioner McDaniel - include the Conservancy’s presentation and comments made today Ms. McLaughlin – Yes.

Commissioner Solis moved to approve 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Roll Call vote taken.
Commissioner Solis – Yes
Councilwoman Middelstaedt – Yes
Councilman Folley – Yes
Commissioner McDaniel - Yes
Commissioner LoCastro – Yes
Councilman Perry – Yes
Commissioner Saunders – Yes
Councilman McCabe - Yes

Passed unanimously.

8.B. Approve an Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Authoring Resolution

Ms. McLaughlin – FDOT requested amendment to add FTA Grant Award to Collier Area Transit for rehabilitation of a bus maintenance building; funds programmed in FY 2021, roughly $11.3 million total cost. CAC and TAC voted to endorse.

Commissioner Solis moved to approve Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Authoring Resolution. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Roll Call vote taken.

Commissioner Solis – Yes
Councilwoman Middelstaedt – Yes
Councilman Folley – Yes
Commissioner McDaniel - Yes
Commissioner LoCastro – Yes
Councilman Perry – Yes
Commissioner Saunders – Yes
Councilman McCabe - Yes

Passed unanimously.

9. REGULAR BOARD ACTION (NO ROLL CALL)

9.A. Accept the Transit Impact Analysis Study

Ms. Otero – Objective is to review and accept the study that Tindale Oliver will present. The MPO, in partnership with PTNE, contracted with Tindale Oliver to identify opportunities to mitigate impacts on the transit system by development. Although staff includes transit when possible in the process, there is currently no formal process in place for transit in the development review process. The study reviewed the current processes in place and is intended to provide recommendations that will be passed on to Collier County and the municipalities after acceptance by the MPO Board. It will then be up to each jurisdiction to decide which elements to move forward with for further study with for further study and implementation.
Mr. Miller—presented PPT [slides are available for review in the agenda packet]. Highlighted study purpose and process, summary of key findings and potential approaches, recommendations and next steps. Commissioner LoCastro—CAT best utilizing taxpayer dollars by dynamically adjusting routes in response to Covid-19? Mr. Miller—the data shows that Collier County faces geographic challenges to pack buses. People rely on transit to get to work, however because of relativity low density, it is difficult to get people in one spot to pack buses.

Commissioner McDaniel—the TAC suggested an acceptance of the report [rather than approval] based on the lack of participation of the cities. Will this be shared with the cities? Ms. Otero—this was initiated as a County study; however the results will be shared with the City of Naples and the City of Marco Island and can be used by either municipality. Discussion ensued regarding the next steps for Collier County. Councilman Folley—would looking at different modes include determining if buses are the right way to go? Ms. Arnold—As a part of the planning process, the right vehicle is evaluated.

Commissioner Solis moved to Accept the Transit Impact Analysis Study. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Passed unanimously.

9.B. Approval of Annual Performance Review of MPO Executive Director

Ms. McLaughlin—request Board approval of Annual Performance Review.; apologized for being late this year. Period of performance dates from 10/1/19 through 9/30/20. Review criteria based on Board’s Strategic Plan and Director’s job description. Five Board members submitted reviews. Aggregated scores and forms are in packet. Would like to thank Board members for supportive comments.

Commissioner Solis moved to approve Annual Performance Review of MPO Executive Director. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Passed unanimously.

9.C. Approve a Strategic Plan for FY 2021

Ms. McLaughlin—proposed FY 2021 Strategic Plan similar to previous years with focus on public outreach, interagency collaboration, analysis, reporting, communication and teamwork, adds focus on effective and efficient use of resources in anticipation of financial constrictions resulting from reduced revenues related to ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Commissioner McDaniel—next year, bring strategic plan forward earlier to allow time to work with newer Board members, Marco Island and City of Naples representatives, and include their input.


9.D. Approval of MPO Director’s Annual Performance Evaluation Plan
Ms. McLaughlin – required by Director’s Employment Agreement, relates directly to new Strategic Plan for FY 2021; section on job description performance has not changed. Suggesting limiting reporting to five top items in each category; streamlining with intention of moving to on-line survey format such as Survey Monkey.

Commissioner Solis – online survey would be welcome; look into Qualtric platform.

Commissioner Solis moved to approve MPO Director’s Annual Performance Evaluation Plan. Commissioner LoCastro seconded. Passed unanimously.

10. PRESENTATIONS (MAY REQUIRE BOARD ACTION)

10.A. FDOT Update on Current Project Development & Environmental (PD&E) Studies

Ms. Marshall – provided an update on 4 FDOT projects currently going through PD&E stage: SR 29 from Oil Well Road to SR 82; SR 29 from I-75 to Oil Well Rd; CR 887 (Old US 41) to Lee County Line; CR 846 (Immokalee) at Randall. Added new project – 16th St Bridge now in PD&E.

10.B. Presentation on Draft Local Roads Safety Plan

Ms. McLaughlin – introduced Demian Miller, Tindale Oliver, consultant on Local Roads Safety Plan. Noted contract is expiring end of December and MPO staff will complete the final plan after reviewing and refining the recommendations and implementation sections with advisory committees.

Mr. Miller – presented PPT [available for review in agenda packet]. Highlights include: Collier County has better safety performance than the State. Most crashes occur along major roads, the majority of which are County-owned and maintained. Older road users do not disproportionately contribute to crashes in Collier County, whereas younger and middle-aged adults are over-represented in reported crashes. Fewer traffic citations issued per capita and per vehicle miles traveled in County than average. Focus areas are: Non-motorized (2% of all crashes, 15% of all severe injuries and 24% of fatalities); Intersection (18%, 35%, 25%); Lane Departure (10%, 22%, 40%) and Rear End (60%, 20%, 6%). Infrastructure related recommendations include: Speed Management, Alternative Intersections, Bike-Ped Design Best Practices, rural highway improvements. Education and Enforcement recommendations include: targeted traffic enforcement, bike light and retroreflective material give-away, young driver education, bike/ped education, Vision Zero policy at local level.

Commissioner LoCastro – why aren’t crashes involving motorcycles mentioned in analysis. Mr. Miller – motorcyclists are an emphasis area identified by FDOT, but involvement in crashes is relatively low in Collier County. Commissioner Solis – Collier performs well compared to State statistics, but how does Collier performance compare with national statistics?
Mr. Miller – State of Florida performance is among the worst nationally in terms of bike/ped crashes. Florida developed auto-dominated infrastructure with emphasis on high speed travel; cyclists and pedestrians are most vulnerable because not encased in steel. Councilman McCabe - speed management to protect nonmotorized users is critical. Mr. Miller – drivers have tunnel vision at high speeds and at night, moderate speeds down to 35 mph for bike/ped safety. Commissioner McDaniel – coordinate enforcement recommendations with Office of County Sheriff. Councilman Folley - experienced steep reduction in on-the-job injuries over a ten-year period when industry adopted Vision Zero.

10.C. FY 2020 Annual Report

Ms. McLaughlin – gave PPT presentation on Annual Report on performance measures [e-report and presentation posted on MPO’s website]. Highlights include: overview of two-year operating budget, fluctuation in funding amounts expansion of public outreach using online outreach during COVID pandemic; Transportation System Performance Report established baseline conditions for congestion related measures, transit and bike/ped.

Councilman Folley - questioned why Marco Island not represented in survey on congestion. Ms. McLaughlin – did not respond to social media outreach, will need to do targeted outreach to residents on future studies.

11. DISTRIBUTION ITEMS

11.A. 2021 MPO Calendar

Ms. McLaughlin – calendar has been updated since draft was distributed last month.

12. MEMBER COMMENTS

Councilwoman Middelstaedt – congratulated Ms. McLaughlin on positive reviews

Councilman Folley – congratulated MPO on adoption of 2045 LRTP

Commissioner Solis – noted increased participation in virtual Town Hall meetings over in-person meetings, targeting outreach to specific communities can be effective.

Councilman McCabe – FDOT met with Naples City Council regarding 4 corners intersection. Told FDOT that what’s needed is to eliminate through traffic, move it out of downtown.

Commissioner McDaniel – directed comment to Ms. Lantz. Bridge Study was to go to BCC in December but did not. Reach out to public, give update, need to keep them informed. Issue involving recent FDOT letter to County regarding lack of toll revenue to fund operations at Fire Station 63 on I-75. Secretary Nandam – toll revenues programmed by statute, excess were to go to Fire Station; toll revenues are down by half, there are no excess funds this year. Commissioner
McDaniel – solution is to increase toll rate to fund Fire Station, critical to I-75 operations, incident response.

13. NEXT MEETING DATE

13.A. Next Meeting Date – February 12, 2020 - 9:00 a.m. Board of County Commissioners Chambers, 3299 Tamiami Trail East, Naples, FL 34112

14. ADJOURN

There being no further business, Commissioner McDaniel adjourned the meeting at approximately 11:30 a.m.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approval of Annual Local Contribution to the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to approve the MPO’s local contribution of $500 to the MPO Advisory Council (MPOAC).

CONSIDERATIONS: The Florida MPOAC is a statewide organization created by Florida Legislature to assist Florida’s MPOs in carrying out the urbanized area transportation planning process by serving as the principal forum for collective policy discussion. To further that objective, the MPOAC annually prepares state legislative policy positions and reviews legislative initiatives during the session. The MPOAC also monitors federal legislation as it pertains to MPO interests in Florida.

The MPOAC has requested that each Florida MPO contribute $500 towards activities not eligible for state and federal funding, which predominately include lobbying activities. The $500 would come from local contributions as specified in the current Unified Planning Work Program.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The MPO has historically contributed the $500 in local funding on an annual basis. Staff has budgeted for it and recommends contributing the requested amount to the MPOAC.

Prepared By: Brandy Otero, Principal Planner

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. MPOAC Invoice and Letter (PDF)
Item Number: 5.B
Doc ID: 14929
Item Summary: Approval of Annual Local Contribution to the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 12:29 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 12:29 PM

Approved By:
Review:
| Metropolitan Planning Organization | Brandy Otero | MPO Analyst Review | Completed | 02/03/2021 3:22 PM |
| Metropolitan Planning Organization | Anne McLaughlin | MPO Executive Director Review | Completed | 02/03/2021 3:27 PM |
| Metropolitan Planning Organization | Anne McLaughlin | Meeting Pending | 02/12/2021 9:00 AM |
DATE: December 04, 2020

TO: Governing Board Members & Staff Directors

FROM: Carl Mikyska, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Funds for MPOAC 2021 Legislative Advocacy Activities

Dear MPOAC Colleagues:

The MPOAC is once again requesting each MPO/TPA/TPO to contribute $500 or more of non-federal local funds to support MPOAC legislative advocacy activities. Our goal is to annually collect at least $9,500 in local funds, which will be used by MPOAC staff to budget time toward advocacy activities preceding and during the 2020 legislative session.

As you know, the MPOAC is a statewide organization created by the Florida Legislature to assist Florida’s MPOs in carrying out the urbanized area transportation planning process by serving as the principal forum for collective policy discussion. To further that objective, the MPOAC annually prepares legislative policy positions and initiates legislative actions that are advanced during the session. Your support allows us to track and monitor legislation of importance to our members and the overall transportation community. The MPOAC also monitors federal and state legislation as it pertains to MPO interests in Florida.

For those who contributed financially last year, thank you and please continue your support. For those who did not contribute, please make an effort to do so and help fund our advocacy activities that are steadily growing each year.

Thank you for your support. If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (850) 414-4062.

CM/JW
**MPOAC**

**Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Advisory Council**  
**605 Suwannee Street, MS 28B**  
**Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450**  
**(850) 414-4037**

**BILL TO:**  
Collier MPO  
2885 S Horseshoe Drive  
Naples, FL 34104  
Attn: Anne McLaughlin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Invoice #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 04, 2020</td>
<td>2020-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2021 MPOAC Legislative Advocacy Activities</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Amount Due:**  
$500.00
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approve Use of SU Funds to Cover Minor Cost-Overrun on S Golf Bike-Ped Project Design Phase

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to approve the use of SU funds to cover a minor cost-overrun on the South Golf St. bike/ped project design phase.

CONSIDERATIONS: The City of Naples is requesting a small amount of additional SU funds - $21,198 - to cover a cost over-run in design fees on the South Golf Drive Bike/Ped project (Attachment 1). Sufficient funds are available in FPN 4404371 in FY21 in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to cover the cost overrun. The request meets the terms established by MPO Resolution 2018-02 (Attachment 2):

- FDOT recommends the project be considered, and
- The project is programmed and underway in FY 21
- The City of Naples is capable of meeting FDOT’s deadlines to obligate, encumber, and expend the available funds.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board approve the use of SU funds to cover a minor cost-overrun on the South Golf St. bike/ped project design phase.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. City of Naples Request (PDF)
2. Resolution 2018-02 (PDF)
Item Number: 5.C
Doc ID: 14930
Item Summary: Approve Use of SU Funds to Cover Minor Cost-Overrun on S Golf Bike-Ped Project Design Phase

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 12:35 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 12:35 PM

Approved By:

Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Brandy Otero</td>
<td>MPO Analyst Review</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>02/03/2021 4:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Anne McLaughlin</td>
<td>MPO Executive Director Review</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>02/04/2021 8:14 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Anne McLaughlin</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>02/12/2021 9:00 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good afternoon Ann,

As you are aware, at the January 20th City Council meeting, South Golf Drive was brought forth for approval following your presentation on the 19th. City Council was quite interested in your presentation and had a follow-up request after hearing from both yourself and FDOT. The total contract amount through Local Agency Program (LAP) funds is $278,363, however even after much negotiation, the total contract amount exceeded the LAP funding amount. The total fees from Kisinger Campo & Associates came to $299,561, leading to a deficit of $21,198. City Council requested staff check to see if the remaining amount could be attained through grant funding. Gregg reached out to Victoria to discuss and from my understanding she thought it was possible. She asked that we go through you for the request. Can you assist with the funding or provide guidance on what our next steps may be? I can provide documentation from the City Council meeting or any of the LAP information if need be. Feel free to call me to discuss if you would like.

Thanks,

Alison Bickett, P.E.
Traffic Engineer-Streets & Stormwater
City of Naples, Florida
295 Riverside Circle
Naples, FL 34102
(239) 213-5014
abickett@naplesgov.com

Under Florida Law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by telephone or in writing.
RESOLUTION 2018-02

A RESOLUTION OF THE COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION ESTABLISHING A POLICY REGARDING THE REPROGRAMMING AND USE OF SURFACE TRANSPORTATION – URBAN FUNDS TO COVER COST OVER-RUNS ON LOCAL AGENCY AND FDOT-MANAGED PROJECTS.

WHEREAS, the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization ("MPO") is authorized to establish policy regarding the reprogramming and use of Surface Transportation-Urban funds allocated to the MPO as a Transportation Management Area; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation ("FDOT") reserves a variable amount of Surface Transportation-Urban funds in the Collier MPO Work Program on an annual basis (under the project description "Collier MPO Identified Operational Improvements Funding") for use in covering cost-over runs on Local Agency Projects ("LAP") and FDOT-managed projects; and

WHEREAS, on occasion the FDOT may contact the MPO Director and request the MPO’s concurrence if an opportunity arises to tap into the reserved Surface Transportation-Urban funds to cover cost-over-runs on LAP and FDOT-managed projects; and

WHEREAS, the MPO wishes to establish a policy to guide the MPO Director’s future handling of such requests requiring MPO concurrence.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

1. The Collier MPO is authorized to establish a policy regarding the reprogramming and use of Surface Transportation-Urban funds allocated to the MPO as a Transportation Management Area.

2. To be considered eligible for reprogramming to use Surface Transportation-Urban funds to cover cost over-runs, a project must meet the following criteria:
   a. FDOT recommends the project be considered; and
   b. The project is either programmed and underway in the fiscal year that Surface Transportation-Urban funds are available or the project is on an MPO Board-approved list of prioritized projects awaiting funding; and
   c. The sponsoring agency is capable of meeting FDOT’s deadlines to obligate, encumber and expend the available funds.

3. If the above criteria are met, the process for bringing a recommended project(s) to the MPO Board for approval is as follows:
   a. If FDOT deadlines and the MPO advisory committee schedule allows, the MPO Director shall submit eligible projects for endorsement by the Citizen and Technical Advisory Committees prior to bringing a recommended list of projects to the MPO to consider authorizing the
reprogramming and use of the available Surface Transportation-Urban funds.

b. If FDOT timelines require more immediate action, such that there is not sufficient time for consideration and a recommendation by the MPO advisory committees, the MPO Director will place the list of eligible recommended projects for the reprogramming and use of available Surface Transportation-Urban funds before the MPO on the next available agenda for its consideration and a final decision.

This Resolution was PASSED and DULY ADOPTED by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization Board on May 11, 2018.

Attest:

[Signature]

By: Anne McLaughlin
Collier MPO Executive Director

COLLIER COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

By: Commissioner William L. McDaniel, Jr.
MPO Chair

Approved as to form and legality:

[Signature]

Scott K. Teach
Deputy County Attorney
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Congestion Management Committee Chair Report

OBJECTIVE: For the MPO Board to receive a report from the Chair of the Congestion Management Committee related to recent committee actions and recommendations.

CONSIDERATIONS: Staff prepared the attached written report. Staff typically provides a verbal report at the MPO Board meeting, although the Chair is welcome to do so.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: Committee recommendations are reported in the Executive Summary for each action item, and may be elaborated upon by the Chair in his/her report to the Board.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Not applicable

Prepared by: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. CMC Chair Report (DOCX)
8.D.1
Packet Pg. 34
CMC Committee Chair Report

The Congestion Management Committee (CMC) met on January 20, 2021 and an in-person quorum was achieved.

Agency Reports

- Received an update from Ms. Peters, FDOT, on Draft Tentative Work Program and Add-Delete-Defer Sheet

Committee Actions

- Re-elected Anthony Khawaja, Collier County Traffic Operations, as Chair; Tim Pinter, City of Marco Island Public Works Department as Vice-Chair.
- Conducted final review of five (5) projects submitted in response to MPO’s Call for Congestion Management Projects. The total estimated project cost for all 5 comes to roughly $3.6 million. The projected SU funding available for FY2027 is roughly $5 million. The committee voted to recommend all 5 projects for programming when the MPO Board reviews project priorities this spring. MPO staff will forward the project application forms to FDOT to begin their constructability reviews.

Committee Reports and Presentations

- None

Distribution Items

- 2021 MPO Meeting Calendar

Next Meeting

- The next regularly scheduled meeting will be held on March 17, 2021 at 2 p.m.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approve an Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and an Authorizing Resolution

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to approve an amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and an authorizing resolution.

CONSIDERATIONS: The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has requested that the Collier MPO amend its FY2021-FY2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to add a new project selected during the competitive application process for 5310 [transit] funding. The amendment is required for the project to receive federal funds which are programmed in FY2021.

The project FPN is 448810-1, the total amount is $23,7000. The TIP amendment and authorizing resolution are shown in Attachment 1. The FDOT letter requesting the amendment is shown in Attachment 2. The MPO has followed the public outreach requirements in the MPO’s Public Participation Plan. Specifically, for TIP amendments, the public comment period:

- begins with the TAC and CAC agenda postings,
- is announced on the MPO website, and
- distributed via e-mail to applicable list-serve(s).

The public comment period began on January 20, 2021 and ends with the MPO Board meeting on February 12, 2021. No public comments have been received as of the date this agenda packet was completed.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: The Technical Advisory Committee and Citizens Advisory Committee were unable to convene to take formal action due to the lack of in-person quorums on January 25, 2021.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board approve the amendment to the FY2021-2025 TIP and the authorizing resolution.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. FY2021-2025 TIP Amendment & Authorizing Resolution (PDF)
2. FDOT request for Amendment (PDF)
Item Number: 9.A
Doc ID: 14932
Item Summary: Approve an Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and an Authorizing

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 4:00 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 4:00 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization Brandy Otero MPO Analyst Review Completed 02/03/2021 4:57 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin MPO Executive Director Review Completed 02/04/2021 8:10 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin Meeting Pending 02/12/2021 9:00 AM
MPO RESOLUTION #2021-1
A RESOLUTION OF THE COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2020/21-2024/25 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

WHEREAS, State and federal statutes, rules and regulations require that each designated Metropolitan Planning Organization develop and adopt a Transportation Improvement Program ("TIP") and set forth the procedures for doing so; and

WHEREAS, the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization’s (the “MPO”) TIP may require amending as authorized and required by 23 C.F.R. Part 450 Sections 326, 328, 330, 332 and 334, and by F.S. § 339.175(6), (8) and (13); and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation ("FDOT") has requested that the MPO’s FY 2020/21-2024/25 TIP be amended to add a new transit project that was selected during the competitive application process for 5310 funding, identified by Federal Project Number (FPN) 448810-1 as shown in Attachment 1; and

WHEREAS, in order to be eligible to receive federal funds, the TIP must be amended to include those projects; and

WHEREAS, the MPO announced the TIP Amendment on its website, distributed it via e-mail to various list-serves, and followed all of the steps of its Public Participation Plan through the expiration of the public comment period, which terminated with the MPO’s meeting on February 12, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the MPO has reviewed the proposed Transportation Improvement Program Amendment for those projects and determined that it is consistent with the MPO’s adopted plans and policies; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with all required State and federal procedures, rules and regulations, including but not limited to the Florida Department of Transportation’s MPO Administrative Manual, the TIP Amendment must be accompanied by an endorsement indicating official MPO approval.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization that:

1. The FY 2020/21 - 2024/25 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment set forth in Attachment 1 is hereby approved and adopted by the MPO.
2. The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Chair is hereby authorized to execute this Resolution certifying the MPO Board’s approval of the Amendment to the FY 20120/21 - 2024/25 Transportation Improvement Program for transmittal to FDOT and the Federal Highway Administration.

This Resolution PASSED and duly adopted by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization Board after majority vote on this 12th day of February 2021.
COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Attest:

By: __________________________
   Anne McLaughlin
   MPO Executive Director

By: __________________________
   Print Name: ______________________
   MPO Chair

Approved as to form and legality:

[Signature]
Scott R. Teach
Deputy County Attorney
## TIP Amendment for Approval by MPO Board on February 12, 2021 for FY 2020/21 through FY 2024/25 TIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPN</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Requested by</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>FTA Grant Award to Transit Agency for 5310 funding.</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) – Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>DPTO</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>FTA Grant Award to Transit Agency for 5310 funding.</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) – Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$18,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>FTA Grant Award to Transit Agency for 5310 funding.</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) – Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$23,700</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Agency</th>
<th>TIP Page</th>
<th>LRTP Reference (2040)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>Appendix L</td>
<td>CFP Transit p6-32 Table 6-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

Attest: __________________________ Date: __________ By: __________________________ Date: __________

Anne McLaughlin
Collier MPO Executive Director

Approved as to form and legality

Scott R. Teach, Deputy County Attorney
4488101 5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) - Bonita Springs UZA

Project Description:  

Prior Years Cost: N/A  
Future Years Cost: N/A  
Total Project Cost: N/A  
LRTP Ref: 2040 CFP Transit p6-32  
Table 6-7  
TIP Amendment: 2/12/2021

Work Summary:

Lead Agency: COLLIER COUNTY  
Length: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2020/21</th>
<th>2021/22</th>
<th>2022/23</th>
<th>2023/24</th>
<th>2024/25</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DPTO</td>
<td>2,370</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>18,960</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2,370</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>23,700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted by MPO Board on June 12, 2020  
Amended by MPO Board on February 12, 2021

Attachment: FY2021-2025 TIP Amendment & Authorizing Resolution (14932 : Approve an Amendment to the FY 2021-2025 Transportation Planning Process)
Ms. Anne McLaughlin, Executive Director
Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
2885 South Horseshoe Drive
Naples, FL 34104

RE: Request for Amendment to the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Fiscal Years 2020/2021 through Fiscal Years 2024/2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Dear Ms. McLaughlin:

The letter is a formal request for the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to approve the following amendment to the FY2020/21 – FY2024/25 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) at the February 12, 2021 MPO Board Meeting.

This is a new project and it’s required to be added to the MPO’s TIP for this current fiscal year. In order to receive federal funds for this project, these changes are required to be amended into the Collier’s MPO’s FY2020/2021 through FY2024/2025 TIP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPN Number</th>
<th>Federal Project Description</th>
<th>Phase Group</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Funding Type</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) - Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>$2,370.</td>
<td>DPTO</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>This is a new project that has been selected during the competitive application process for 5310 funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) - Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>$18,960.</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>This is a new project that has been selected during the competitive application process for 5310 funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448810-1</td>
<td>5310 Capital Collier County BOCC (CAT) - Bonita Springs UZA</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>$2,370.</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>This is a new project that has been selected during the competitive application process for 5310 funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (239) 872-5904.

Sincerely,

Victoria G Peters
Planning Specialist II; Community Liaison

VGP:vgp

cc: Stacey Blizzard, Federal Highway Administration
    John Crocker, Federal Transit Administration
    Mark Reichert, Florida Department of Transportation
    Samantha Parks, Florida Department of Transportation
    Denise Strickland, Florida Department of Transportation
    Wayne Gaither, Florida Department of Transportation
    Michelle Peronto, Florida Department of Transportation
    Dale Hanson, Florida Department of Transportation
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Election of Representatives to Serve on the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council (MPOAC) Board for 2021.

OBJECTIVE: For the MPO Board to elect an MPOAC representative and alternate for 2021.

CONSIDERATIONS: The administrative rule of the MPOAC provides that “by no later than December 31st of each year, each metropolitan planning organization shall appoint its representative to the MPOAC to serve for the succeeding calendar year.” See MPOAC email requesting names of appointees for calendar year 2021, Attachment 1.

The tentative dates and locations for the 2021 MPOAC meetings are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 2021</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 29, 2021</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 29, 2021</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 28, 2021</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MPO elected Commissioner William McDaniel at the June meeting to serve as the MPOAC representative for the remainder of the calendar year and Commissioner Andy Solis to serve as alternate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: Not applicable

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: For the MPO Board to elect or re-elect an MPOAC representative and alternate for 2021.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. MPOAC Email Regarding Appointments (PDF)
Item Number: 10.A
Doc ID: 14934

Item Summary: Election of Representatives to Serve on the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council (MPOAC) Board for 2021.

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 1:02 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 1:02 PM

Approved By:

Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Brandy Otero</th>
<th>MPO Analyst Review</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>02/03/2021 3:39 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Anne McLaughlin</td>
<td>MPO Executive Director Review</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>02/03/2021 4:24 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Anne McLaughlin</td>
<td>Meeting Pending</td>
<td></td>
<td>02/12/2021 9:00 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi Carl,

Here is the information you requested:

MPOAC Governing Board: Commissioner William McDaniel, primary; Commissioner Andy Solis, alternate
Staff Directors Advisory Committee: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director, primary; Brandy Otero, Principal Planner, alternate

Regards,

Anne McLaughlin
Executive Director

Office: 239-252-5884
Cell: 239-919-4378
2885 South Horseshoe Dr.
Naples, FL 34104
www.colliermpo.com
anne.mclaughlin@colliercountyfl.gov

---

Dear MPOAC Staff Directors,

Greetings! I am seeking your assistance to insure we stay in compliance with the MPOAC bylaws. Annually all 27 individual member organizations of MPOAC are required to submit to me by January 1st their appointments to the Staff Directors Advisory Committee and the MPOAC Governing Board.
You may identify a primary member and multiple alternate members so long as the alternate members are listed in order of their preference as alternates. For example you may list these individuals as 1st alternate, 2nd alternate, etc. We understand that your MPO may select new representatives to MPOAC later in the calendar year and you are quite welcome to make changes at any time during the year. For us to meet the requirements in the MPOAC bylaws please send to me either a letter or an email stating who your representatives are by January 1st. If you hold elections after January 1st, you are more than welcome to send another email or letter at that time and change your representative(s) to MPOAC.

In order to seat your member representative at the January MPOAC meeting, I must have either an email or a letter from you declaring who your representatives will be for your MPO/TPO/TPA by January 1st. As mentioned above, this can be as simple as an email response to me stating who your representatives will be for BOTH the Staff Directors and Governing Board.

I thank you very much for your help with this matter.

Have a great day,

CARL MIKYSKA, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
MPOAC
605 SUWANEE STREET, MS 28B
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0450

carl.mikyska@mpoac.org
850/414-4062

Under Florida Law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by telephone or in writing.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Review and Approval of Amended Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Bylaws

OBJECTIVE: To review and approve the amended BPAC bylaws.

CONSIDERATIONS: The BPAC has been unable to meet the in-person quorum requirement since the expiration of the Governor’s Executive Order (EO) 20-69 on November 1, 2020. EO 20-69 had temporarily suspended requirements for local government bodies to hold a quorum in-person or meet in a specific public place in response to the COVID-19 public health emergency.

MPO staff contacted BPAC members regarding their willingness to attend in-person meetings. A majority expressed concern with attending meetings in-person due to the COVID-19 pandemic. One member resigned, expressing an unwillingness to attend in-person meetings during the pandemic coupled with a dislike of virtual meetings.

MPO staff is proposing a revision to Section V.E. of the BPAC bylaws reducing the number of committee members needed in attendance to create an in-person quorum from a majority of voting members to a total of four (4). The proposed change is shown in Attachment 1 in strikethrough/underline format followed by the clean version.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: The BPAC was unable to meet the in-person quorum requirement in November 2020 and January 2021. If the MPO Board votes to approve the amendment, MPO staff anticipate being able to convene the committee in February so members can vote to endorse the action the Board has taken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: For the Board to review and approve the amended BPAC bylaws.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Draft 2021 BPAC Bylaws - Track Changes and Clean Version (PDF)
Item Number: 10.B
Doc ID: 14935
Item Summary: Review and Approval of Amended Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Bylaws

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 1:09 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 1:09 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Brandy Otero  MPO Analyst Review  Completed  02/03/2021 3:25 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  MPO Executive Director Review  Completed  02/03/2021 3:28 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  Meeting  Pending  02/12/2021 9:00 AM
BYLAWS

for

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

of

COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
COLLIER COUNTY
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE BYLAWS

The following Bylaws guide the proper functioning of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization’s (MPO) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee. The intent is to provide procedures and policies to assist the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory committee to accomplish its purpose.

SECTION I: NAME

The name of this Committee shall be the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (hereinafter the “BPAC”) of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (hereinafter, the “MPO”).

SECTION II: PURPOSE

A. The purpose of the BPAC is to provide citizen input into the deliberation of bicycle- and pedestrian-related issues within the community, to advise the MPO on developing a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP) that is responsive to the needs of the community, to recommend policies and advise the MPO Board of opportunities that will improve the walking and bicycling environment, recommend priorities for bicycle and pedestrian projects and program implementation, and contribute to the BPMP’s vision and recommendations regarding the development of the bicycle and pedestrian network.

B. The mission of the BPAC is to promote walking and bicycling as integral components of local, regional and state recreational and transportation networks.

SECTION III: MEMBERSHIP, APPOINTMENT AND TERM OF APPOINTMENT, AND TERMINATION OF MEMBERSHIP

A. Voting Members:

The BPAC shall be composed of twelve (12) at-large voting members representing a wide cross-section of Collier County residents and neighborhoods, bicycle and pedestrian safety professionals, Safe Routes to Schools organizations, transit riders, local bicycle and pedestrian advocacy groups, organizations that encourage active transportation from a community health perspective, and advocates for persons with disabilities, and other transportation disadvantaged populations.

B. Appointment and Term of Appointment:

1. All BPAC members are appointed by the MPO for a fixed term of two (2) years.
2. Reappointment to additional term(s) may be approved by the MPO at the written request of the BPAC member.

C. Termination of Membership:

If at any time, the MPO believes that any BPAC member is not performing his or her duties in accordance with these bylaws, the MPO may remove that member from the BPAC.

SECTION IV: OFFICERS, TERMS OF OFFICE, AND DUTIES

A. Officers and Terms of Office:

1. A Chair and a Vice-Chair of the BPAC shall be elected at the first regularly scheduled meeting of each calendar year when a quorum is attained and shall hold the offices until their successors are elected.

2. Any voting member may nominate or be nominated as an officer. All elections shall be held by the majority vote of voting members present.

3. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall serve for a period of one (1) year or until a successor is elected.

4. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall be voting members of the BPAC.

B. Chair Duties:

1. The Chair shall preside at all meetings and shall be responsible for the conduct of such meetings.

2. The Chair and the MPO Director shall collaborate to establish the agenda for meetings. The Chair acts as a liaison with governmental bodies and other related committees.

C. Vice-Chair Duties

1. The Vice-Chair shall, during the absence of the Chair, have and exercise all of the duties and powers of the Chair.

2. The Vice-Chair shall also perform such duties as may be assigned by the Chair.

D. Absenteeism of an Officer:

If both the Chair and Vice-Chair are absent from a meeting, the Committee shall elect a voting member present to be the Chair for that meeting.
1. Any vacancy in an office created by a resignation or replacement of an Officer shall be filled by a majority vote of voting members.

2. The Officer so elected shall fill the remainder of the unexpired term of the vacant office.

3. If, at any time, the Committee believes that an Officer is not performing his/her duties in accordance with Section IV, Subsection B and C, it may recommend the removal of the Officer to the MPO.

SECTION V: MEETINGS

A. Regular Meetings:

The BPAC shall meet as often as necessary in order to meet its responsibilities. A meeting schedule shall be developed annually, endorsed by the BPAC and approved by the MPO.

B. Special Meetings:

Special meetings may be called by the Chair in coordination with the MPO Director with a minimum of three (3) calendar days’ notice, indicating the reason for the meeting and notifying all member agencies.

C. Notice of Meetings:

A minimum of seven (7) calendar days notice shall be given for regular meetings. Agendas should be sent with meeting notices and, wherever possible, minutes of the previous meeting, at least seven (7) calendar days prior to any regular meeting and at least three (3) calendar days prior to any special meeting.

D. Agendas:

MPO staff is responsible for preparing agendas for each BPAC meeting. Members may request to place items on the tentative agenda by notification to the MPO staff.

E. Quorum:

1. A quorum shall consist of a majority—four of the voting members being physically present at each meeting.

F. Voting:

An affirmative vote of a majority of the BPAC members present shall be necessary in order to take any action.
G. Public Meetings:

All meetings of the BPAC are open to the public and all activities of the BPAC are subject to the Florida Government in the Sunshine Law, Chapter 286, *Florida Statutes*, commonly known as the Sunshine Law.

H. Parliamentary Procedures:

Except as otherwise provided in these Bylaws, Roberts Rules of Order shall be followed at all meetings.

I. Staff

1. The MPO shall provide the BPAC with sufficient staff support and resources to enable it to fulfill its responsibilities.
2. MPO staff shall manage and oversee the operations of the BPAC and assist in scheduling meetings, preparing agenda packets, and other necessary administrative duties as required by the BPAC within the limits of the resources available.
3. Staff shall maintain the minutes and other records of the BPAC.

J. Attendance:

1. It is the intent and strong desire of the MPO that there be full attendance of the BPAC members at all BPAC meetings; recognizing, however, that it may be necessary for BPAC members to be absent from a meeting due to unusual or emergency circumstances. Nevertheless, full attendance at BPAC meetings is encouraged and necessary for the proper operation of the BPAC, and in furtherance thereof, the following requirements are established.
2. Any BPAC member who is absent from three consecutive OR four (4) out of six (6) regularly scheduled BPAC meetings shall be deemed to have tendered his or her resignation from the BPAC.
3. The MPO shall, as soon as practical after such resignation, declare the position to be vacant and shall promptly fill same.
4. No BPAC member shall serve at any meetings after he/she is deemed to have tendered his/her resignation.

SECTION VI: AMENDMENTS

A. Amendments:

Amendments to these Bylaws may be endorsed by an affirmative vote of the BPAC, provided a copy of the proposed amendment shall have been sent to every member at least seven (7) calendar days prior to a vote for endorsement by the BPAC. All proposed
amendments shall be voted on at regular meetings. Any and all amendments to the Bylaws are subject to approval by the Collier MPO.

B. Prior Agreement:

These Bylaws supersede and replace any and all Bylaws previously adopted by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee.

C. Effective Date:

These Bylaws for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee of the Collier County Metropolitan Planning Organization are hereby endorsed in an open session with a quorum present and voting on ____________, 2021 by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee and subsequently approved and adopted by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization on February 12, 2021.
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

By: ____________________________
   Joe Bonness
   BPAC Chair

COLLIER COUNTY
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

By: ____________________________
   MPO Chair

Attested by: ____________________________
   Anne McLaughlin
   MPO Executive Director

COLLIER COUNTY ATTORNEY

Approved as to form and legality

By: ____________________________
   Scott R. Teach
   Deputy County Attorney
BYLAWS

for

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

of

COLLIER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
COLLIER COUNTY
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE BYLAWS

The following Bylaws guide the proper functioning of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization’s (MPO) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee. The intent is to provide procedures and policies to assist the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory committee to accomplish its purpose.

SECTION I: NAME

The name of this Committee shall be the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (hereinafter the “BPAC”) of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (hereinafter, the “MPO”).

SECTION II: PURPOSE

A. The purpose of the BPAC is to provide citizen input into the deliberation of bicycle- and pedestrian-related issues within the community, to advise the MPO on developing a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP) that is responsive to the needs of the community, to recommend policies and advise the MPO Board of opportunities that will improve the walking and bicycling environment, recommend priorities for bicycle and pedestrian projects and program implementation, and contribute to the BPMP’s vision and recommendations regarding the development of the bicycle and pedestrian network.

B. The mission of the BPAC is to promote walking and bicycling as integral components of local, regional and state recreational and transportation networks.

SECTION III: MEMBERSHIP, APPOINTMENT AND TERM OF APPOINTMENT, AND TERMINATION OF MEMBERSHIP

A. Voting Members:

The BPAC shall be composed of twelve (12) at-large voting members representing a wide cross-section of Collier County residents and neighborhoods, bicycle and pedestrian safety professionals, Safe Routes to Schools organizations, transit riders, local bicycle and pedestrian advocacy groups, organizations that encourage active transportation from a community health perspective, and advocates for persons with disabilities, and other transportation disadvantaged populations.

B. Appointment and Term of Appointment:

1. All BPAC members are appointed by the MPO for a fixed term of two (2) years.
2. Reappointment to additional term(s) may be approved by the MPO at the written request of the BPAC member.

C. Termination of Membership:

If at any time, the MPO believes that any BPAC member is not performing his or her duties in accordance with these bylaws, the MPO may remove that member from the BPAC.

SECTION IV: OFFICERS, TERMS OF OFFICE, AND DUTIES

A. Officers and Terms of Office:

1. A Chair and a Vice-Chair of the BPAC shall be elected at the first regularly scheduled meeting of each calendar year when a quorum is attained and shall hold the offices until their successors are elected.

2. Any voting member may nominate or be nominated as an officer. All elections shall be held by the majority vote of voting members present.

3. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall serve for a period of one (1) year or until a successor is elected.

4. The Chair and Vice-Chair shall be voting members of the BPAC.

B. Chair Duties:

1. The Chair shall preside at all meetings and shall be responsible for the conduct of such meetings.

2. The Chair and the MPO Director shall collaborate to establish the agenda for meetings. The Chair acts as a liaison with governmental bodies and other related committees.

C. Vice-Chair Duties

1. The Vice-Chair shall, during the absence of the Chair, have and exercise all of the duties and powers of the Chair.

2. The Vice-Chair shall also perform such duties as may be assigned by the Chair.

D. Absenteeism of an Officer:

If both the Chair and Vice-Chair are absent from a meeting, the Committee shall elect a voting member present to be the Chair for that meeting.
1. Any vacancy in an office created by a resignation or replacement of an Officer shall be filled by a majority vote of voting members.

2. The Officer so elected shall fill the remainder of the unexpired term of the vacant office.

3. If, at any time, the Committee believes that an Officer is not performing his/her duties in accordance with Section IV, Subsection B and C, it may recommend the removal of the Officer to the MPO.

SECTION V: MEETINGS

A. Regular Meetings:

The BPAC shall meet as often as necessary in order to meet its responsibilities. A meeting schedule shall be developed annually, endorsed by the BPAC and approved by the MPO.

B. Special Meetings:

Special meetings may be called by the Chair in coordination with the MPO Director with a minimum of three (3) calendar days’ notice, indicating the reason for the meeting and notifying all member agencies.

C. Notice of Meetings:

A minimum of seven (7) calendar days notice shall be given for regular meetings. Agendas should be sent with meeting notices and, wherever possible, minutes of the previous meeting, at least seven (7) calendar days prior to any regular meeting and at least three (3) calendar days prior to any special meeting.

D. Agendas:

MPO staff is responsible for preparing agendas for each BPAC meeting. Members may request to place items on the tentative agenda by notification to the MPO staff.

E. Quorum:

1. A quorum shall consist of four of the voting members being physically present at each meeting.

F. Voting:

An affirmative vote of a majority of the BPAC members present shall be necessary in order to take any action.
G. Public Meetings:

All meetings of the BPAC are open to the public and all activities of the BPAC are subject to the Florida Government in the Sunshine Law, Chapter 286, Florida Statutes, commonly known as the Sunshine Law.

H. Parliamentary Procedures:

Except as otherwise provided in these Bylaws, Roberts Rules of Order shall be followed at all meetings.

I. Staff

1. The MPO shall provide the BPAC with sufficient staff support and resources to enable it to fulfill its responsibilities.
2. MPO staff shall manage and oversee the operations of the BPAC and assist in scheduling meetings, preparing agenda packets, and other necessary administrative duties as required by the BPAC within the limits of the resources available.
3. Staff shall maintain the minutes and other records of the BPAC.

J. Attendance:

1. It is the intent and strong desire of the MPO that there be full attendance of the BPAC members at all BPAC meetings; recognizing, however, that it may be necessary for BPAC members to be absent from a meeting due to unusual or emergency circumstances. Nevertheless, full attendance at BPAC meetings is encouraged and necessary for the proper operation of the BPAC, and in furtherance thereof, the following requirements are established.
2. Any BPAC member who is absent from three consecutive OR four (4) out of six (6) regularly scheduled BPAC meetings shall be deemed to have tendered his or her resignation from the BPAC.
3. The MPO shall, as soon as practical after such resignation, declare the position to be vacant and shall promptly fill same.
4. No BPAC member shall serve at any meetings after he/she is deemed to have tendered his/her resignation.

SECTION VI: AMENDMENTS

A. Amendments:

Amendments to these Bylaws may be endorsed by an affirmative vote of the BPAC, provided a copy of the proposed amendment shall have been sent to every member at least seven (7) calendar days prior to a vote for endorsement by the BPAC. All proposed
amendments shall be voted on at regular meetings. Any and all amendments to the Bylaws are subject to approval by the Collier MPO.

B. Prior Agreement:

These Bylaws supersede and replace any and all Bylaws previously adopted by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee.

C. Effective Date:

These Bylaws for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee of the Collier County Metropolitan Planning Organization are hereby endorsed in an open session with a quorum present and voting on February ____, 2021 by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee and approved and adopted by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization on February 12, 2021.
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

By: ____________________________________________
    Joe Bonness
    BPAC Chair

COLLIER COUNTY
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

By: ____________________________________________

MPO Chair

Attested by: ______________________________________
    Anne McLaughlin
    MPO Executive Director

COLLIER COUNTY ATTORNEY

Approved as to form and legality

By: ____________________________________________
    Scott R. Teach
    Deputy County Attorney
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approve Two Re-appointments to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to approve two re-appointments to the BPAC.

CONSIDERATIONS: The terms of two current members of the BPAC have expired and staff is recommending that they be re-appointed to new terms.

Ms. Andrea Halman lives in Immokalee and is an outspoken advocate for the community, for safety, and for designing facilities for people of all ages and abilities. Ms. Halman has an excellent attendance record and has expressed her willingness to attend meetings in-person to meet Sunshine Law requirements, which is an important consideration at this time. Ms. Halman has been a member of BPAC since June 2016.

Mr. Matonti has been serving as Vice Chair, has an excellent attendance record and is willing and able to attend meetings in-person. Mr. Matonti is self-employed, Principal of Urban Seed, LLC, an Urban Planning & Development consulting firm. He has served on Technical Advisory Committees for Forward Pinellas, Sarasota/Manatee MPO, and Hillsborough MPO. Previously the lead Senior Planner for the Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority that led the advocacy and development of the Gulf Coast Trail (Southwest Coastal Regional Trail), which when completed will be a shared use nonmotorized trail from St. Petersburg to Naples. He has been a member of BPAC since June 2018.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board approve two re-appointments to the BPAC.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Andrea Halman Application (PDF)
2. Anthony Matonti Application (PDF)
Item Number: 10.C
Doc ID: 14937
Item Summary: Approve Two Re-appointments to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 1:12 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 1:12 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Brandy Otero  MPO Analyst Review  Completed  02/03/2021 4:49 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  MPO Executive Director Review  Completed  02/04/2021 8:12 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  Meeting  Pending  02/12/2021 9:00 AM
REQUEST FOR REAPPOINTMENT TO AN MPO COMMITTEE

Date: Jan 25, 2021

I, Andrea Halman, have been notified that my term on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee has, or will soon expire; therefore, I do hereby request to remain a member of the MPO advisory committee and request that the MPO Board reappoints me to that committee for another term. My updated MPO Advisory Committee Application form is attached.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Attachment: Andrea Halman Application (14937 : Approve Two Re-appointments to the
### 2020 COLLIER COUNTY MPO
(METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION)
ADVISORY COMMITTEE/BOARD APPLICATION

| Return Application to: | Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization  
2885 South Horseshoe Drive  
Naples, Florida 34104  
Phone: (239) 252-5884  
Email: AnneMcLaughlin@colliergov.net |

| Name: | Halman Andrea Floyd |
| Address: | 1372 Lincoln Court |
| City: | Immokalee |
| Home Telephone: | 239-947-8997 |
| Email Address: | AndeHarGw@net.com |
| Referred By: | Date Available: |

Please note: Year-round residents are eligible to apply. Your application will remain active in the MPO’s Office for one (1) year. The application must be complete in order to be considered. Read “Important Information” section on the second page of the application, then sign and date the application. (Use additional pages as needed.)

### PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT LEGIBLY

Date: 1/25/21  
Commission District #/City 5 - Immokalee

If you are a member of, or officially represent a nonprofit or public agency, identify here, and provide link to website:

Please list any Advisory Committees or Boards on which you currently serve:

1. Immokalee CRA  
2. Immokalee HSSC  
3. The Shelter for Abused Women & Child  
4. 

Have you previously served on an MPO advisory committee or board? Please specify committee/board and dates served:

BPAC

Occupation & Employer (if retired, please indicate):

Retired
Please describe your background and experience which you feel provides a useful perspective for this Committee/Board.

In my past professional life while living in the state of Maryland I was a social worker for 25+ years with a focus in the area of children and families. My work included adoption, teen pregnancy, foster care, child sexual/physical abuse and neglect and foster home recruitment and training. While also living in Maryland and as the wife of someone with degrees and interest in production agriculture we focused our free time on the growth and culture of wine grapes and a 3 acre you- pick vineyard for amateur winemakers. Since retirement most of my attention has included craft making, bike riding and reading.

I would describe my prior involvement in community service you’ve been involved in either locally or otherwise (in addition to Committees and Boards you currently serve on.)

As a resident of the Jansetlee I am involved in many activities that benefit the community including: (a) Senior need education; (b) College of Medicine; Immanuel Foundation.

What other MPO advisory committee(s) would you be willing to serve on? 
Any that will help the Jansetlee Community.

Several of the MPO advisory committees/boards have specific membership requirements. To assist the Collier MPO in its selection process, please check as many of the following categories that apply:

1. Year-round resident of:
   - Collier County (unincorporated area) ✅
   - City of Naples
   - City of Marco Island
   - Everglades City

2. Member of one of the following organizations or groups:
   - AARP
   - Adventure Cycling
   - Bicycling/Walking Advocacy Group:
   - Professional Association:
   - Chamber of Commerce:
   - Visitors & Tourism Bureau
   - Community Redevelopment Agency ✅
   - NAACP
   - Historical Preservation Society:
   - Homeowners’ Association: Arrowhead Immanuel ✅
3. Representative of one of the following:

- Persons with Disabilities
- Major Employer in the MPO Region
- Small Business Owner
- State, City or County Department of Children & Families
- State, City or County Department of Health
- State, City, or County Department of Education
- Educational Institution
- Elderly Health Care Provider
- Other Health Care Provider
- Transit Rider(s)
- Developmental Disability Service Provider
- Elderly – Advocate/Instructor - Mobility and Access to Services
- Veterans – Advocate/Instructor – Mobility & Access to Services
- Family Service Provider
- Police, Sheriff Department
- Community Transportation Safety Team Member
- Minorities & Disadvantaged Populations, Advocate/Service Provider
- Tribal Member, Officially Designated Representative
- Tribal Member, Acting In Individual Capacity
- Other, please specify

4. Professional/Career Credentials:

- Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Instructor
- American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP)
- Registered Architect or Landscape Architect
- Licensed Attorney
- Licensed Engineer
- Licensed General Contractor
- Licensed First Responder or Health Care Professional
- Licensed Realtor
- Other, please specify M.S.W.
5. Knowledge, training, background, interest or experience in:

- Natural Sciences, Environmental Conservation
- Mobility & Access for the workforce
- Public Finance, Grants, NGOs
- Sustainable Development, Sustainable Transportation
- Planning, Engineering, Architecture, Landscape Architecture
- Economic Development
- Land Development/Redevelopment
- Archaeological, Cultural & Historic Resources
- Mobility/Active Living (related to community health)
- Tourism Industry
- Parent, Advocate for Working Families
- Other, please specify ____________________________

The Collier MPO strives to ensure equal access and representation for minorities, women and those with disabilities to serve on advisory boards/committees.

Questions 6 through 8 are OPTIONAL

6. Gender:

- Female
- Male ✓

7. Race/Ethnicity:

- White
- Hispanic or Latino
- Black or African American
- Asian or Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Other: ____________________________

8. Handicapped/Disabled:

- Yes ✓
- No
IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

- Be advised that membership on certain advisory committees may involve financial disclosure or the submission of information.

- Florida State Statute 119.07 designates that this application as a document be made available for anyone requesting to view it.

Your application is not complete until you answer the following question and date the form.

Are you related to any member of the Collier MPO?

__________________ YES ___/___ NO

Applicant’s Signature: Andrea Halman

Date Signed: 1/25/21
REQUEST FOR REAPPOINTMENT TO AN MPO COMMITTEE

Date: January 28, 2021

I, Anthony Matonti, have been notified that my term on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee has, or will soon expire; therefore, I do hereby request to remain a member of the MPO advisory committee and request that the MPO Board reappoints me to that committee for another term. My updated MPO Advisory Committee Application form is attached.

Sincerely,

_____________________
Signature

Anthony Matonti
Return
Application
to:

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
2885 South Horseshoe Drive
Naples, Florida 34104
Phone: (239) 252-5884
Email: AnneMcLaughlin@colliergov.net

Name: MATONTI ANTHONY VINCENT
Last First Middle
Address: 7170 WILTON DRIVE __________________________ Zip Code: 34109
City: NAPLES Home Telephone: 954-288-7083 Contact Time: 8-5
Email Address: ANTHONY@URBANSEEDLLC.COM
Referrer By: ANNE MCLAUGHLIN Date Available: ASAP
I am applying for: BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Please note: Year-round residents are eligible to apply. Your application will remain active in the MPO’s Office for one (1) year. The application must be complete in order to be considered. Read “Important Information” section on the second page of the application, then sign and date the application. (Use additional pages as needed.)

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT LEGIBLY
Date: 01/28/2021 Commission District #:/City 2/NAPLES
Tribal Affiliation:_________________________________________________________
If you are a member of, or officially represent a nonprofit or public agency, identify here, and provide link to website:

________________________________________________________________________

Please list any Advisory Committees or Boards on which you currently serve:

1. ____________________________________ 3. ____________________________________
2. ____________________________________ 4. ____________________________________

Have you previously served on an MPO advisory committee or board? Please specify committee/board and dates served:
COLLIER COUNTY MPO - Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee 2018-2020
Technical Advisory Committees - Forward Pinellas, Sarasota/Manatee MPO, Hillsborough MPO 2015-2017

Occupation & Employer (if retired, please indicate):
Self Employed - Urban Seed LLC
Please describe your background and experience which you feel provides a useful perspective for this Committee/Board.
Native Floridian and Urban Planner that has previously worked for local and state government agencies in Florida. Previously the lead Senior Planner for the Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority that led the advocacy and development of the Gulf Coast Trail (SW Coastal Regional Trail) which, when completed, will be a shared use non motorized trail from St. Petersburg to Naples. Extensive experience working alongside MPOs, FDOT, and the public. Currently provide development management services to major developers in Florida which allows for knowledge in pre project planning, construction methods, and materials.

Please describe any public involvement or community service you’ve been involved in either locally or otherwise (in addition to Committees and Boards you currently serve on.)
Actively involved in the health care community of the greater SW Florida region to promote preventable healthcare (2018-present)

What other MPO advisory committee(s) would you be willing to serve on?
CAC

Several of the MPO advisory committees/boards have specific membership requirements. To assist the Collier MPO in its selection process, please check as many of the following categories that apply:

1. Year-round resident of:
   - Collier County (unincorporated area) X
   - City of Naples
   - City of Marco Island
   - Everglades City

2. Member of one of the following organizations or groups:
   - AARP
   - Adventure Cycling
   - Bicycling/Walking Advocacy Group:
   - Professional Association: American Planning Association X
   - Chamber of Commerce:
   - Visitors & Tourism Bureau
   - Community Redevelopment Agency
   - NAACP
   - Historical Preservation Society:
   - Homeowners’ Association: Avery Square, Vice President X
• Environmental Group: _________________________________
• Home Builders Association
• Collier County Public Schools
• Other NGO, Community Association____________________
• Agricultural Industry
• Trucking Industry
• Other, please specify Young Professionals of Naples X

3. Representative of one of the following:
• Persons with Disabilities
• Major Employer in the MPO Region
• Small Business Owner Urban Seed LLC & Matonti Dental X
• State, City or County Department of Children & Families
• State, City or County Department of Health
• State, City, or County Department of Education
• Educational Institution
• Elderly Health Care Provider
• Other Health Care Provider
• Transit Rider(s)
• Developmental Disability Service Provider
• Elderly – Advocate/Instructor - Mobility and Access to Services
• Veterans – Advocate/Instructor – Mobility & Access to Services
• Family Service Provider
• Police, Sheriff Department
• Community Transportation Safety Team Member
• Minorities & Disadvantaged Populations, Advocate/Service Provider
• Tribal Member, Officially Designated Representative
• Tribal Member, Acting in Individual Capacity
• Other, please specify __________________________________

4. Professional/Career Credentials:
• Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Instructor
• American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP)
• Registered Architect or Landscape Architect
• Licensed Attorney
• Licensed Engineer
• Licensed General Contractor
• Licensed First Responder or Health Care Professional
• Licensed Realtor
• Other, please specify __________________________________
5. Knowledge, training, background, interest or experience in:

- Natural Sciences, Environmental Conservation
- Mobility & Access for the workforce
- Public Finance, Grants, NGOs
- Sustainable Development, Sustainable Transportation
- Planning, Engineering, Architecture, Landscape Architecture
- Economic Development
- Land Development/Redevelopment
- Archaeological, Cultural & Historic Resources
- Mobility/Active Living (related to community health)
- Tourism Industry
- Parent, Advocate for Working Families
- Other, please specify Building Contrsution

The Collier MPO strives to ensure equal access and representation for minorities, women and those with disabilities to serve on advisory boards/committees.

Questions 6 through 8 are OPTIONAL

6. Gender:

- Female
- Male

7. Race/Ethnicity:

- White
- Hispanic or Latino
- Black or African American
- Asian or Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Other: ______________

8. Handicapped/Disabled:

- Yes
- No
IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

- Be advised that membership on certain advisory committees/boards may involve financial disclosure or the submission of other information.

- Florida State Statute 119.07 designates that this application as a public document be made available for anyone requesting to view it.

Your application is not complete until you answer the following question, sign and date the form.

Are you related to any member of the Collier MPO?

________ YES X ________ NO

Applicant’s Signature: Anthony Matonti

Date Signed: January 28, 2021
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approve Re-appointment to the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to approve a reappointment to the CAC.

CONSIDERATIONS: The term of a current member of the CAC, Mr. Rick Hart, expired at the end of October 2020. Mr. Hart (Attachment 1) represents Persons with Disabilities. Mr. Hart is a Support Group Facilitator and Assistive Tech Instructor with the Lighthouse of Collier and a certified Counselor. He has an excellent attendance record and is an advocate for mobility and access for the workforce as well as for the blind and persons with other disabilities. Mr. Hart is willing to attend meetings in-person to meet the MPO’s Sunshine Law requirements, which is an important consideration at this time. Mr. Hart has been a member of the CAC since October 2017.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board approve the appointment of Mr. Hart to the CAC.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Rick Hart - Advisory Committee Application (PDF)
COLLIER COUNTY
Metropolitan Planning Organization

Item Number: 10.D
Doc ID: 14938
Item Summary: Approve Re-appointment to the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 1:17 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 1:17 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization Brandy Otero MPO Analyst Review Completed 02/04/2021 9:06 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin MPO Executive Director Review Completed 02/04/2021 9:07 AM
Metropolitan Planning Organization Anne McLaughlin Meeting Pending 02/12/2021 9:00 AM
REQUEST FOR REAPPOINTMENT TO AN MPO COMMITTEE

Date: 1/27/2021

I, Rick Hart, have been notified that my term on the Citizens Advisory Committee has, or will soon expire; therefore, I do hereby request to remain a member of the MPO advisory committee and request that the MPO Board reappoints me to that committee for another term. My updated MPO Advisory Committee Application form is attached.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Return Application to: Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
2885 South Horseshoe Drive
Naples, Florida 34104
Phone: (239) 252-5884
Email: AnneMcLaughlin@colliergov.net

Name: Hart
First: Rick
Middle: J.
Address: 1100 Diamond Circle #1104
City: Naples
Zip Code: 34104
Home Telephone: 728-642-6793
Email Address: RickC.LighthouseOfCollier.org
Referred By: Self
Date Available: 1/27/2021
I am applying for: MPO Committee - CAC

Please note: Year-round residents are eligible to apply. Your application will remain active in the MPO’s Office for one (1) year. The application must be complete in order to be considered. Read “Important Information” section on the second page of the application, then sign and date the application. (Use additional pages as needed.)

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT LEGIBLY

Date: 1/27/2021
Commission District #: City

Tribal Affiliation:
If you are a member of, or officially represent a nonprofit or public agency, identify here, and provide link to website:
Lighthouse of Collier

Please list any Advisory Committees or Boards on which you currently serve:
1. CAC (MPO)
2. 
3. 
4.

Have you previously served on an MPO advisory committee or board? Please specify committee/board and dates served:
Yes

Occupation & Employer (if retired, please indicate):
Assistive Tech Trainer
Support Group Facilitator
Please describe your background and experience which you feel provides a useful perspective for this Committee/Board.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Please describe any public involvement or community service you’ve been involved in either locally or otherwise (in addition to Committees and Boards you currently serve on.)

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

What other MPO advisory committee(s) would you be willing to serve on?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Several of the MPO advisory committees/boards have specific membership requirements. To assist the Collier MPO in its selection process, please check as many of the following categories that apply:

1. Year-round resident of:
   - Collier County (unincorporated area) [ ]
   - City of Naples [ ]
   - City of Marco Island [ ]
   - Everglades City [ ]

2. Member of one of the following organizations or groups:
   - AARP [ ]
   - Adventure Cycling [ ]
   - Bicycling/Walking Advocacy Group: ____________________________ [ ]
   - Professional Association: ____________________________ [ ]
   - Chamber of Commerce: ____________________________ [ ]
   - Visitors & Tourism Bureau [ ]
   - Community Redevelopment Agency [ ]
   - NAACP [ ]
   - Historical Preservation Society: ____________________________ [ ]
   - Homeowners’ Association: ____________________________ [ ]
3. **Representative of one of the following:**

- Persons with Disabilities
- Major Employer in the MPO Region
- Small Business Owner
- State, City or County Department of Children & Families
- State, City or County Department of Health
- State, City, or County Department of Education
- Educational Institution
- Elderly Health Care Provider
- Other Health Care Provider
- Transit Rider(s)
- Developmental Disability Service Provider
- Elderly – Advocate/Instructor - Mobility and Access to Services
- Veterans – Advocate/Instructor – Mobility & Access to Services
- Family Service Provider
- Police, Sheriff Department
- Community Transportation Safety Team Member
- Minorities & Disadvantaged Populations, Advocate/Service Provider
- Tribal Member, Officially Designated Representative
- Tribal Member, Acting in Individual Capacity
- Other, please specify ________________

4. **Professional/Career Credentials:**

- Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Instructor
- American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP)
- Registered Architect or Landscape Architect
- Licensed Attorney
- Licensed Engineer
- Licensed General Contractor
- Licensed First Responder or Health Care Professional
- Licensed Realtor
- Other, please specify **Certified Counselor**
5. Knowledge, training, background, interest or experience in:

- Natural Sciences, Environmental Conservation  
- Mobility & Access for the workforce  
- Public Finance, Grants, NGOs  
- Sustainable Development, Sustainable Transportation  
- Planning, Engineering, Architecture, Landscape Architecture  
- Economic Development  
- Land Development/Redevelopment  
- Archaeological, Cultural & Historic Resources  
- Mobility/Active Living (related to community health)  
- Tourism Industry  
- Parent, Advocate for Working Families  
- Other, please specify

The Collier MPO strives to ensure equal access and representation for minorities, women and those with disabilities to serve on advisory boards/committees.

Questions 6 through 8 are OPTIONAL

6. Gender:

- Female
- Male

7. Race/Ethnicity:

- White
- Hispanic or Latino
- Black or African American
- Asian or Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Other: ____________________

8. Handicapped/Disabled:

- Yes
- No
IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

- Be advised that membership on certain advisory committees/boards may involve financial disclosure or the submission of other information.

- Florida State Statute 119.07 designates that this application as a public document be made available for anyone requesting to view it.

Your application is not complete until you answer the following question, sign and date the form.

Are you related to any member of the Collier MPO?

[ ] YES [X] NO

Applicant’s Signature: [signature]

Date Signed: 1/27/2021
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FDOT Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to receive a presentation from FDOT and a briefing from staff on FDOT’s Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026.

CONSIDERATIONS: FDOT released the Draft Tentative Work Program FY2022-2026 on January 8, 2021 (Attachment 1). FDOT focused on programming bike/ped projects as per the Board’s SU allocation policy, as evident from reviewing the Summary of Changes (Attachment 2). FDOT will provide a briefing in the form of a video, which can be viewed on the MPO’s website.

The MPO’s SUNTrail network received a boost with the news that the Livingston FPL Trail Extension received $1.1 million in SUNTrail funding for a Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) study in FY26. FDOT has also programmed $300,000 for the Marco Loop Trail Feasibility Study which received funding in FY22, they will act as lead agency for the study.

MPO and County staff have provided comments to FDOT regarding the following projects:

- FPN 4051061 (p10) Collier MPO Identified Operational Improvements Funding (aka “The SU Box”) is carrying a large balance - just over $2 million - in FY 2022. The balance results from federal budget reconciliation. Two projects have been suggested in order to use the funds. Programming the funds will require a TIP amendment and take place after July 1, 2021.
  - Old US 41 (FPN 4353471) - FDOT is project lead, in PD&E; no funding identified yet for design; the project is of regional interest, linking Lee and Collier Counties
  - Advancing Corkscrew Rd (FPN 4463232, p12) - prioritized as a safety project by Collier MPO; part of a regional project linking Lee and Collier Counties; approximately $1.3 million is programmed for construction in FY24.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: Due to the lack of an in-person quorum, neither the CAC nor the TAC were able to meet to review and comment on the Draft Tentative Work Program in January.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board receive a presentation from FDOT and a briefing from staff on FDOT’s Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026 (PDF)
2. Summary of Changes (PDF)
COLLIER COUNTY
Metropolitan Planning Organization

Item Number: 11.A
Doc ID: 14939
Item Summary: FDOT Draft Tentative Work Program FY 2022-2026

Meeting Date: 02/12/2021

Prepared by:
Title: – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Karen Intriago
02/03/2021 1:23 PM

Submitted by:
Title: Executive Director - MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization
Name: Anne McLaughlin
02/03/2021 1:23 PM

Approved By:

Review:
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Brandy Otero  MPO Analyst Review  Completed  02/03/2021 3:35 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  MPO Executive Director Review  Completed  02/03/2021 4:25 PM
Metropolitan Planning Organization  Anne McLaughlin  Meeting  Pending  02/12/2021 9:00 AM
TENTATIVE FIVE YEAR WORK PROGRAM
FISCAL YEARS 2022-2026

COLLIER COUNTY

FDOT

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - DISTRICT ONE
MISSION STATEMENT

The Department will provide a safe, interconnected statewide transportation system for Florida’s citizens and visitors that ensures the mobility of people and goods, while enhancing economic prosperity and sustaining the quality of our environment.

JoAnn May
Communications Specialist
Southwest Area Office
10041 Daniels Parkway
Fort Myers, FL 33913
(239) 225-1979
Email: joann.may@dot.state.fl.us

Victoria Peters
Community Liaison
Southwest Area Office
10041 Daniels Parkway
Fort Myers, FL 33913
(239) 225-1974
Email: Victoria.Peters@dot.state.fl.us

For additional information, please visit our website at www.swflroads.com
CURRENTLY REPORTED ACTIVE FUND CODES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCM</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (CM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACER</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNP</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSA</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSS</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS, HSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSU</td>
<td>ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNDT</td>
<td>BOND - STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNR</td>
<td>INTRASTATE R/W &amp; BRIDGE BONDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRRP</td>
<td>STATE BRIDGE REPAIR &amp; REHAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIGP</td>
<td>COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>CONGESTION MITIGATION - AQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>UNRESTRICTED STATE PRIMARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>ST. - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTS</td>
<td>STATEWIDE ITS - STATE 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dpto</td>
<td>STATE - PTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>EVERGLADES PKY/ALLIGATOR ALLEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU</td>
<td>STATE PRIMARY/FEDERAL REIMB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUCA</td>
<td>TRANSIT CARES ACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM21</td>
<td>GAA EARMARKS FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER17</td>
<td>2017 EMERGENCY RELIEF EVENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTAT</td>
<td>FHWA TRANSFER TO FTA (NON-BUD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFSA</td>
<td>GF STPBG ANY AREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFSU</td>
<td>GF STPBG &gt;200 (URBAN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMR</td>
<td>GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>LOCAL FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFP</td>
<td>LOCAL FUNDS FOR PARTICIPATING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFR</td>
<td>LOCAL FUNDS/REIMBURSABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHRE</td>
<td>NAT HWY PERFORM - RESURFACING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>METRO PLAN (85% FA; 15% OTHER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>STP, ANY AREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIWR</td>
<td>2015 SB2514A-STRATEGIC INT SYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR2T</td>
<td>SAFE ROUTES - TRANSFER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED</td>
<td>2012 SB1998-STRATEGIC ECON COR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td>STP, URBAN AREAS &gt; 200K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION ALTS- ANY AREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TALU</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION ALTS- &gt;200K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIP</td>
<td>TRANS REGIONAL INCENTIVE PROGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRWR</td>
<td>2015 SB2514A-TRAN REG INCT PRG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPN</td>
<td>Project/Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410131</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY MPO TRANSIT PLANNING FTA SECTION 5305 (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101201</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY FTA SECTION 5311 OPERATING ASSISTANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101391</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY STATE TRANSIT BLOCK GRANT OPERATING ASSISTANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101461</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY FTA SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ASSISTANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COLLIER COUNTY FTA SECTION 5307 OPERATING ASSISTANCE

**Desc:** OPERATING FOR FIXED ROUTE  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$442,610</td>
<td>$807,700</td>
<td>$798,900</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$442,610</td>
<td>$807,700</td>
<td>$798,900</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $200,000

---

### COLLIER CO./BONITA SPRINGS UZA FTA SECTION 5339 CAPITAL ASSISTANCE

**Desc:** CAPITAL FOR FIXED ROUTE  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$420,937</td>
<td>$463,031</td>
<td>$509,334</td>
<td>$560,267</td>
<td>$616,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$105,234</td>
<td>$115,758</td>
<td>$127,333</td>
<td>$140,067</td>
<td>$154,073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $526,171

---

**FLP: TRANSIT TOTAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$7,670,936</td>
<td>$8,944,837</td>
<td>$10,179,733</td>
<td>$10,991,236</td>
<td>$11,294,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT - 1

**TENTATIVE WORK PROGRAM REPORT**  
*July 1, 2021 Through June 30, 2026*

#### COLLIER COUNTY


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project/Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>Begin Mile Post</th>
<th>End Mile Post</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLP:AVIATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>EVERGLADES ARPT RUNWAY 15/33 CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td><strong>AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desc:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase</strong></td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>2021/2022</td>
<td>2022/2023</td>
<td>2023/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DPTO</td>
<td>$111,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>$2,002,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$111,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,225,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMNT AIRPARK EXTENSION | **AVIATION ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | **IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT REHABILITATE RUNWAY 18/36** | **AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| CAP | DDR | $0 | $0 | $8,335 | $0 | $0 |
| CAP | FAA | $0 | $0 | $150,030 | $0 | $0 |
| CAP | LF | $0 | $0 | $8,335 | $0 | $0 |
| **Project Total:** | | $0 | $0 | $166,700 | $0 | $0 |

| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| IMMOKALEE ARPT ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY FOR RUNWAY 9/27 EXTENSION | **AVIATION ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | **IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT AIRPARK BLVD EXTENSION** | **AVIATION CAPACITY PROJECT** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| CAP | DDR | $400,000 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| CAP | LF | $100,000 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| **Project Total:** | | $500,000 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT AIRPARK BLVD EXTENSION | **AVIATION CAPACITY PROJECT** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| **Desc:** | **Phase** | Fund | 2021/2022  | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | 2024/2025 | 2025/2026 |
| CAP | DPTO | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| CAP | LF | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| **Project Total:** | | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

**Phase Codes**  
ADM – Administration  
CAP – Capitol Improvement  
CS – Construction  
DSB – Design Build  
ENV – Environmental  
INC – Contract Incentives  
LAR – Local Government Reimbursement  
MNT – Bridge/Rdway/Contract Maint  
MSC – Miscellaneous  
OPS – Operations  
PE – Prelim Engineering  
PDE – Project Dev and Enviro  
PLN – Planning  
RES – Research  
ROW – Right of Way  
RRU – Railroad Utilities

Run Date: 12/24/2020  
Packet Pg. 94
### IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT PERIMETER ROAD / TAXIWAY A MODIFICATION

**Desc:** AVIATION PRESERVATION PROJECT  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP DPTO</td>
<td>$13,185</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP FAA</td>
<td>$237,330</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP LF</td>
<td>$13,185</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$263,700</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000,000</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IMMOKALEE REGIONAL ARPT FUEL FARM

**Desc:** AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP DPTO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MARCO ISLAND EXEC ARPT FUEL FARM EXPANSION

**Desc:** AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP DPTO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$375,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MARCO ISLAND EXED ARPT MAINTENANCE FACILITY

**Desc:** AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP DPTO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$750,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NAPLES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT EAST QUADRANT APRON CONSTRUCTION

**Desc:** AVIATION CAPACITY PROJECT  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$184,051</td>
<td>$1,965,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP DPTO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,965,949</td>
<td>$2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,150,000</td>
<td>$4,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,300,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FPN:** 4463531  
**Project/Location:** NAPLES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT SOUTH QUADRANT BOX AND T-HANGARS  
**Desc:** AVIATION REVENUE/OPERATIONAL  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  
**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DPTO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FLP:AVIATION TOTAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,988,700</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$2,141,700</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$10,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPN</td>
<td>Project/Location</td>
<td>Desc</td>
<td>Project Length</td>
<td>Begin Mile Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4318953</td>
<td>16TH ST BRIDGE NE FROM GOLDEN GATE BLVD TO RANDALL BLVD</td>
<td>NEW BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>3.212</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4404411</td>
<td>AIRPORT PULLING RD FROM VANDERBILT RD TO IMMIKALEE RD</td>
<td>ADD THRU LANE(S)</td>
<td>1.970</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4353891</td>
<td>ALLIGATOR ALLEY FIRE STATION @ MM63</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS STRUCTURE</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>11.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4462531</td>
<td>BICYCLE DETECTION CITY OF NAPLES ITS</td>
<td>ITS SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACCM</td>
<td>$1,546,467</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACU</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>$475,877</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$1,211,599</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$4,933,943</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,928,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CIGP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,928,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>CIGP</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$9,856,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
<td>$1,464,680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$67,429</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$67,429</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FPN : 4481271**  
**Project/Location :** COLLIER BLVD - MULTIPLE SEGMENTS  
**Desc:** SIDEWALK  
**Project Length :** 1.667 Begin Mile Post: 0.000 End Mile Post: 1.667  
**Comments :**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,043,099</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,043,099</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FPN : 4404351**  
**Project/Location :** COLLIER COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING OPTIMIZATION AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS  
**Desc:** TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE  
**Project Length :** 0.000 Begin Mile Post: 0.000 End Mile Post: 0.000  
**Comments :**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$351,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$351,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FPN : 4350431**  
**Project/Location :** COLLIER COUNTY SCOUR COUNTERMEASURE AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS  
**Desc:** BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION  
**Project Length :** 0.074 Begin Mile Post: 1.039 End Mile Post: 34.286  
**Comments :**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,575</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>BRRP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,675,719</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>BRRP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,681,294</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FPN : 4126661**  
**Project/Location :** COLLIER COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNALS REIMBURSEMENT  
**Desc:** TRAFFIC SIGNALS  
**Project Length :** 12.814 Begin Mile Post: 1.299 End Mile Post: 14.113  
**Comments :**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$334,373</td>
<td>$349,712</td>
<td>$360,203</td>
<td>$371,009</td>
<td>$389,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$334,373</td>
<td>$349,712</td>
<td>$360,203</td>
<td>$371,009</td>
<td>$389,712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FPN : 4051061**  
**Project/Location :** COLLIER MPO IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS FUNDING  
**Desc:** TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMENT  
**Project Length :** Begin Mile Post:  
End Mile Post:  
**Comments :**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$2,002,624</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$63,265</td>
<td>$266,993</td>
<td>$2,190,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TALU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$374,532</td>
<td>$376,061</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,002,624</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$437,797</td>
<td>$643,054</td>
<td>$2,190,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Phase A.1.1

**Project/Location:** COLLIER TMC OPS FUND COUNTY WIDE

**Desc:** OTHER ITS

**Project Length:** 0.001  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.001

**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$81,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $81,000

---

**Project/Location:** COPELAND AVE SIDEWALK FROM S CITY LIMIT TO NE CORNER BROADWAY/COPELAND

**Desc:** SIDEWALK

**Project Length:** 0.975  
**Begin Mile Post:** 2.742  
**End Mile Post:** 3.695

**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TALU</td>
<td>$377,460</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$249,853</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$80,383</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $747,696

---

**Project/Location:** CORKSCREW RD SOUTH FROM LEE COUNTY CURVE TO COLLIER COUNTY CURVE

**Desc:** WIDEN/RESURFACE EXIST LANES

**Project Length:** 1.005  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.354  
**End Mile Post:** 1.359

**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,321,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $1,321,000

---

**Project/Location:** COUNTY BARN ROAD FROM RATTLESNAKE HAMMOCK TO SR 84(DAVIS BLVD)

**Desc:** BIKE PATH/TRAIL

**Project Length:** 2.045  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 2.045

**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,506,048</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$373,328</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $1,879,376

---

**Project/Location:** CR 846 OVER DRAINAGE CANAL

**Desc:** BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

**Project Length:** 0.018  
**Begin Mile Post:** 4.305  
**End Mile Post:** 4.323

**Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>LFR</td>
<td>$2,459,296</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $2,459,296

---

**Phase Codes**

- ADM – Administration
- CAP – Capitol Improvement
- CS – Construction
- DSB – Design Build
- ENV – Environmental
- INC – Contract Incentives
- LAR – Local Government Reimbursement
- MNT – Bridge/Rdway/Contract Maint
- MSC – Miscellaneous
- OPS – Operations
- PE – Prelim Engineering
- PDE – Project Dev and Enviro
- PLN – Planning
- RES – Research
- ROW – Right of Way
- RRU – Railroad Utilities

---

Run Date: 12/24/2020

Page: 11

Snapshot Date: 12/17/2020
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPN</th>
<th>Project/Location</th>
<th>Desc</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>Begin Mile Post</th>
<th>End Mile Post</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4380921</td>
<td>CR 901/VANDERBILT DR FROM VANDERBILT</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEACH RD TO 109TH AVENUE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4464121</td>
<td>CR 951 (COLLIER BLVD) FROM GOLDEN GATE</td>
<td>WIDEN/RESURFACE EXIST LANES</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>10.490</td>
<td>12.530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CANAL TO GREEN BLVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414801</td>
<td>EDEN PARK ELEMENTARY</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4462501</td>
<td>FIBER OPTIC &amp; FPL</td>
<td>ITS COMMUNICATION SYSTEM</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4481301</td>
<td>GOLDEN GATE SIDEWALKS - VARIOUS</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOCALLATIONS 4 SEGMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4463411</td>
<td>GOODLETTE FRANK RD FROM VANDERBILT DR</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>2.140</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>4.217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO IMMOKALEE RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$706,568</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TALU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,507</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$709,075</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>CIGP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SR2T</td>
<td>$663,333</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TRIP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,714,534</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TRWR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,466</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,507</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,714,534</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,466</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,500,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goodlette-Frank Rd Sidewalks - Various Locations

**Desc:** Sidewalk  
**Project Length:** 0.000  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 0.000  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$535,656</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,350</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,350</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$535,656</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Green Blvd from Santa Barbara Blvd to Sunshine Blvd

**Desc:** Bike Lane/Sidewalk  
**Project Length:** 1.040  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.000  
**End Mile Post:** 1.040  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,084,670</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,084,670</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Harbour Roundabout from Crayton Rd to Harbour Dr

**Desc:** Roundabout  
**Project Length:** 0.033  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.343  
**End Mile Post:** 0.376  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACNP</td>
<td>$4,447,625</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$520,762</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,968,387</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### I-75 (SR 93) from Toll Booth to Collier Blvd

**Desc:** Resurfacing  
**Project Length:** 3.021  
**Begin Mile Post:** 48.996  
**End Mile Post:** 50.657  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACNP</td>
<td>$4,447,625</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$520,762</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,968,387</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### I-75 (SR 93) from SR 951 to Lee County Line

**Desc:** Resurfacing  
**Project Length:** 13.035  
**Begin Mile Post:** 50.641  
**End Mile Post:** 63.676  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$37,828,620</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$37,828,620</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### I-75 (SR 93) from Broward County Line to W of Bridge Nos. 030243/030244

**Desc:** Resurfacing  
**Project Length:** 25.144  
**Begin Mile Post:** 0.063  
**End Mile Post:** 25.207  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$12,657</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>$50,378,013</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,390,670</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Project Length</td>
<td>Begin Mile Post</td>
<td>End Mile Post</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75 (SR 93) FROM WEST OF BRIDGE NOS. 030243/030244 TO TOLL BOOTH</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
<td>23.895</td>
<td>25.207</td>
<td>49.102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75 (SR 93) AT SR 951</td>
<td>INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>50.096</td>
<td>50.747</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75 AT PINE RIDGE ROAD</td>
<td>INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>56.766</td>
<td>56.812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMMOKALEE CITY SIDEWALKS - VARIOUS LOCATIONS</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$47,788,921</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DSB2</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$47,236</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$135,354</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$870,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,250,322</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,450,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$719,046</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$161,097</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phase Codes**
- ADM – Administration
- CAP – Capitol Improvement
- CS – Construction
- DSB – Design Build
- ENV – Environmental
- INC – Contract Incentives
- LAR – Local Government Reimbursement
- MNT – Bridge/Rdway/Contract Maint
- MSC – Miscellaneous
- OPS – Operations
- PE – Prelim Engineering
- PDE – Project Dev and Enviro
- PLN – Planning
- RES – Research
- ROW – Right of Way
- RRU – Railroad Utilities

**Run Date:** 12/24/2020  
**SnapShot Date:** 12/17/2020

**Page:** 14  
**Packet Pg. 102**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPN</th>
<th>Project/Location</th>
<th>Desc</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>Begin Mile Post</th>
<th>End Mile Post</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4475141</td>
<td>LIVINGSTON FPL TRAIL EXT FROM RADIO RD TO COLLIER COUTNY LINE</td>
<td>BIKE PATH/TRAIL</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4404361</td>
<td>MANDARIN GREENWAY SIDEWALKS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS</td>
<td>BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK</td>
<td>10.415</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10.320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4480281</td>
<td>MARCO LOOP TRAIL STUDY</td>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4463172</td>
<td>MOORING ROUNDBOUT FROM CRAYTON RD TO MOORING LINE DR</td>
<td>ROUNDBOUT</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4481291</td>
<td>NAPLES MANOR SIDEWALK - VARIOUS LOCATION 4 SEGMENTS</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4481311</td>
<td>NAPLES SIDEWALKS ON 26TH AVE</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NAPLES TMC OPERATIONS FUNDING CITY WIDE
- **Desc:** OTHER ITS
- **Project Length:** 0.001
- **Begin Mile Post:** 0.000
- **End Mile Post:** 0.001
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NAPLES TRAFFIC SIGNALS REIMBURSEMENT
- **Desc:** TRAFFIC SIGNALS
- **Project Length:** 12.814
- **Begin Mile Post:** 1.299
- **End Mile Post:** 14.113
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$129,650</td>
<td>$138,848</td>
<td>$143,013</td>
<td>$147,303</td>
<td>$154,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$129,650</td>
<td>$138,848</td>
<td>$143,013</td>
<td>$147,303</td>
<td>$154,668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PHASE 3 EVERGLADES CITY BIKE/PED MASTERPLAN
- **Desc:** SIDEWALK
- **Project Length:** 0.000
- **Begin Mile Post:** 0.000
- **End Mile Post:** 0.000
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$57,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TALU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$372,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$430,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PINE ST SIDEWALKS FROM BECCA AVE TO US 41
- **Desc:** SIDEWALK
- **Project Length:** 0.000
- **Begin Mile Post:** 0.000
- **End Mile Post:** 0.000
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$270,511</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$58,719</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$58,719</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$270,511</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SCHOOL FLASHER COLLiER COUNTY ITS
- **Desc:** ITS SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM
- **Project Length:** 0.000
- **Begin Mile Post:** 0.000
- **End Mile Post:** 0.000
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$354,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$354,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SHADOWLAWN ELEMENTARY - SRTS
- **Desc:** SIDEWALK
- **Project Length:** 0.510
- **Begin Mile Post:** 0.000
- **End Mile Post:** 0.510
- **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SR2T</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$771,516</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SR2T</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$90,943</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$771,516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SIGNAL TIMING COUNTY ROADS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS

**Desc:** TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE  
Project Length : 0.001  Begin Mile Post : 0.000  End Mile Post: 0.001  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACCM</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>$451,560</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $452,561

### SOUTH GOLF DR FROM GULF SHORE BLVD TO W US 41

**Desc:** BIKE LANE/SIDEWALK  
Project Length : 2.537  Begin Mile Post : 0.000  End Mile Post: 2.537  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,367,460</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$315,966</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$292,323</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $1,976,749

### SR 29 FROM OIL WELL ROAD TO SUNNILAND NURSERY ROAD

**Desc:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>ACPN</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,140,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $7,440,000

### SR 29 FROM CR 846 E TO N OF NEW MARKET ROAD W

**Desc:** NEW ROAD CONSTRUCTION  
Project Length : 3.484  Begin Mile Post : 36.770  End Mile Post: 40.254  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$968,467</td>
<td>$5,708,149</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Total:** $1,218,467

---

**Notes:**  
- **Phase Codes:** ADM (Administration), CAP (Capitol Improvement), CS (Construction), DSB (Design Build), ENV (Environmental), INC (Contract Incentives), LAR (Local Government Reimbursement), MNT (Bridge/Rdway/Contract Maint), MSC (Miscellaneous), OPS (Operations), PE (Prelim Engineering), PDE (Project Dev and Enviro), PLN (Planning), RES (Research), ROW (Right of Way), RRU (Railroad Utilities)
- **Run Date:** 12/24/2020  
- **SnapShot Date:** 12/17/2020  
- **Packet Pg:** 105
### Project/Location: SR 29 FROM N OF NEW MARKET RD TO SR 82

**Desc:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT

**Project Length:** 3.037  
**Begin Mile Post:** 39.761  
**End Mile Post:** 42.798

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV TALT</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,061,703</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
<td>$1,061,703</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project/Location: SR 29 FROM SR 82 TO HENDRY C/L

**Desc:** ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT

**Project Length:** 1.869  
**Begin Mile Post:** 42.844  
**End Mile Post:** 44.713

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENV ACNP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project/Location: SR 29 WILDLIFE DETECTION N OF PANTHER REFUGE S OF OIL WELL ROAD

**Desc:** OTHER ITS

**Project Length:** 0.960  
**Begin Mile Post:** 24.020  
**End Mile Post:** 24.980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST DIH</td>
<td>$67,827</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST DITS</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$667,827</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project/Location: SR 45 (US 41) FROM S OF DUNRUSS CREEK TO S OF GULF PARK DR

**Desc:** RESURFACING

**Project Length:** 4.735  
**Begin Mile Post:** 1.175  
**End Mile Post:** 5.910

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,117,877</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,083</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST DS</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,656,909</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST SA</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,336,146</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,112,015</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project/Location: SR 45 (US 41) FROM GOLDEN GATE PARKWAY TO 5TH AVENUE SOUTH

**Desc:** FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCT.

**Project Length:** 2.107  
**Begin Mile Post:** 9.957  
**End Mile Post:** 12.064

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FPN : 4308481  Project/Location : SR 82 FROM HENDRY COUNTY LINE TO GATOR SLOUGH LANE
Desc:  ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT
Project Length : 4.022  Begin Mile Post : 0.000  End Mile Post: 4.022
Comments :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,934,726</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,415</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>TALT</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,934,726</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FPN : 4419751  Project/Location : SR 90 (US 41) AT OASIS VISITOR CENTER
Desc:  ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)
Project Length : 0.276  Begin Mile Post : 21.000  End Mile Post: 21.276
Comments :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$1,362,470</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$15,390</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,407,860</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FPN : 4415611  Project/Location : SR 90 FROM WHISTLER’S COVE TO COLLIER BLVD
Desc:  RESURFACING
Comments :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$42,160</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,939,015</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$352,682</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$3,333,857</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FPN : 4351112  Project/Location : SR 951 FROM MANATEE RD TO N OF TOWER RD
Desc:  ADD LANES & REHABILITATE PVMNT
Project Length : 0.769  Begin Mile Post : 8.305  End Mile Post: 9.074
Comments :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$12,204,166</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,150</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$167,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,550,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRU</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,932,566</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TRAFFIC CONTROL COLLIER COUNTY ITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$778,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,000</td>
<td>$778,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TRAVEL TIME DATA COLLIER COUNTY ITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$701,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$701,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TRAVEL TIME DATA COLLECTION COLLIER COUNTY ITS ARCH ATMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>ACCM</td>
<td>$42,615</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>$397,835</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$441,450</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE AT US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE PKWY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$161,098</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>DIH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$103,902</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$222,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$166,098</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$222,757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VANDERBILT BEACH RD FROM US 41 TO E OF GODDLETT F Ran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,214,438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TRIP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,173,552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TRWR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,040,886</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,214,438</td>
<td>$3,173,552</td>
<td>$1,040,886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VEHICLE COUNT STATION COLLIER COUNTY ITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$312,562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$312,562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WIGGINS PASS SIDEWALK FROM VANDERBILT DR TO US 41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,108,804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$320,409</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$320,409</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,108,804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HIGHWAYS TOTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$75,541,854</td>
<td>$76,543,759</td>
<td>$115,378,924</td>
<td>$137,351,082</td>
<td>$8,150,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phase Codes**
- ADM – Administration
- CAP – Capitol Improvement
- CS – Construction
- DSB – Design Build
- ENV – Environmental
- INC – Contract Incentives
- LAR – Local Government Reimbursement
- MNT – Bridge/Rdway/Contract Maint
- MSC – Miscellaneous
- OPS – Operations
- PE – Prelim Engineering
- PDE – Project Dev and Enviro
- PLN – Planning
- RES – Research
- ROW – Right of Way
- RRU – Railroad Utilities
### TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPN</th>
<th>Project/Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>Begin Mile Post</th>
<th>End Mile Post</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4393143</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY MPO FY 2020/2021-2021/2022</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION PLANNING UPWP</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4393144</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY MPO FY 2022/2023-2023/2024</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION PLANNING UPWP</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4393145</td>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY MPO FY 2024/2025-2025/2026</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION PLANNING UPWP</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TRANSPORTATION PLANNING TOTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLN</td>
<td>$548,485</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$548,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLN</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
<td>$547,684</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,643,846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### COLLIER COUNTY TOTALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>2023/2024</th>
<th>2024/2025</th>
<th>2025/2026</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$86,749,975</td>
<td>$87,036,280</td>
<td>$128,248,041</td>
<td>$153,890,002</td>
<td>$30,742,549</td>
<td>$486,666,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM #</td>
<td>ROAD DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>TYPES OF WORK</td>
<td>PHASE</td>
<td>From FY to FY</td>
<td>21/22</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>23/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447514-1</td>
<td>Livingston FPL Trail Ext. from Radio Rd to Collier County Line</td>
<td>PD&amp;E for Bike Path/Trail</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>11/00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448125-1</td>
<td>Immokalee City Sidewalks - Various Locations</td>
<td>6 ft Sidewalks</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>156,097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448069-1</td>
<td>Wiggins Pass Sidewalk</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks and Bike Lanes</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>319,409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448028-1</td>
<td>Marco Loop Trail Study</td>
<td>Feasibility Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448126-1</td>
<td>Goodlette-Frank Rd Sidewalks - Various Locations</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>115,350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448126-1</td>
<td>Pine Street Sidewalks</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>57,719</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448127-1</td>
<td>Collier Blvd Sidewalks</td>
<td>5 ft Bike Lanes</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448129-1</td>
<td>Naples Manor Sidewalk - Various Locations</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks</td>
<td>PE PE CST CEI CEI</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>295,264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM #</td>
<td>ROAD</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>TYPES OF WORK</td>
<td>PHASE</td>
<td>From FY to FY</td>
<td>21/22</td>
<td>22/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448130-1</td>
<td>Golden Gate Sidewalks</td>
<td>Various Locations</td>
<td>4 Segments including: 24th PL SW, 27th PL SW, 43rd St SW and 47th Terr SW</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448131-1</td>
<td>Naples Sidewalks on 26th Ave</td>
<td>26th Ave North, from East of US 41 (990 26th Ave N) to Goodlette Frank Rd</td>
<td>5 ft Sidewalks on both sides (or one side) of 26th Ave North.</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448265-1</td>
<td>Phase 3 of the Everglades City Bike/Ped Masterplan. (Including: Hibiscus St and Broadway Ave, City of Everglades.)</td>
<td>Segment One: Broadway Ave fr/ Riverside Dr to Collier Ave; Segment II: Hibiscus St fr/Broadway to Collier Ave.</td>
<td>6 ft. Sidewalks &amp; Bike Lanes.</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447556-1</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93)</td>
<td>I-75; from SR 951 to Lee County Line</td>
<td>Resurfacing</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>$34,192,745.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448693-1</td>
<td>SR 29 Wildlife Detection System</td>
<td>SR29; North of Panther Refuge; South of Oil Well Road</td>
<td>Wildlife Detection Sensors</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444008-2</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93)</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93) (Alligator Alley) fr/Broward County Line to W of Bridge #s 030243/030244</td>
<td>Milling and Resurfacing.</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>$45,534,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444008-3</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93)</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93) (Alligator Alley) fr/Broward County Line to W of Bridge #s 030243/030244</td>
<td>Milling and Resurfacing.</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>$43,080,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437908-1</td>
<td>SR45 US41</td>
<td>US 41; from Golden Gate Pkwy to 5th Ave South</td>
<td>Flexible Reconstruct Pavement</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM #</td>
<td>ROAD</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>TYPES OF WORK</td>
<td>PHASE</td>
<td>From FY to FY</td>
<td>21/22</td>
<td>22/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444185-1</td>
<td>CR 846</td>
<td>CR 846 Over Drainage Canal</td>
<td>Bridge Replacement Other Agency</td>
<td>newest phase</td>
<td>$2,459,296</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448060-1</td>
<td>Everglades Airport</td>
<td>Airport Runway 15/33</td>
<td>Runway Construction Capital Grant</td>
<td>FY2022</td>
<td>$111,250 $2,002,500 $111,250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rescheduling's-Advancements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FM #</th>
<th>ROAD</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TYPES OF WORK</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>From FY to FY</th>
<th>21/22</th>
<th>22/23</th>
<th>23/24</th>
<th>24/25</th>
<th>25/26</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>417540-5</td>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td>SR 29 fr/ CR 846 East to North of New Market Rd</td>
<td>Environmental ENVIR</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>2025 to 2024</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446320-1</td>
<td>I-75</td>
<td>I-75 fr/ Tool Booth to Collier Bvld</td>
<td>Resurfacing CST CST CST</td>
<td>2023 to 2022</td>
<td>$4,493,832 $102,660 $371,995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rescheduling -Deferrals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FM #</th>
<th>ROAD</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TYPES OF WORK</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>From FY to FY</th>
<th>21/22</th>
<th>22/23</th>
<th>23/24</th>
<th>24/25</th>
<th>25/26</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>435043-1</td>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>Collier County Scour Countermeasure at Various Locations</td>
<td>Scour Countermeasure CST CST CST CST CEI CEI CEI</td>
<td>2024 to 2025</td>
<td>$1,437,756 $5,575 $237,963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CST phase moving from FY; to FY25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435111-2</td>
<td>SR 951</td>
<td>SR 951, fr/ Manatee Rd to North of Tower Rd</td>
<td>Widening fr/4 to 6 lanes and rehab pavement, add sidewalks. CST CST CST CST CEI CEI CEI</td>
<td>2025 to 2025</td>
<td>$10,934,388 $2,550,000 $11,150 $1,437,028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CST phase moving from FY; to FY25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441512-1</td>
<td>US 41</td>
<td>US41 fr/ South of Dunruss Creek to South of Gulf Park Drive</td>
<td>RRR Resurfacing project includes safety &amp; street light upgrades CST CST CST CEI CEI CEI</td>
<td>2024 to 2025</td>
<td>$13,345,955 $1,083 $1,764,977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CST phase moving from FY; to FY24 to add ROW phase between PE and CST phase ROW requires 2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440441-1</td>
<td>Airport Pulling Rd</td>
<td>Airport Pulling Rd fr/ Vanderbilt Rd to Immokalee Rd</td>
<td>Add lanes &amp; sidewalks PE</td>
<td>2021 to 2022</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Design phase moving from FY21 to FY22. CIGP State Funds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FY2022 THROUGH FY2026 SUMMARY OF ADDITIONS AND RESCHEDULINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FM #</th>
<th>ROAD</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TYPES OF WORK</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>From FY to FY</th>
<th>21/22</th>
<th>22/23</th>
<th>23/24</th>
<th>24/25</th>
<th>25/26</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>438584</td>
<td>I-75/Alligator Alley Toll Booth</td>
<td>Landscaping CST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Project deleted because it was split into 2 segments and replaced by two new projects: 444008-2 and 444008-3 listed above in Additions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444008</td>
<td>I-75 (SR 93)</td>
<td>Resurfacing CST</td>
<td></td>
<td>CST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Project deleted because it was split into 2 segments and replaced by two new projects: 444008-2 and 444008-3 listed above in Additions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444394</td>
<td>Everglades Airpark</td>
<td>Design Capital Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deleted per post Environmental Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417540</td>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td>Add lanes, widening, ENVIRO FY24 to 99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental phase Moved Out of this 5 year WP window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417540</td>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td>Add lanes, widening, ENVIRO FY23 to 99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental phase Moved Out of this 5 year WP window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417540</td>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td>Add lanes, widening, ENVIRO FY23 to 99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental phase Moved Out of this 5 year WP window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to receive a presentation from FDOT on the Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update.

CONSIDERATIONS: The FDOT will provide an update on the status of the Southwest Connect™ I-75 Corridors. Recently the Department has decided to take a proactive approach to revising its current direction within these corridors and will discuss that approach. The Planning & Feasibility Study and subsequent PD&E Studies for the I-75 North and South Corridors, are currently funded phases of the Southwest Connect™ Interstate Program. The Department is currently revising the Planning Phase to produce an I-75 Master Plan that will document the future needs of the I-75 corridor. This new direction will allow more opportunities for flexibility in funding future phases. The anticipated completion of the Master plan is early Spring 2022. The completed Master Plan will identify needs which result in prioritized projects that will advance as smaller individual PD&E studies. While the current Planning & Feasibility Study is being conducted, the Department is placing the Southwest Connect™ PD&E studies on hold.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: n/a

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board to receive a presentation from FDOT on the Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update.

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Presentation (PDF)
2. Project Handout(PDF)
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I-75 CORRIDOR STUDY UPDATE
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AGENDA
FEBRUARY 12, 2021

I-75 CORRIDOR STUDY UPDATE

- About the Southwest Connect™ Interstate Program
- Program History
- Streamlining the Process
- Where We Are Headed
Southwest Connect™ is a Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District One program that will lead to construction of transportation solutions that address the long-term needs of the interstate corridors in Southwest Florida.

KEY PERSONNEL

Kati Sherrard, P.E., Interstate Program Manager
Kati.Sherrard@dot.state.fl.us

Joshua Jester, E.I., Interstate Project Manager
Joshua.Jester@dot.state.fl.us

Nicole Harris, P.E., I-75 Project Manager (GEC)
Nicole.Harris@dot.state.fl.us

Rudy Gotmare, P.E., I-4 Project Manager (GEC)
Aniruddha.Gotmare@dot.state.fl.us

Marléna Gore, Construction Project Manager
Marlena.Gore@dot.state.fl.us

Brian Bollas, Construction PIO (GEC)
Brian.Bollas@dot.state.fl.us
The Southwest Connect™ program includes multiple interstate improvement projects focused on improving mobility and providing transportation options to support the regions economic development.

These projects are to improve capacity with the consideration of potentially constructing Managed Lanes in each direction on I-75, adding General-use lanes, collector distributor roadways, auxiliary lanes or interchange operational improvements.

These improvements are expected to help move people and goods safely and efficiently while balancing regional transportation needs with community concerns.
I-75 CORRIDOR STUDY UPDATE

PROGRAM HISTORY

NTP for I-75 Studies

Start

2019 FEBRUARY

Traffic and Streetlight Data Analyses

JUNE 2019

Website Launch swflInterstates.com

2019 OCTOBER

Local Government Partner Meetings

OCT - DEC 2019

I-75 Managed Lanes White Paper

2019 NOVEMBER

Public Kickoff Meetings Postponed

MAR - APR 2020

Virtual Public Meeting Previews

2020 APRIL

PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS POSTPONED
LAUNCHES VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING PREVIEWS

Southwest Connect™ launches virtual public meeting previews for both the I-75 north and south corridors. Within each preview, visitors are taken through multiple stations that showcase much of the same information they would have received having attended the meetings in person.

Each preview includes:

- informative display boards;
- managed lanes video presentation;
- interactive tour of the origin and destination data, and;
- an online comment form where visitors can provide their comments, ask questions and sign up to receive future project updates.

Which Virtual Public Meeting Preview would you like to view?

- I-75 North Corridor
- I-75 South Corridor
ORIGIN/DESTINATION TRAFFIC DATA

One of the elements provided is an interactive look at the StreetLight™ data collected throughout each corridor helping viewers better understand local traffic patterns by showing them where it is coming from and/or going to.

View I-75 South Corridor O&D Data  View I-75 North Corridor O&D Data
Analytics confirms online participation in our virtual public meeting previews were higher than expected and comments received regarding the content and format were favorable.

**TOTAL VISITORS** 896

- **67% DESKTOP**
  - 601
- **26% MOBILE**
  - 232
- **7% TABLET**
  - 64

**TOTAL PAGE VIEWS** 2284

**HOW DID THEY GET HERE?**

- **DIRECT**
  - 512
- **SOCIAL**
  - 178
- **REFERRAL**
  - 182
- **ORGANIC**
  - 36

**TOP CITIES VISITED FROM**

- North Port 56
- Boca Raton 34
- Naples 25
- Bradenton 22
- Bonita Springs 21
- Ft. Myers 19
- Tallahassee 16
- Tampa 14
- Cape Coral 12
- Orlando 12
- Brandon 11
- Miami 10

**TOP PAGES VISITED**

- 544 /Home
- 472 /North Corridor Preview
- 298 /South Corridor Preview
- 252 /South Corridor Project
- 174 /North Corridor Project
- 96 /Program Info
- 57 /News & Notifications
- 55 /Get Involved
- 50 /Projects
- 38 /Contact Us
- 31 /South O&D Data
- 21 /Meeting Comments

**INTERACTIONS**

- Future Updates: 13
- Comments Received: 11

- **Access Points**
  - 4
- **Noise**
  - 2
- **Drainage**
  - 1
- **Lane Type**
  - 2
- **Other**
  - 2

"Good presentation. Far more enjoyable than driving to a public meeting."

- Business Owner
I-75 CORRIDOR STUDY UPDATE

Virtual Public Meeting Previews

2020 APRIL

Revise the PD&E study limits

Identify long-term goals

Constructable projects

Determine the short-term needs

Provide improvements quicker while reducing rework

Brainstorm New Long-term Direction

2020 DECEMBER

Revised Direction

Attachment: Presentation (14946 : Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update)
During the current Planning and Feasibility Phase, we will analyze the existing and expected traffic, or demand, on the interstate system and document the findings in a Master Plan.

The Implementation Plan will allow FDOT to focus future efforts and funding by prioritizing the most critical areas.

This long-range approach provides a regional perspective to identify the areas where future demand is predicted and to assist in defining the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study limits.

Improvements identified in this Master Plan may include widening, managed lanes, modifying interchanges, and evaluating requests for new interchanges.
**Master Plan**
- Document the existing conditions
- Traffic analysis
- Evaluate alternatives
  - Mainline/Managed Lanes
  - Existing Interchanges
- New Interchange Evaluation
- Define Project Priorities and Phasing
- Public and Agency Engagement

**Implementation Plan**
- Define project predecessors and stand-alone projects
- Lay out project development schedule and identify contracting methods
- Work with SIS and Work Program to ensure financially feasible
- Consideration of industry preferences

**Monitoring Plan**
- Define triggers to update the Master Plan
  - Time Duration
  - Significant changes to travel patterns
  - Technology changes
  - Policy changes
- Evaluate Implementation Plan on annual basis

**UPCOMING DELIVERABLES:**

**Packet Pg. 127**

Attachment: Presentation (14946 : Southwest Connect I-75 South Corridor Update)
We have received the request to evaluate a new interchange at:

- Vanderbilt Beach Rd

Once we have a baseline of how the existing facility operates, we will evaluate the proposed interchange taking into consideration updated land use, planned roadway network, etc.

The results of this analysis will be part of the I-75 Master Plan.
UPCOMING EVENTS AND MILESTONES

2020 DECEMBER

Scoped and Negotiated the Master Plan

2020 VIRTUAL

Public Meeting

MAY - JUL 2021

Needs Identified and Draft Master Plan

2021 SEPT - NOV

Public Meetings

2022

Master Plan Finalized

2022 FEB - MAR

Implementation Plan

2022

Project Development Begins
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - DISTRICT ONE
801 N. BROADWAY AVE., BARTOW, FL 33830
www.SWFLINTERSTATES.COM/I75-south-corridor

PROJECT LIMITS
I-75 FROM COLLIER BLVD. (SR 951) TO BAYSHORE RD. (SR 78)

KATI SHERRARD, PE
Interstate Program Manager
Kati.Sherrard@dot.state.fl.us
Phone: (863) 519-2590

JOSHUA JESTER, EI
Interstate Project Manager
Joshua.Jester@dot.state.fl.us
Phone: (863) 519-2251

NICOLE HARRIS, PE
I-75 Project Manager (GEC)
Nicole.Harris@dot.state.fl.us
Phone: (863) 519-2335

DAVE CRIGGER
Southwest Connect™ Public Involvement Lead (GEC)
Dave.Crigger@Stantec.com

STAY INFORMED
@myfdotswfl @myfdot_swfl

Faster facts

LEGEND

PROJECT LIMITS

FPID #: 442519-1
E. of Collier Blvd. (SR 951) to Bayshore Rd. (SR 78)

INTERCHANGES:
14

LENGTH:
42 MILES

COUNTIES:
COLLIER, LEE

Moving Forward...Together
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2020 Federal Certification Report

OBJECTIVE: For the Board to receive a presentation from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on the 2020 Federal Certification Report.

CONSIDERATIONS: Federal Law requires the FHWA and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to jointly certify the transportation planning processes of Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) at least every four years. A TMA is an urbanized area, as defined by the US Census, with a population over 200,000. Collier MPO’s Census designated urbanized area is Bonita Springs, which is why Collier MPO is also referred to as the Bonita Springs TMA in the report. The report certifies the MPO’s planning process for another four years.

Ms. Stacie Blizzard, FHWA, will give a PPT presentation on the report at the meeting. The 2020 Certification Report issued January 2021 is provided as Attachment 1. The Joint FHWA/FTA Certification Letter is shown in Attachment 2. FHWA received a public comment after the deadline and responded to the comment. The comment and FHWA response are shown in Attachment 3.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: n/a

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Board receive a presentation from FHWA on the 2020 Federal Certification Report

Prepared By: Anne McLaughlin, MPO Director

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. 2020 Certification Report – Final Report (PDF)
2. Joint FHWA/FTA Certification Letter (PDF)
3. Public Comment – FHWA Response (PDF)
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2020 Certification Report

Bonita Springs Transportation Management Area

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization

Prepared by:
Federal Highway Administration
Florida Division

Federal Transit Administration
Region 4

December 2020
(Issued January 2021)
Executive Summary

Federal Law requires the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to jointly certify the transportation planning processes of Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) at least every four years (a TMA is an urbanized area, as defined by the US Census, with a population over 200,000). A certification review generally consists of four primary activities: a site visit, a review of planning documents (in advance of the site visit), the development and issuance of a FHWA/FTA certification report and a certification review closeout presentation to the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) governing board.

As a part of the TMA certification review process, FHWA and FTA utilize a risk-based approach containing various factors to determine which topic areas required additional evaluation during the certification review. The certification review process is only one of several methods used to assess the quality of a regional metropolitan transportation planning process, compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, and the level and type of technical assistance needed to enhance the effectiveness of the planning process. This certification review was conducted to highlight best practices, identify opportunities for improvements, and ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.

The Federal Review Team conducted a virtual site visit review of the Bonita Springs Transportation Management Area (TMA) on August 11 - 13, 2020. Transportation planning for the TMA is conducted by the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The last certification review was completed in 2016. The Federal Review Team recognizes five noteworthy practices, identifies one corrective action, and offers two recommendations the MPO should consider for improving their planning processes. More information related to these findings can be found in the Findings/Conclusions section of this report. The Collier MPO addressed the one Corrective Action before the final Certification Report was published and no further action is needed.

Based on the overall findings of the certification review, the FHWA and FTA jointly certify that the transportation planning process of the Bonita Springs TMA, which is comprised entirely by the Collier MPO, substantially meets the federal planning requirements in 23 CFR 450 Subpart C. This Certification will remain in effect until December 2024.
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Section I. Overview of the Certification Process

Under provisions of 23 CFR 450.336(b) and 49 CFR 613.100, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) must jointly certify the planning process of Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) “not less often than once every four years.” This four-year cycle runs from the date of issuance of the previous joint certification report.

The primary purpose of a certification review is to formalize the continuing oversight and evaluation of the planning process. The FHWA and the FTA work cooperatively with the TMA planning staff on a regular basis. By reviewing and approving planning products, providing technical assistance, and promoting best practices, the formal assessment involved in a certification review provides an external view of the TMA’s transportation planning process.

A certification review generally consists of four primary activities. These activities include: 1) a “desk audit” which is a review of the TMA’s planning documents (e.g. Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP); 2) a “site visit” with staff from the TMA’s various transportation planning partners (e.g. the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), local/regional transit service provider, and other participating State/local agencies), including opportunities for local elected officials and the general public to provide comments on the TMA planning process; 3) a Certification Report, which the Federal Review Team prepares, to document the results of the review process; and, 4) a formal presentation of the review findings at a future Collier MPO Board Policy meeting.

Certification of the planning process is a prerequisite to the approval of Federal funding for transportation projects in metropolitan areas. The certification review also helps ensure that the major issues facing a metropolitan area are being addressed. The review process is individually tailored to focus on topics of significance in each metropolitan planning area. Since 2018, to initiate the TMA certification review process, the Federal Review Team has utilized a risk-based approach containing various factors to determine which topic areas required additional evaluation during the certification review. Appendix A summarizes the risk evaluation, and the report notes in the relevant sections which topic areas were not selected for review due to existing stewardship and oversight practices after considering the risk factors.

The review for the Collier MPO was held August 11 – 13, 2020. During this site visit, the Federal Review Team met with the staff of the Collier MPO, FDOT, Collier Area Transit (CAT), committee representatives, other partnering agencies, and the public. See Appendix B for a list of review team members and site visit participants, and Appendix C for the TMA Certification Meeting Agenda.

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
The purpose of the public engagement process is to inform the public of the Federal transportation planning requirements and allow the public an opportunity to provide input on the transportation planning process to the Federal Review Team. A virtual public meeting for this certification review was held on August 11, 2020. Public feedback and engagement on the MPO’s planning process was also obtained through the MPO website and Facebook page following the initial announcement on July 14, 2020. For those that could not attend the public meeting or who did not want to speak or post publicly, contact information for the Federal Review Team was provided. Members of the public were given 30 days from the date of the public meeting to mail, fax or email their comments and/or request a copy of the certification review report. One comment was received by email to the Federal Review Team during the 30-day comment period.

A copy of the public engagement notices can be found in Appendix D. Screenshots of public input, minutes from the public meeting, including a listing of commenters and a summary of the public comments is provided in Appendix E.

A summary of the 2016 corrective actions and recommendations and their status can be found in Appendix F.

An explanation of planning acronyms can be found in Appendix G.

Section II. Boundaries and Organization (23CFR 450.310, 312, 314)

A. Description of Planning Area
Observations: The Collier MPO is located in southwest Florida. The planning area boundary includes all the Bonita Springs urbanized area and the following municipalities: City of Naples; City of Marco Island; and Everglades City, which are census defined urbanized areas. The Collier MPO planning area is surrounded by six counties, the Gulf of Mexico, and includes portions of the Everglades National Park. The Collier MPO planning boundary is visually depicted in the map in the below section.

The following map depicts the incorporated municipalities in the MPO’s planning area:
B. Metropolitan Planning Organization Structure

Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process. However, the MPO experienced some recent staff turnover and is in the process of filling the staff vacancies.

Finding: The MPO’s boundaries and organization substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.310 and 312.

C. Agreements

Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s agreements substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.314.

Section III. Transportation Performance Planning (23 CFR 450.306(a), 306(d), 314(h), 324(f), 326(c), 326(d))

Observations: The MPO set all their targets for safety, bridge, pavement, system performance and for Transit Asset Management (TAM) within the prescribed timeframes. They documented the setting of their targets through resolutions and included the targets in the 2021 – 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
The targets can be found in the 2021-2025 TIP on pages 16 – 39. The targets are also published on the MPO’s website under the Performance Based Planning section.

The MPO has written provisions for cooperatively developing and sharing information related to transportation performance data, selection of performance targets, reporting of targets, reporting of performance to be used in tracking progress toward attainment of critical outcomes and reporting of data. These were documented through the FDOT/MPOAC Transportation Performance Management (TPM) Consensus Planning Document, which was adopted as a separate document from the TIP on June 12, 2020.

The 2040 LRTP is currently active and was amended on October 9, 2020, to include changes for a correction to the Plan for a State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) consistency issue and included information to meet all the TPM requirements.

In the development of the TIP, the MPO designed their TIP to make progress toward achieving the targets and described how they linked their project selections and investments to anticipate target achievement. The MPO identifies safety targets and projects in the FY2020- 2024 and FY2021 – 2025 TIPs, as well as bridge, pavement, and system performance targets and followed the template that was developed by FDOT for their TIP.

**Noteworthy Practice:** The Federal Review Team recognizes one noteworthy practice related to Transportation Performance Planning. For more details about this noteworthy practice, please see Section XI.

**Finding:** The MPO’s transportation performance planning activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306, 314, 324, and 326.

**Section IV. Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306)**

**A. Transportation Planning Factors**

**Observations:** This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

**Finding:** The MPO’s planning process substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306(b).

**B. Air Quality**

**Finding:** The Collier MPO is currently designated as an attainment area for all National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

**C. Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning Activities**

**Observations:** This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.
Finding: The MPO’s bicycle and pedestrian planning activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306(b), 324(f), and 326.

D. Transit
Observations: Transit service in the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization area is provided by Collier Area Transit (CAT). CAT is organized in the following manner: CAT is a division of Collier County government and governed by the Board of Commissioners. All members of Board of Commissioners are on the MPO Board, resulting in the requirement that transit representatives on the MPO Board has been sufficiently met. Collier contracts with a third-party operator to operate a fleet of over 29 fixed route buses and 30 paratransit vehicles over 30 routes. While ridership has decreased with the pandemic, as of August 2020, monthly ridership has recovered to two-thirds of the pre-pandemic level.

Through the MPO agreements, cooperative development of the planning products, coordination activities, and implementation of transit projects, the CAT is a full partner in this MPO’s planning process.

Noteworthy Practice: The Federal Review Team recognizes one noteworthy practice related to Transit. For more details about this noteworthy practice, please see Section XI.

Finding: The MPO’s transit activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 49 CFR 613.100 as well as the transit supportive elements outlined in 23 CFR 450.

E. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)
Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s ITS activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306, 322, and 23 CFR 940.

F. Freight Planning
Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s freight planning activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306, 316, 324, and 326.

G. Security Considerations in the Planning Process
Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process. However, due to state and national declared emergencies, this topic was discussed during the TMA Certification Review site visit.
The Collier MPO has a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) that has been activated for various events over the last four years. Processes were reviewed and updated as needed after each test or activation.

**Noteworthy Practice:** The Federal Review Team recognizes one noteworthy practice related to Security Considerations in the Planning Process. For more details about this noteworthy practice, please see Section XI.

Finding: The MPO’s security planning activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306, 324(f), 324(h), and 326.

**H. Safety Considerations in the Planning Process**

**Observations:** This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s safety planning activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.306, 324(h), and 326.

**Section V. Unified Planning Work Program (23 CFR 450.308)**

**Current Document Title:** Collier MPO FY 2018/19-2019/20 UPWP

**Date Adopted:** May 11, 2018

**Observations:** The Collier MPO’s above noted UPWP covers transportation planning activities/products for two fiscal years and contains sufficient description of the costs and activities the MPO plans to undertake to complete their planning responsibilities.

The MPO’s website provides an overview for the amendment and modification process for the public. The website also provides a link for any amendments and modifications to the current and prior UPWPs. The document is well written, provides a thorough overview for the public of the planning activities and priorities of the MPO, and includes non-MPO planning studies conducted within the MPO’s planning boundaries.

During the desk audit, the Federal Review Team was concerned with the number of revisions the MPO processed to the FY2018/19 – 2019/20 UPWP. The MPO and FDOT provided information, such as receipt of actual versus forecasted federal allocation and project timelines, during the site visit for the five amendments and two modifications processed. These explanations satisfied the concerns of the Federal Review Team.

Finding: The MPO’s UPWP substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.308.
Section VI. Interested Parties (23 CFR 450.316)

A. Outreach and Public Participation

Document Title:  Collier MPO Public Participation Plan

Date Adopted:  February 8, 2019 (updated June 12, 2020)

Observations:  The Collier MPO Public Participation Plan (PPP) provides reasonable opportunities for the general public, affected public transportation employees, freight shippers and providers of freight transportation services, public ports, private providers of transportation, representatives of users of public transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian and bicycle facilities, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties to participate in all transportation processes.

The MPO electronically provides documentation related to transportation planning processes on its website and effectively employs visualization techniques in all documents demonstrating transportation planning processes such as the LRTP, TIP, STIP, and UPWP satisfying federal requirements.

The Collier MPO uses its PPP to inform planning products and activities, soliciting and considering public input throughout the development of the LRTP and the TIP. The MPO also considers the needs of traditionally underserved communities, including minority and low-income households, and coordinates with FDOT to ensure effective coordination and consultation. The MPO annually assesses the effectiveness of its PPP, updating it as necessary to maintain a full and open public participation process.

The MPO has made substantial progress in rebuilding public trust and repairing relationships strained by past conflict between the Board and the prior Executive Director. The MPO began individually contacting approximately 45 key stakeholders and external organizations with vested interest in transportation and land-use, requesting input and collecting questions/concerns. Though time-consuming, the effort garnered a lot of attention with more interested parties asking to be included. Eventually, the MPO developed an advisor network, now with a membership of over 400. The MPO says it is very pleased with its Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), but participation has surpassed the MPO’ expectations and they are figuring out effective ways to engage the network with the MPO’s time/resources available. The Advisor Network has helped the MPO regain public trust and branded the MPO as a household name.

The Collier MPO employs its website and social media outlets to further inform the public about meeting times and locations to all transportation planning processes, including developing and amending the LRTP and the TIP. The MPO also uses these electronic tools to accept and analyze public input on planning products and activities.
**Recommendation:** The Federal Review Team offers one recommendation regarding Interested Parties – Outreach and Public Participation. For more details about this recommendation, please see Section XI.

Finding: The MPO’s outreach and public participation program substantially satisfies the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.316.

**B. Tribal Coordination**

Observations: The Collier MPO provides a reasonable opportunity to the Indian Tribes by involving Indian Tribal Government(s) that have tribal lands located within its jurisdiction to participate in transportation planning processes, including the development of the public participation plan, LRTP and the TIP.

**Noteworthy Practice:** The Federal Review Team recognizes one noteworthy practice related to Interested Parties - Tribal Coordination. For more details about this noteworthy practice, please see Section XI.

Finding: The MPO’s tribal coordination activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.316(c).

**C. Title VI and Related Requirements**

Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s Title VI and related activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 49 CFR 21, 49 CFR 27, 23 CFR 200, 23 CFR 450.316 and 336(a), and FTA Circular 4702.1B.

**Section VII. Linking Planning and NEPA (23 CFR 450.318, 320, 324(f)(10), 324(g))**

Current Document Title: Collier MPO 2040 LRTP

Date Adopted: Initial adoption date 12/11/2015; Amended 9/9/2016; Amended 10/14/2016; Amended 5/25/2018; Amended 10/9/2020.

Observations: A review based on the 2012 FHWA/FTA LRTP Expectations Letter was conducted during the desk audit.

Finding: The MPO’s linking planning and NEPA activities substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.318, 320, 324(f)(10), and 324(g).
Section VIII. Congestion Management Process (CMP) (23 CFR 450.322)

Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s congestion management process substantially satisfies the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.322.

Section IX. Long Range Transportation Plan (23 CFR 450.324)

Current Document Title: Collier MPO 2040 LRTP

Date Adopted: Initial adoption date 12/11/2015; Amended 9/9/2016; Amended 10/14/2016; Amended 5/25/2018; Amended 10/9/2020.

A. Scope of LRTP
Observations: A review based on the 2012 FHWA/FTA LRTP Expectations Letter was conducted during the desk audit.

Noteworthy Practice and Recommendation: The Federal Review Team recognizes one noteworthy practice and offers one recommendation related to the Scope of the Long-Range Transportation Plan. For more details about this practice and recommendation, please see Section XI.

Finding: The general scope of the MPO’s LRTP substantially satisfies the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.324.

B. Travel Demand Modeling/Data
Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process.

Finding: The MPO’s travel demand modeling processes substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.324(e).

C. Financial Plan/Fiscal Constraint
Observations: A review based on the 2012 FHWA/FTA LRTP Expectations Letter was conducted during the desk audit. For the adopted LRTP, FDOT provides an estimate of federal and state revenue available over the life of the plan, as well as an estimate of administrative, operations and maintenance costs for the MPO to use. The revenue estimates are discussed in Chapter 5 and listing of cost feasible projects is in Chapter 6. The plan identifies funding revenue sources, project costs and project phases for roadway projects from 2021 - 2040. However, the document does not include revenue levels, project phase and project cost information for 2016-2020, the first five years of the plan. Consequently, the LRTP is not fiscally constrained.
Corrective Action: The Federal Review Team has identified one corrective action related to Long Range Transportation Plan: Financial Plan/Fiscal Constraint. For more details about this corrective action, please see Section XI.

Finding: The financial plan/fiscal constraint of the MPO’s LRTP substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.324(f)(11) since the corrective action has already been resolved.

Section X. Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (23 CFR 450.326, 328, 330, 332, 334)

Current Document Title: Collier MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) FY2021 – 2025;

Date Adopted:
FY2021 – 2025 adopted June 12, 2020 & last modification August 13, 2020

Observations: This topic area was not selected for additional review based on the results of the risk assessment process. The results of the stewardship and oversight review identified one observation. This observation is addressed under the Transportation Performance Planning section.

Finding: The MPO’s TIP substantially satisfy the federal requirements as outlined in 23 CFR 450.326,328, 330, 332, and 334.

Section XI. Findings/Conclusions

The following items represent a compilation of the findings that are included in this 2020 certification review report. These findings, which are identified as noteworthy practices, corrective actions, and recommendations, are intended to not only ensure continuing regulatory compliance of the Collier MPO’s transportation planning process with federal planning requirements, but to also foster high-quality planning practices and improve the transportation planning program in this TMA. Corrective actions reflect required actions for compliance with the Federal Planning Regulations and must be completed within the timeframes noted. Recommendations reflect national trends or potential risks, and are intended to assist the Collier MPO in improving the planning process. Noteworthy practices highlight efforts that demonstrate innovative ideas or best practices for implementing the planning requirements.

A. Noteworthy Practices

1. Transportation Performance Planning: The Federal Review Team commends the MPO for including on their website a tab for Performance Based Planning dedicated to Transportation Performance Management. The website content is
reflective of the MPOs’ operating mission that performance is tied intricately to the Planning process and the planning programs and results and measures cannot be considered in a vacuum without these linkages. The website identifies projects that have been implemented as a result of the performance measures.

2. **Transit:** Transit and MPO planning is well coordinated. The Florida required Transit Development Plan feeds directly into the MPO Planning process, allowing for seamless integration of transit into the plan. An additional example is that the five members of the Board of Commissioners adopt the Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan, and all serve on the MPO Board, allowing for the smooth adoption of the TAM Plan.

3. **Security Considerations in the Planning Process:** The MPO is in an area that typically is affected by hurricanes. The COOP is hurricane focused. In the early stages of the national pandemic, the MPO proactively developed strategies to address the unique local impacts on their operations.

4. **Interested Parties – Tribal Coordination:** The MPO has substantially advanced its Tribal Program, including development of an MPO Tribal Policy that outlines coordination and cooperation with sovereign nations. Shortly after the last certification, a federally recognized tribe advised that its input on an MPO project was ignored and that subsequent meetings for project approval included statements derogatory to the tribe. While the tribe declined to file a civil rights complaint, the Executive Director closely examined outreach to and inclusion of area tribes, both historically with the MPO and specifically for the project. The MPO worked with the tribes to develop a policy document and began regular communication recognizing tribal protocols. The tribes have declined membership on MPO committees; however, they stay in regular contact with the MPO through its advisor network. Further, the MPO has supported at least one tribal project, and the tribes have requested updates and provided input on area projects not on tribal lands. The relationship, born of crisis, is now a cordial, productive and growing partnership.

5. **Long Range Transportation Plan – Scope of the LRTP:** The MPO has leveraged the success they experienced with a bicycle-pedestrian survey map and modified its use to gain input through the MPO’s website for the update of the 2045 LRTP. This interactive map encourages the user to learn more information about specific projects, to identify what the user likes or dislikes about those projects, and to select which projects the user thinks should be in the top 5 priorities for the MPO. The MPO will use this input to develop the top priorities in the Cost Feasible Plan in the new LRTP. The MPO has made this map accessible through several channels such as a QR code on one of their promotional videos, on their website in several locations, and in their electronic newsletters.
B. Corrective Actions

1. **Long Range Transportation Plan - Financial Plan/Fiscal Constraint:** The Collier MPO’s 2040 LRTP provides the often-complex financial information in an easy to read format and infographics for the public and its partners over a 25-year period from 2015 - 2040. However, while a comparison of the Plan revenue sources and costs was included in the Cost Feasible Plan to demonstrate the fiscal constraint of the Plan, it did not include the first 5 years of the Plan and therefore does not demonstrate full fiscal constraint of the Plan. In accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(f)(11) and discussed in the November 2012 FHWA/FTA LRTP development expectations letter, the LRTP must include projects and funding for the entire timeframe covered by the LRTP, from the base year to the horizon year. Because this information is missing from the financial infographics and tables, fiscal constraint of the plan could not be determined. **Revisions to the LRTP must be made to include this information to clearly demonstrate fiscal constraint for the entire Plan either with the 2045 LRTP adoption by December 11, 2020, or as part of the next 2045 LRTP amendment.** The Collier MPO addressed the Corrective Action before the final Certification Report was published and no further action is needed.

C. Recommendations

1. **Interested Parties – Outreach and Public Participation:** Florida MPOs are increasingly savvier in collecting and analyzing community characteristics data, and Collier MPO is no exception. The MPO has access to multiple data sources, not the least of which is a powerful GIS tool developed by the County for land use projections. However, as sources, types and size of data increase, so does the risk of duplicating efforts and perhaps worse, capturing data that will not be used. For example, despite the use of the same data sources, the MPO and the transit provider produce different mapping products. Further, though the MPO collected zip code data from its advisor network as part of a recent survey, it has yet to determine whether the unprecedented number of responses were representative of area demographics. The Federal Review Team recommends the MPO consider a single mapping tool with layering that will serve transit and other planning needs. The Review Team also suggests that any public involvement data collected be timely reviewed for equity and nondiscrimination and to help identify the need for outreach improvements, if any.

2. **Long Range Transportation Plan – Scope of the LRTP:** The Federal Review Team recommends that the MPO more thoroughly document within the LRTP its consultation activities with Federal and State Land Management Agencies; Natural Resources; Environmental Protection; Conservation; and Historic Preservation, and other “interested parties” as referenced in 23 CFR 450.318, 320, 324(f)(10), 324(g)).
D. Training/Technical Assistance

At the conclusion of the site visit, the Federal Review Team asked the MPO staff if they had any training or technical assistance needs. The Collier MPO did not identify any technical assistance needs currently. FHWA and FTA are available to work with the MPO if technical assistance is needed in the future.

E. Conclusion

Based on the overall findings of the certification review, the FHWA and FTA jointly certify that the transportation planning process of the Bonita Springs TMA, which is comprised entirely by the Collier MPO, substantially meets the federal planning requirements in 23 CFR 450 Subpart C subject to the MPO satisfactorily addressing the corrective action stated in this report. The MPO is encouraged to provide the FHWA and FTA with evidence of satisfactory completion of the corrective action in accordance with the noted deadlines. This certification will remain in effect until December 2024.
Appendix A. Summary of Risk Assessment

Florida TMA Certification Review Risk Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Area</th>
<th>Selected for additional review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization of MPO/TPO (23 CFR 450.310, 312, 314)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Performance Planning (23 CFR 305(a), 308(d), 314(h), 324(f), 326(c), 326(d))</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Transportation Planning Factors</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Air Quality</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning Activities</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Transit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Freight Planning</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Security Considerations in the Planning Process</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of the Planning Process (23 CFR 450.306) - Safety Considerations in the Planning Process</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Planning Work Program (23 CFR 450.308)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interested Parties (23 CFR 450.315) - Outreach and Public Participation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interested Parties (23 CFR 450.315) - Tribal Coordination</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interested Parties (23 CFR 450.315) - Title VI and Related Requirements</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linking Planning and NEPA (23 CFR 450.318, 320, 324(f)(10), 324(g))</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion Management Process (23 CFR 450.322)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan (23 CFR 450.324)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan (23 CFR 450.324) - Travel Demand Modeling/Data</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan (23 CFR 450.324) - Financial Plan/Fiscal Constraint</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Improvement Program (23 CFR 450.326, 328, 330, 332, 334)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: With the exception of Transit, if all areas are a "No", then the top 3 areas will be reviewed. The additional areas are: UPWP and Outreach & Public Participation*
Appendix B. Site Visit Participants

FHWA
- Michael Sherman, Florida Division
- Jodi McCann, Florida Division
- Carey Shepherd, Florida Division
- Stacie Blizzard, Florida Division
- Roger Ryder, Puerto Rico Division

FTA
- John Crocker, Region IV
- Tonya Holland

Collier MPO
- Anne Mclaughlin (MPO ED staff)
- Karen Intriago (MPO staff)
- Josephine Medina (MPO staff)
- Brandy Otero (MPO staff)

FDOT Central Office
- Mark Reichert (FDOT CO)
- Erika Thompson (FDOT CO)
- Scott Philips (FDOT CO)

FDOT District 1
- Wayne Gaither (FDOT D1)
- Victoria Peters (FDOT D1)

Other Staff and Local Officials
- Carl Mikyska, MPOAC
- Randy Farwell, MPO TDP Collier County (consultant staff)
- Wally Blain, MPO TSPR, LRSP (consultant staff)
- Bill Gramer, MPO 2045 LRTP (consultant staff)
- Tara Jones, 2045 LRTP (consultant staff)
- Trinity Scott, Collier County, Transportation Planning Division
- Lisa Abatemarco, Collier County, Growth Management Department
- Cynthia Kemner, Public Services Grants Management – Collier County
- Michelle Arnold, Collier County – Public Transit and Neighborhood Enhancement (PTNE) and Collier Area Transit (CAT)
- Omar Deleon, Collier County PTNE and CAT
- Don Scott, Lee County - attended Day 3 only
- Elaine Middelstaedt, Chair, Collier MPO – attended Day 3

Members of the Public
- Patty Huff, Collier MPO BPAC; and Everglades City BPAC
- Dayna Fendrick, Collier MPO BPAC; and Everglades City BPAC
- Nicole Diaz

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
Appendix C. TMA Certification Site Visit Agenda

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization

TMA Certification Review
August 11, 2020 – August 13, 2020

Phone and REMOTE Information: Please click here to be directed to the Zoom website, or you may dial in at 1-646-876-9923, Meeting ID: 934 1358 9556; Password: 436480

AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Certification Team Members</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sherman (FHWA)</td>
<td>Stacie Blizzard (FHWA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carey Shepherd (FHWA)</td>
<td>Jodi McCann (FHWA)</td>
<td>Dr. John Crocker (FTA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tuesday, August 11, 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5:00 p.m. | Collier MPO TMA Certification Review Public Meeting  
- Greetings and Introductions of the Federal Review Team  
- Why are we here? What is the purpose of the Federal TMA Certification Review process?  
- Audience Input  
- Closing Remarks | Federal Team |

**Wednesday, August 12, 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8:30 a.m. | Welcome / Introductions  
- Roles/Responsibilities/Key Activities of MPO and Transit Agency Staff | Federal Team, MPO, FDOT |
| 8:45 a.m. | Site Visit Overview  
- Purpose of the Certification Process  
- Discussion of Risk Assessment  
- Review schedule and close-out process | Federal Team |
| 9:00 a.m. | Interview County PTNE (Public Transportation & Neighborhood Enhancement) Division Director & Staff – Coordination with MPO on Performance Planning, LRTF, TIF, Changes due to Technology, Ride Hailing Services, Pandemic, Demographic | Federal Team, Transit, FDOT, MPO |
| 9:45 a.m. | Discussion of Previous Review Findings  
- Federal TMA Certification | Federal Team, MPO, FDOT |
| 10:00 a.m. | MPO Overview including changes within MPO since last TMA Certification  
- Demographics  
- Boundaries  
- Political  
- Process Changes | Federal Team, MPO, FDOT |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>MPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20 a.m.</td>
<td>Share Best Practices and Lessons Learned</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ What is the MPO most proud of over the last four years?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ What challenges have you encountered and addressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Stewardship and Oversight Topic: Long Range Transportation Plan &amp; Transportation Improvement Program</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>County Viewpoint - Issues and challenges County faces regarding MPO process</td>
<td>Federal Team, Collier County – Transportation Planning Division Mgr, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Technical Topic: Transportation Performance Planning</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Wrap Up and End of Day Two</td>
<td>Federal Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Introductions &amp; Recap of Day 1</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Technical Topic: Outreach &amp; Public Participation</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Technical Topic: Unified Planning Work Program</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:50 a.m.</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Technical Topic: Transit/Transportation Disadvantaged, Transit/UPWP, Transit/Public Outreach, Transit/Technical Assistance &amp; Training Needs</td>
<td>Federal Team, Transit, FDOT, MPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Small Town Viewpoint – Issues &amp; Challenges</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ MPO Chair, Elaine Middelstaedt, Councilwoman, Everglades City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Technical Assistance &amp; Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Future Needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Additional Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Anything else the MPO would like to share with the Federal Team that hasn't been discussed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Preliminary Findings Discussion Among Federal Team</td>
<td>Federal Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 p.m.</td>
<td>Preliminary Findings Discussion with MPO staff</td>
<td>Federal Team, MPO, FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Adjourn Site Visit</td>
<td>Federal Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D. Public Engagement Notice

1) Collier MPO Website notice posted July 14, 2020

2) Collier MPO Facebook post on July 20, 2020 via Collier County’s Facebook page
3) Collier MPO Naples Daily News newspaper ad & NaplesNews.com website ad posted August 2 – 9, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th># Ins</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Lines</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDN: Naples Daily News</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>08/02/2020</td>
<td>08/09/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDN.naplesnews.com</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>08/02/2020</td>
<td>08/09/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ALL TRANSACTIONS CONSIDERED PAID IN FULL UPON CLEARANCE OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

**NOTICE OF VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING**

Federal Certification of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will hold a virtual public meeting regarding the Collier County area’s transportation planning process. Representatives of the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and Florida Department of Transportation will be present and are interested in learning from members of the public. This is an opportunity for all citizens to provide ideas, comments and questions about how transportation facilities and services are planned and coordinated in Collier County.

WHEN: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 starting at 5:00 p.m.

HOW TO PARTICIPATE: If you would like to comment, please register in advance, by clicking on the link provided.

https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJu6WEG2uYKPi-Ls akm5Wl2e

Please click here to be directed to the Zoom website, or you may dial in at 1-646-876-9923.

Meeting ID: 934 1358 9555

Password: 436480

For more information, call the Collier MPO at 239-252-5814 4308633 8/2, 8/9/2020

---

Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization
4) Email Blast Notice of Public Meeting for TMA Certification Review

From: IntragoxKaren
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 9:43 AM
To: McLaughlinAnne <Anne.Mclaughlin@colliercountyfl.gov>
Subject: Send Notice of Federal Certification of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization to Congressional Delegation

Good morning,

The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will hold a virtual public meeting regarding the Collier County area’s transportation planning process. Representatives of Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and Florida Department of Transportation will be present and are interested in hearing from you. This is an opportunity for all citizens to provide ideas, comments and questions about how transportation facilities and services are planned and coordinated in Collier County.

WHEN: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 starting at 5:00 p.m.

HOW TO PARTICIPATE: If you would like to comment, please register in advance, by clicking on the link below.
https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJcpdugtpiv8/vHkKZ65+y9PFlsakmJ5W6e

Please click here to be directed to the Zoom website, or you may dial in at 1-646-876-5923.

Meeting ID: 934 1358 9556
Password: 436480

Members of the Collier MPO Board may be in attendance, including Board of County Commissioners, Naples, Everglades City, and Marco Island City Council members. For more information, call MPO Executive Director Anne McLaughlin at (239) 252-5814.

Anne McLaughlin
Executive Director
5) Notice of Public Meeting distributed via email listserv for TMA Certification Review Site Visit

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Federal Certification of the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will hold a public meeting regarding the Collier County area’s transportation planning process. Representatives of the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and Florida Department of Transportation will be present and are interested in learning from you. This is an opportunity for all citizens to provide ideas, comments and questions about how transportation facilities and services are planned and coordinated in Collier County.

WHEN: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 starting at 5:00 p.m.

WHERE: Due to the Governor’s Executive Order, it is unknown if the meeting will be in person or virtual at this time. More information will be distributed in the near future.

Members of the Board of County Commissioners, Naples and Marco Island City Councils, and/or the Mayor of Everglades City may be in attendance.

For more information, call MPO Executive Director ANNE MCLAUGHLIN at (239) 252-5814.

Any person requiring special accommodations or assistance to participate in this meeting should contact the Collier MPO at (239) 252-5814 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.
Appendix E. Summary of Public Feedback

FHWA and FTA would like to thank everyone who participated in and contributed comments for the Bonita Springs TMA Certification Review. Public comments are a vital element of the certification review, as they allow citizens to provide direct input on the transportation planning process for their transportation planning area. The comments received through FHWA email, the MPO's website, Facebook and the public meeting included comments about concerns for regional connectivity for pedestrians and bicyclists and the process that the MPO board and committees hear about connectivity needs. One additional comment was received during the 30-day public comment period following the public meeting on August 11, 2020. We have reviewed all comments and have taken them into consideration throughout the writing of this report. The next page begins the public meeting minutes and the public comments received.
Public Meeting Minutes
Tuesday August 11 5:00 p.m.
Virtual via zoom.

Mike Sherman – Welcome. Cert Team is pleased to have everyone here to be part of the process. Many thanks to MPO for organizing and to FDOT as well. Background information and introductions of the FHWA and FTA staff. Cert Team is seeking public perspective on the area transportation process especially since the last cert in 2016. Cert is important to help MPO plan for regional needs and find economic solutions for future of the system. Transportation planning is a partnership between: FDOT, FTA, FHWA, Collier MPO, Transit Providers and interest groups and general public. Planning processes are through 3 Cs – continuing, comprehensive, cooperative and an unnamed 4th C – Coordinated. Essential planning products:
- UPWP (2 years with associated costs – ‘a planner’s plan’)
- LRTP (20 years that is cost feasible, local goals and PI)
- CMP (short term strategies for congestion and traffic problems)
- TIP (4-year list of feasible projects with funding identified)
- PPP (goals and strategies for public inclusion).

PI is intertwined throughout all these plans and all other activities. Questions for meeting attendees:
- is your voice heard?
- do you have access to the process?
- can you participate?

The Cert team will use publics’ comments from today or the next 30 days to help with the report. They are taken into consideration while we are evaluating the transportation planning process. All comments will be accepted; by phone, via email, via regular mail, through the MPO, etc.

Patty Huff – I live in rural Collier county east of Naples and I’m more concerned about regional connectivity for pedestrians and bicyclists. In 2016 I gave a presentation to the certification team in support of separated pathway connecting Naples and Miami via everglades. At that time Collier MPO supported the project. Several months after, in 2017 MPO rescinded support and redirected the funding for a PDE study for Marco Island to Everglades City for pathway. I wanted to give a full presentation to the BPAC. Plus, there were new board members that hadn’t been around in 2016. In the end, I had 3 minutes. There are a lot of peds and bikes that use US41 as means of travel, and besides connecting [connection terminated due to storm] Victoria and Anne have been very helpful and we still need a lot of regional connectivity to connect our communities.

Do you feel that your voice is heard, and your input is taken into consideration? Well, I don’t think they can ignore me. I’ve been involved for 15 years now and I am just recently on the BPAC and that is important to press for needs of the rural community. Victoria is good
about getting back to me and I understand there are lots of projects out there and I am concerned with them taking care of needs where there are the most fatalities - urban areas and that is important too. There are ways to make improvements in those areas. Also, there was a recent housing development in East Naples. People buying property there would benefit by having separate trail access to Publix and other services in other parts of East Naples. I want the county to look at these developers and have them help fund these trails.

How instrumental were you in the process of the feasibility study?

[Anne side note: BPAC is supportive but Patty has only been on BPAC since early spring and it had already voted on priorities by then].

My husband and I road our bikes on 92 for 7 miles and yes it is very dangerous, but it was also very nice. I’ve run down that road many times but it isn’t safe for those who aren’t experience. It would be good to have something for the tourists that come. Plus, there are more and more cyclists using 41 so when FDOT considers widening that area, it should really consider a separate pathway and maybe use some of Big Cypress. Trucks will go even faster if there is just a shoulder.

Dana Fendrick – We learned a valuable lesson (re ROGG). It was very frustrating having worked for 9 years on it and in the end didn’t even have a chance to make a full presentation to the Board. The opposition was able to quash it and they had plenty of time. Not fair because the MPO supported it for 9 years and then because of political changes, it was no longer supported. I think that the citizens’ work should have been given more consideration for the work they’d done, the money that various agencies spent. PDE discontinued on 92 to Everglades City there was useful info in it that didn’t get good consideration. The MPO board and staff haven’t looked at it recently, but there has been formal consultation conducted with the tribe and their concern went from a 5 to a 2 based on the consultation. I feel that design and minimization could reach an acceptable level. There is still value in that PDE study, and they should not let it just sit on the shelf.

[Anne: Patty wants to regain support for better bike connection to Everglades City. She is also concerned that MPO could not apply for Suntrail funds for US41. It was a disappointment because the County didn’t simply require the path to be built as part of the review process. The MPO were adamant this and last year that someone from this region submit for Suntrail funds but none of the entities would prepare the application to complete the gap on US41. FDOT encouraged it. Got all the way within 3 weeks of the submission deadline and still hadn’t succeeded in getting signature on the maintenance side from Collier county. It was an impasse between County and FDOT. So MPO submitted a whole new application for part of the Paradise Coast vision instead. Haven’t heard anything thus far on that. Very discouraging but believes it will take more time and communication to work out maintenance questions.

Recently had finished the BPAC master plan and a lot of public involvement beyond the BPAC including CAC and TAC. We had surmised that because the Conservancy of SW Florida had raised some significant concerns about the whole region and encouraged us to work within the existing paved footprint and not widen and grow if we could avoid it. The Conservancy also cautioned us about court settlements etc. We proposed modest improvements occur down there – wider shoulder, bike lane, etc. And yes it was Marco Island City that asked if it was feasible to do
more. Believes that the PDE for ROGG won’t be lost. FDOT has the record and it will be foundation for anything that happens on US41.]

[Victoria Peters: Feasibility study working hard and getting close to programming 92 from 41 to Goodland Road. That’s a popular biking spot and hoping for construction next year. In 2024, hoping for an asphalt path instead of just sidewalk for the area beyond. Believes this is important for transit access as well as for eco tourism. Patty has made some good suggestions, but it is all taking time.]

[Anne: two members of the CAC wanted this to be relayed to the Team – they’d like to know what FHWA and FTA are experiencing related to COVID. Are we going to change what we are doing in the LRTP due to COVID? Rather esoteric questions about future office space needs, etc. Anne told them it’s more for the next update.

FHWA and FTA discussed advances in PI and the need for ensuring equity despite the high and frequent participation numbers that electronic outreach is providing. Behaviors are changing and it will lead to a change in the way we see and use transportation.]

As there were no additional comments from attendees, the workshop was adjourned.

###
Social Media used: Facebook: No public comments were received though this medium

The Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will hold a public meeting regarding the Collier County area’s transportation planning process. Representatives of the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration and Florida Department of Transportation will be present and are interested in learning from you. This is an opportunity for all citizens to provide ideas, comments and questions about how transportation facilities and services are planned and coordinated in Collier County.

WHEN: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 starting at 5:00 p.m.
WHERE: Due to the Governor’s Executive Order, it is unknown if the meeting will be in person or virtual at this time. More information will be distributed in the near future.

Members of the Board of County Commissioners, Naples and Marco Island City Councils, and/or the Mayor of Everglades City may be in attendance.

For more information, call MPO Executive Director ANNE MCLAUGHLIN at (239) 252-5814.
Comment received via email:

From: Patrick Huff
To: Sherman, Michael (FHWA)
Subject: Collier MPO Review
Date: Thursday, August 13, 2020 6:06:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Michael,
I enjoyed listening to the TMA Certification Review and appreciate the opportunity to comment during and after the meetings. Please see below my written Public Comment. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks so much, Patty

PUBLIC COMMENT

I live in rural Collier County, approximately 30 miles east of Naples, so I am more concerned about regional connectivity for pedestrians and bicyclists in the county.

For the 2016 MPO Certification Review I was asked to give a presentation on the proposed separated pathway (River of Grass Greenway) which would have connected Naples to the Everglades and continue on to Miami. At that time the Collier MPO had supported this project for nine years. A Feasibility Study and Master Plan had been completed, and Steering Committee members for this project included the Collier MPO Executive Director and a representative from the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians as well as other stakeholders.

In early 2017, several months after my presentation to the Certification Review Team, the MPO Board voted to rescind its support although all three Advisory Committees - PAC, CAC, TAC - recommended that the MPO Board continue its support. During the same meeting, the Board also decided to redirect the allocated FDOT funds for the PD&E project for a pathway to Everglades City; this project had been partially completed and would have connected two cities in eastern Collier County.

More recently this year an application that was to be submitted to the SUN-Trail program for a 2-mile gap along US41 was abandoned due the failure of FDOT and Collier County to reach an agreement on maintenance. This gap is shown on the SUN-Trail Statewide Map.

Currently there is an opportunity to improve the safety conditions on SR29 between Immokalee and Everglades City by including a multi-use separated pathway along SR29 in FDOT’s plans to widen segments of this road. Cyclists use this road to ride from Ft. Myers to the Everglades area and beyond to the Keys. Big Cypress National Preserve has submitted a proposal for improving water quality in this area and has stated that a separated pathway would be feasible in their plan.

Pedestrians and cyclists have been and will continue to use US41 and SR29 as a means of travel and the safety of all users should be considered. Besides connecting the various communities, these roads pass through our national and state parks. It would be beneficial to both residents and tourists for the Collier County MPO to support and prioritize regional trails that connect towns, neighborhoods, parks and communities.

Everglades City is the only city in the county that does not have access to public transportation
to Naples and other areas of the county (except for paratransit which requires precertification).

Solutions/Recommendations:

1) It would be valuable for the MPO to listen and heed the recommendations of its advisory committees which are composed of dedicated community members, many who have had long and extensive experience with the county. There have been several instances in the past where the MPO Board has made decisions contrary to its advisory committees.

2) Utilize available funds for projects – funding has been available for specific regional projects but the projects have not passed the MPO Board.

3) Coordinate with other stakeholders:
   a) New housing developments are being built in east Collier County. This is an opportunity to be proactive in recommending and coordinating multi-use pathways as an amenity for prospective buyers; in east Collier there are several middle and low income families who currently ride their bicycles on US41 to the grocery, etc.
   b) The MPO could be more proactive in coordinating with the various national and state parks in southeast Collier regarding accessibility via pathways and public transit.

4) FDOT & County maintenance agreements – The FDOT and the County should come to an agreement on how maintenance should be handled so this will not be a deterrent or reason for projects not being submitted for funding.

5) With the 2019 OGT designation of Everglades City as a Florida Trail Town (the only Trail Town designated south of Lake Okeechobee), an Everglades City Bike/Ped Committee was formed and has interest in promoting safety in the southeast rural areas, including US41 & SR29. For the past four years local citizens have been communicating with FDOT about safety conditions along US41. Recommendations have included adding “Share the Road” signage, removal of the RPMs (Raised Pavement Markers) and adding bike lane signage. FDOT has been responsive and continues to work on improving safety but there are still some issues that are unresolved. A recommendation would be for MPO to take the lead and be committed to safety and connectivity on US41 and SR29 in this rural area. The interactive maps do not include any projects in the southeast rural areas.

6) Everglades City now has a representative on the MPO Board (Elaine Middelstaedt), on the TAC (Tim Brock), on the CAC (Tammy Pernas), and in the Spring of this year I was approved for an appointment on the BPAC. We hope that the participation by all of us will help the MPO recognize not only our community’s needs but also the importance of regional connectivity in the rural areas of Collier County.

Thank you,

Patty Huff
Everglades City
Appendix F. Status of Previous Certification Findings

The following is a summary of the previous corrective actions and recommendations made by the Federal Review Team to the Collier MPO. The MPO’s last certification review report was published in December 2016.

A. Corrective Actions

1. Congestion Management Process (CMP): As identified by 23 CFR 450.322(d)(6), the CMP needs to contain evaluation measures that must be used to provide feedback to determine the effectiveness of strategies in the CMP. The MPO has not determined the effectiveness of the CMP strategies. The MPO needs to submit follow-up correspondence and documentation in the CMP that provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of the identified strategies by June 30, 2017. This analysis must clearly reflect the results of the evaluation and how it will guide the selection and use of strategies for future implementation.

Update: The MPO took necessary actions to resolve the corrective action. FHWA/FTA sent formal correspondence on July 20, 2017, confirming that the corrective action had been satisfied.

B. Recommendations

1. Agreements: The Interlocal Agreement for Joint Regional Transportation Planning and Coordination between the Collier and Lee County MPOs has an automatic renewal period. The agreement was last signed in 2009 and is automatically updated every 5 years, with the option to review and make changes in the 5th year. We recommend that the Collier MPO review their agreement with the Lee MPO to address any changes in coordination efforts, in particular regarding the development of a joint regional transportation model and each MPO’s long range transportation plan.

Update: Collier and Lee County MPO staff have made a concerted effort to coordinate a review of the Interlocal Agreement and bring revisions forward to their respective boards to be acted upon in joint board meetings. The effort failed to result in adopting revisions due to the lack of a quorum on the part of Collier MPO in 2017 and the lack of a quorum on the part of the Lee County MPO in 2018. The two MPO staffs coordinated on another round of revisions in 2019 which were adopted by Collier MPO Board but were not introduced to the Lee County MPO Board, and the joint meeting was postponed with the intention of meeting in 2020 to coordinate the two 2045 LRTP updates. As of this report, the update to the Interlocal Regional Planning Joint Agreement remains unexecuted by both MPOs. The update adopted by Collier MPO in 2019, was never adopted by Lee MPO and is now out-of-date. After the two Boards elect new Chairpersons in 2021, a new updated agreement will be developed and actions to bring them to the two Boards will be pursued,
Although the agreement has not been updated, the MPOs have been collaborating as noted in the below reports.

1. Update from a 2017 Progress Report (FY16/17-17/18 UPWP Sixth Quarter, October 1-December 31, Update on Regional Collaboration from the 2018 Annual Report:

- Revised and presented amended Lee/Collier Interlocal Agreement to the MPO Board. MPO Board approved the amended interlocal agreement on 3/26/18.

2. Update on Regional Collaboration from the 2018 Annual Report

Regional Collaboration

Updated Regional Plans and Priorities

- Regional Transportation Alternative Program (RTAP) – Updated Priorities June 2018
- Transportation Regional Incentive Program (RTIP) – Updated Priorities June 2018
- Joint Regional Nonmotorized Transportation Network – Adopted by Collier MPO in October 2017; Lee County MPO did not achieve a quorum for the Joint MPO Board meeting on October 21, 2017 and subsequently decided not to adopt a Joint Regional Nonmotorized Transportation Network due to unresolved issues involving maintenance of paths/trails along state roads. Regional connectivity remains a desired outcome in the Collier MPO’s draft Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP). The draft BPMP is grappling with the maintenance issue and will brief the MPO Board on the approach in early 2019.
- Joint Regional Transportation Network - Collier MPO adopted a revised Network Map on October 13, 2017; due to lack of quorum on October 21, 2017, Lee County MPO did not adopt the updated Map.
- Updated list of Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) and Regional Transportation Alternative Program (RTAP) Priorities were adopted by both MPOs in June 2018.
- Collier MPO Board adopted an updated Interlocal Agreement on Regional Cooperation in 2017, but Lee County MPO may have some changes to propose. The two MPO Directors are working on an update to bring forward to both MPOs for adoption in 2019.

| • In preparation for scheduled Joint Lee County/Collier MPO Board and Advisory Committee meetings, prepared draft agendas and revised Joint Interlocal Agreement and provided to Lee County Director for discussion. Coordinated with Lee County Director on possible places to meet; after being informed of scheduling conflict with Florida Association of Counties annual meeting, to be held in Washington, DC, began working with Lee County Director to identify alternative meeting dates for Joint Board meeting; ultimately concluded that we could not accommodate the schedules of both Boards in October or November of 2019, so postponed joint meetings of Boards and Advisory Committees until 2020. |

2. **Freight:** With the increased emphasis on Freight in the FAST Act, and the resulting planning regulation’s focus on the importance of incorporating providers of freight and freight stakeholders in the planning process, the Federal Review Team recommends that the MPO put forth a stronger effort to build relationships with the freight and manufacturing industry. The MPO is encouraged to seek opportunities to attend freight industry meetings and events to educate them on the MPO process and to help the industry understand that they too have a seat at the table and a stake in the transportation planning process.

The MPO’s highlights freight on its website. However, the information posted there is over eight years old. The Federal Review Team recommends that the MPO replace the outdated information with more up to date freight information and activities. A link to the old freight study can remain, but it should not be the focal point.

**Update:** The MPO followed up on this recommendation by getting up-to-speed on freight issues in Collier County. Staff requested and received training from the FDOT District 1 Freight Coordinator in September 2016, in which the Collier County Transportation Planning Division Director also participated.

The FDOT District 1 Freight Coordinator notifies MPO staff of upcoming D1 Freight Coordination meetings so staff can attend. MPO staff participate in Freight Coordination meetings held in Immokalee in Spring 2017 and again in 2019. MPO staff attended the Florida Freight Mobility and Trade Plan Update kick-off meeting in Avon Park in May 2019.

The FDOT District 1 Freight Coordinator provided contact information for Collier area freight industry representatives which the MPO added to the Adviser Network Listserve so they receive notice of plans underway and the opportunity to comment online or by attending a meeting.
In 2018, 2019 and 2020, MPO staff, with assistance from FDOT and the MPOAC’s consultant, submitted freight priority projects to the MPOAC in support of FDOT’s programmed widening of SR29.

The MPO website was completely redesigned in 2019. Older documents were kept on-line if they remain in effect. The 2040 LRTP remains in effect and the Freight Tech Memo dated November 2015 remains relevant and so can be found on-line. The MPO is developing the 2045 LRTP. The consultant, Jacobs Engineering, will provide an updated Tech Memo on Freight as part of the process, which will then be posted on-line and incorporated into the 2045 LRTP.

3. **Security:** The MPO has experienced some staffing changes since testing the COOP in 2013. The MPO’s COOP specifies in Section X that a “COOP Test, Training and Exercise Plan” will be completed by June 1st of each year. The federal review team recommends that the MPO, as a sound management and operating practice, test and provide training on their COOP annually. This test and training will assist the MPO in identifying vulnerabilities in the plan that need to be updated.

**Update:** MPO staff reviews and updates the COOP on an annual basis. The MPO Director briefs staff on the COOP and conducts a test/training session in June of each year during a staff meeting. The MPO Director coordinates with Collier County’s Growth Management Department so that MPO staff is included in plans for emergency evacuations and responses to other emergency situations. MPO staff has implemented the COOP on several occasions since the 2016 TMA Certification Review: on September 8, 2017 in preparation for Hurricane Irma; on October 9, 2018 in preparation for Hurricane Michael; and in March 2020 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic as directives became available from the County Manager, the MPO Board, the Governor’s Office and guidance from FDOT, FHWA and FTA. The MPO is drafted revisions to the COOP to address lessons learned during the pandemic and presented those updates for approval to the Board at its September 11, 2020 meeting.

4. **Safety:** Federal Review Team observed that the MPO has focused their safety analysis primarily on transit and pedestrian modes, but does not perform this same level of analysis on vehicular related projects using crash data. The Federal Review Team recommends that the MPO continue to work with the FDOT District Safety Coordinator and the Office of Safety to fully incorporate crash data and crash data analysis into their planning process and project development. We further recommended that the MPO work with other MPOs in the state and the MPOAC to understand/learn how they can utilize available crash data and hot spot analyses.

**Update:** The MPO prioritized a project recommended by the Congestion Management Committee in 2012 to conduct a County-wide Strategic Highway
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Safety Plan. FDOT programmed the project beginning in FY 2019, with Collier County as the lead. The County agreed to transfer management responsibility to the MPO and the MPO Board approved the consulting contract in October 2019. The plan, now referred to as the Local Road Safety Plan, is scheduled to be completed in the second half of FY2020. The report is due in early May on the first phase of the project – review and analysis of crash data (vehicular and bike/ped). The second phase will recommend strategies and budgets for programs and policies that can reduce the loss of life, injuries and property damage from crashes. The project is identified in the MPO’s UPWP and in Appendix J of the FY2021-2 TIP.

5. **Public Involvement Plan (PPP):** PPPs are living documents that can always be improved despite meeting the letter of law. In that spirit, and while the MPO’s document is not deficient, there are a few considerations the Team believes will add value to the overall PPP:

   a. The MPO lists the name, title and contact information of the person responsible for administering the PPP, in compliance with 23 CFR 450.316(a). However, plan information is not consistent with contact information on the website. The MPO should scrub contact information on its website and various documents to ensure it is accurate and consistent.

   **Update:** The MPO completed a major revision of its website in April 2019. The MPO endeavors to maintain up-to-date contact information, studies and plans on the website. The MPO recently reorganized the Public Involvement tab to add a page on Civil Rights as recommended by FHWA. The MPO anticipates making additional improvements to the website as time allows.

   b. The MPO is compliant with the requirement of 23 CFR 450.316(a)(1) and has any number of partners and stakeholders that provide input into planning products, including the PPP. The Federal Review Team recommends that the MPO include an acknowledgement page in the PPP documenting the names of organizations and people who were consulted during or assisted with plan development. Adding this information would increase transparency and give the MPO an opportunity to express its thanks, if appropriate.

   **Update:** The MPO’s current PPP amendment includes a list of organizations and references the 400 individuals on the MPO’s Adviser Network email listserv that were consulted in preparing the current amendment. The MPO Director has thanked the representatives of federal land management agencies who responded to outreach by expressing their interest in reviewing the MPO’s LRTP and TIP during their development as well as in final form. The Director also thanked the single individual who has thus far submitted written comments on the draft amendment.
c. The PPP describes how it acknowledges public comments/questions for the LRTP and TIP, and that comments are provided to the Board for consideration, consistent with both 23 CFR 450.316(a)(1)(vi) and (a)(1)(viii). The MPO might consider an expansive explanation of ‘significant comments’ and how they could impact decision making.

**Update:** The MPO added a definition of “significant comments” to the major rewrite of the PPP that was completed and adopted by the MPO Board in February 2019. Specifically, the PPP says, on p.28:

“**LRTP AND TIP**

When “significant” written and oral comments are received on the draft LRTP and TIP (including the financial plans) as a result of the participation process in this section or the interagency consultation process required under the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) transportation conformity regulations (40 C.F.R. Part 93, Subpart A), a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of comments are required to be included in the final LRTP and TIP. [23 C.F.R. 450.316(a)(2)]”

The term, “**significant**” is used in State statutes and Federal law but remains undefined.

---

**THE COLLIER MPO DEFINES “SIGNIFICANT” AS ANY COMMENT THAT COULD POTENTIALLY RESULT IN A CHANGE TO THE SCOPE OF A PROJECT OR STUDY, TO REPORTING ON EXISTING CONDITIONS THAT LEADS TO DEFINING ISSUES AND RECOMMENDING SOLUTIONS IN TERMS OF PROJECTS OR POLICIES.**

---

d. In compliance with 23 CFR 450.316(b)(3)(c), the PPP discusses the MPO’s involvement with two area Indian tribes that, as with other MPOs, is accomplished via certified mail with varying levels of response. The Federal Review Team is excited about the tribal program experience the new Executive Director brings specifically to the MPO and generally to Florida.
She has plans for expanding tribal outreach and the Team looks forward to following the MPO's activities.

**Update:** The MPO followed up on the Team's recommendations by preparing a draft Government to Government Public Involvement Policy in consultation with the Miccosukee and Seminole tribal governments, which the MPO Board adopted in 2017. The MPO expedited work on the draft policy in response to concerns the Miccosukee Tribe raised regarding the process followed by project proponents in developing the Master Plan for the River of Grass Greenway.

The Government to Government policy is incorporated in the MPO’s PPP as Appendix A (p33). Formal requests for consultation have been made by former MPO Chairs on two occasions, both of which were honored by the Miccosukee Tribal Chair and resulted in meetings between the MPO Chair and the Miccosukee Tribal Council. Additional specific information regarding this recommendation can be found in the Division’s file for the MPO’s response to the findings.

e. The PPP contains a robust list of performance measures in compliance with 23 CFR 450.316(a)(1)(x) and the Team collected several solid examples of results being used to make decisions. The MPO should consider better documenting its review of Plan performance so that its decisions are more transparent. This need not be a burdensome process and could itself become part of the MPO’s outreach. For example, reports of participation in community events can contain pictures and notable activities, demonstrating both effectiveness of the PPP and demonstrating MPO branding, MPO integration into the community and numerous other assessments.

**Update:** In December 2017, the MPO began the practice of consolidating and reporting on all performance measures in the form of an Annual Report presented to the Board at the end of the calendar year. The Annual Report includes tracking and reporting on the effectiveness of public outreach. This in turn informs making refinements to the PPP. The following provides excerpts from the 2018 Annual Report (p15) as an example:
Tracking Public Comments

MPO staff is tracking the number of public comments resulting from specific outreach activities in order to gauge their effectiveness. Staff is also tracking how public comments affect policy development. This is a longer-term research project that staff will report on as the series of plans currently underway are completed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Outreach Activities</th>
<th>Comments Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 Farmers Markets</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Commissioner Open House Presentations</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 CRA meetings</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everglades City Council Presentation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiki Maps</td>
<td>472*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Survey</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Issue Driven - Crown Dr</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Issue Driven - Wiggins Pass</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other public comments</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*381 (81%) made by one person; 52 (11%) made by 5 other commenters; 39 (8%) made by 23 other people

Effectiveness of public outreach - Initial observations

- Surveys may generate a good rate of response with potential diversity of people/geography. However, for the Bike Ped plan 80% of surveys came from the north-west portion of the county roughly bounded by Davis Blvd to the south; Collier Blvd to the east; and Lee County/the ocean to the north/west. There was little control over the distribution of the surveys and who answered them including having potential multiple surveys by one person/group. Survey instrument for plan could have been better.

- Outreach at farmers’ markets etc. generates significant comment but comments may be geographically centric to where the market is. Can achieve good demographic distribution.

- Wiki maps was a good idea but with relatively poor results. One way to improve would be to limit responses by IP address or some other unique identifier.

- Better method(s) needed to achieve greater demographic and geographic representation to more closely match the population. It is difficult to get public input from certain groups/segments of the population. Doing so may involve costs/resources beyond which the MPO can afford.

- A combination of outreach with surveys may be a good tactic to explore.
6. **Public Involvement**: The MPO should pursue the use of social media to the extent allowed by Collier County. Though considered a noteworthy practice in past years, MPOs are discovering that social media plays a critical role in reaching a greater diversity of users, particularly younger age groups that traditionally do not participate in transportation decision making. Social media also allows users to stay informed in a manner never possible in the industry and provides for convenient, data-rich evaluation. The Federal Review Team understands that some local governments have limitations on the use and expansion of social media, citing concerns over privacy, security and content control. Nevertheless, the Team encourages the MPO to both explore and document attempts to further use social media.

**Update:** The 2019 PPP placed additional emphases on expanding public involvement through the use of social media and the development of an informal Adviser Network. The 2019 Annual Report reflects that these two initiatives were still in their infancy at the end of the calendar year, with 52 individuals on the Adviser Network and limited responses to MPO postings on the County’s Facebook page. The Adviser Network has since grown to over 400 members and a public opinion survey on traffic congestion in Collier County posted on Facebook generated 2,760 completed survey questionnaires.

The following is an excerpt from a 2017 Progress Report (FY 16/17-17/18 Sixth Quarter Oct 1-December 31., p7) describing the Best Practices research conducted in preparing to update the PPP:

- Reviewed Best Practices – examples from other Florida MPOs – prepared preliminary draft of a new Public Involvement Plan to meet current state and federal requirements, eliminate unnecessary and ineffective activities, broaden MPO’s outreach to traditionally underserved communities and expand use of on-line surveys, interactive website; and social media.

7. **Public Involvement (Website)**: The Collier MPO website should be a timely, current and accurate reflection of the MPO’s programs, services and activities. We strongly recommend that the MPO scrub the website to ensure efficient navigation, working hyperlinks, and current documentation (updating the listing of MPO agreements, contact information, safety plans, freight, etc.). For example, the LRTP supporting technical documents are located on multiple webpages and the LRTP webpage does not provide references to the specific locations of the supporting documentation. A current and accurate website will aid in increasing transparency for the public.
Update: The MPO completed a major revision of its website in April 2019 and has received much positive feedback on the new design. The MPO constantly reviews the website in order to identify nonworking hyperlinks and the timeliness of the information provided. As an example, the following is a snapshot of the Latest News on the website homepage on May 7, 2020.

8. **Title VI (Environmental Justice):** The MPO has improved its use of demographic data in informing planning decisions other than simply in the public involvement or transportation disadvantaged programs. As with other Florida MPOs, the MPO appreciates that nondiscrimination and equity considerations are required in all federally funded programs, services and activities, including the LRTP and TIP. While community characteristics inventories and Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) are excellent sources of data and a good start, the Federal Review Team recommends that demographics and other data be used to screen plans and/or projects for adverse impacts and equitable distribution of benefits. The Team understands that Title VI and environmental justice (EJ) considerations in planning may be more general than later in the project development process. Nevertheless, policies, projects and other activities advanced to benefit, or to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse impacts on minority and other communities should be described in all MPO plans. Fortunately, FHWA, FTA, EPA and other agencies have released tools that should provide some practical assistance for furthering equity principles in planning as required by Executive Order 12898, FHWA Order 6640.23A, 23 CFR 200.9, FTA Circular C4703.1 and other federal authorities.

Update: The MPO found the FHWA recommendation helpful as an educational opportunity to report to the Board and advisory committees on EJ
considerations. See 2019 PPP, Appendix E: Traditionally Underserved Communities, p58. The MPO followed up with a review of the current TDSP, then augmented the TDSP maps using a variety of resources as recommended. These included: US Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS), AASHTO Census Transportation Planning Products (CTPP), and the US EPA Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool. The MPO considered comments made by advisory committees in reviewing the preliminary findings (for local knowledge.) Staff's preliminary analysis was summarized in the 2017 Annual Report:

The mapping that MPO staff developed in-house was further refined by the consultant working on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (BPMP), which was adopted in early 2019. The EJ criteria used for the BPMP were minority status, poverty, no access to a vehicle, and limited ability to speak English. EJ areas were defined as areas where the criteria were 10% greater than the County average. The resulting map factored in the weighting criteria developed to prioritize Bike- Ped projects. The BPMP addresses EJ communities in its project prioritization. The map and EJ analysis completed for the BPMP was added to the current PPP (See p60, image below). EJ considerations are included as project evaluation criteria in the draft 2045 LRTP. The development of the 2045 LRTP may result in further refining the EJ map and project prioritization criteria.
9. **Title VI:** The MPO believes that the current Title VI/Nondiscrimination Program Plan housed in the Growth Management Division is too general to meet its growing needs and specific planning demands and has recently completed a draft plan that will hopefully receive board approval. In the meantime, the Federal Review Team recommends the MPO:

a. Scrub online and planning documents to ensure that nondiscrimination contact information is accurate and consistent.

**Update:** The MPO completed a revision to its Title VI/Nondiscrimination Program Plan and inserted it into the updated PPP. The MPO completed a major revision of its website in April 2019 and strives to maintain accurate and consistent online planning documents and contact information.

b. Consider partnering with FHWA and FDOT in providing a practical workshop for the MPO and the staff of area TPOs, to peer review required documents; share strong practices; and discuss upcoming national changes to the program.

**Update:** MPO staff attended regional Civil Rights training hosted by FHWA/FDOT in August 2016 (Ft. Lauderdale); December 2016 (Sarasota); November 2017 (Arcadia). The MPO’s current focus is on addressing ADA
requirements pertaining to its website. The staff member who was most familiar with the methodology for meeting Web Content Accessibility Guidelines resigned recently. The MPO is reviewing the Standard Operating Procedures he provided in order to apply them to new material that is posted.

c. Consider adding a nondiscrimination or civil rights link to the MPO website home page or perhaps all MPO site pages; something very simple like ‘for questions about our commitment to nondiscrimination, click here’. This is a way of providing important program information without forcing the public to access or read an entire plan.

**Update:** FHWA’s recent review of the redesigned MPO website resulted in similar recommendations again coming forward. As a result, the MPO has revised the website to add a page on Civil Rights under the Public Involvement tab on the home screen. The MPO is still in the process of addressing other improvements that FHWA suggested. [https://www.colliermopo.org/get-involved/civil-rights/](https://www.colliermopo.org/get-involved/civil-rights/)

10. **Title VI (ADA/504):** Under 28 CFR 35.105, all public entities, including MPOs are required to conduct a self-evaluation of programs and services for accessibility and where deficiencies are discovered, make necessary modifications for compliance. Though transition planning requirements under 28 CFR 35.150(d)(3) apply to those entities with control over pedestrian rights of way, MPOs share a common minimum obligation; to ensure that all planning products include accessibility considerations and to involve the community with disabilities and their service representatives in the planning process. The Collier MPO has demonstrated substantially compliant nondiscrimination programming. However, the Federal Review Team recommends that Collier MPO further enhance ADA compliance for its county and all of its local public agencies by coordinating survey/study activities; sharing PROW and condition data; identifying partners in need of training or technical assistance; and keeping FHWA and FDOT abreast of innovative programs or cost effective tools that might assist public agencies with meeting ADA requirements.

**Update:** The MPO constantly forwards information provided by FHWA, FTA and FDOT to its planning partners and member agencies regarding opportunities to participate in ADA trainings, for technical assistance, grant programs and the latest studies and reports. The MPO included current ADA design guidance in developing the Design Guidelines included in the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan adopted in 2019. The MPO Board consistently supports the project priorities submitted by the County PTNE Division to design and construct ADA
enhancements at bus stops and sidewalk projects that enhance ADA compliance County-wide. The MPO does not create or maintain Public Right-of-Way (PROW) and condition data. However, the MPO is always willing to share data and information that we receive and provide connections between multiple agencies.
## Appendix G. Acronym List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ</td>
<td>Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAAA</td>
<td>Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP</td>
<td>Cost Feasible Plan (of the LRTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAQ</td>
<td>Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Congestion Management Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Division Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE</td>
<td>Disadvantaged Business Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHHS</td>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ</td>
<td>Environmental Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETDM</td>
<td>Efficient Transportation Decision Making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>Florida Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>Federal Transit Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Federal Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>Highway Safety Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMS Reviews</td>
<td>Highway Performance Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTEA</td>
<td>Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>Intelligent Transportation Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP</td>
<td>Limited English Proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRTP</td>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;O</td>
<td>Management and Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP-21</td>
<td>Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOA</td>
<td>Memorandum of Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPOAC</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAAQS</td>
<td>National Ambient Air Quality Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHI</td>
<td>National Highway Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTI</td>
<td>National Transit Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEA</td>
<td>Planning Emphasis Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public Participation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Regional Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTIP</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Implementation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>Safe, Accountable, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC</td>
<td>Regional Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFY</td>
<td>State Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA</td>
<td>State Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSP</td>
<td>Strategic Highway Safety Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>State Implementation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Standard Operating Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>Single Occupancy Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>State Planning and Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP</td>
<td>Statewide Transportation Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP</td>
<td>Surface Transportation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>Transit Asset Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMP</td>
<td>Transportation Asset Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAZ</td>
<td>Transportation Analysis Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>Transportation Control Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>Transportation Demand Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA-21</td>
<td>Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP</td>
<td>Transportation Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title VI</td>
<td>Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>Transportation Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMIP</td>
<td>Travel Model Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Transportation Planning Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPCB</td>
<td>Transportation Planning Capacity Building Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM</td>
<td>Transportation Performance Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAB</td>
<td>Urban Area Boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPWP</td>
<td>Unified Planning Work Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZA</td>
<td>Urbanized Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT</td>
<td>Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
January 31, 2021

Councilwoman Elaine Middelstaedt, Chair  
Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization  
2885 South Horseshoe Drive  
Naples, FL 34104

Subject: Federal Certification of the Bonita Springs Urbanized Area Transportation Management Area (TMA) Planning Process – Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (TPO)

Dear Councilwoman Middelstaedt:

Federal law requires the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to jointly review and certify the metropolitan transportation planning process for each Transportation Management Area (TMA) every four years. A Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) with an urbanized area of 200,000 or more in population is referred to, in federal legislation, as a TMA. We recently conducted a review of the Bonita Springs TMA, more commonly referred to as the Collier Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).

As a part of the TMA certification review process, FHWA and FTA utilized a risk-based approach containing various factors to determine which topic areas required additional evaluation during the certification review. The certification review process is one of several methods used to assess the quality of a regional metropolitan transportation planning process, compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, as well as the degree of technical assistance needed to enhance the effectiveness of the planning process. This certification review was conducted to highlight best practices, identify opportunities for improvements, and ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.

The review of the Collier MPO’s planning process included a site visit conducted by representatives from the FHWA and the FTA on August 11-13, 2020. During the site visit, time was spent with the MPO staff, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and the transit agency to discuss the status of the MPO’s “3-C” planning process. Throughout the site visit, opportunities were afforded to local elected/appointed officials and the general public to provide their insights on the Collier MPO’s planning process. In addition to assessing the MPO’s progress in addressing the findings from the previous certification review, the MPO’s current and/or future implementation of the metropolitan transportation planning requirements was also considered.
Enclosed for your consideration is the final *TMA Certification Review Report* for the Bonita Springs TMA, which includes documentation of the various components of the FHWA/FTA certification review of the Collier MPO. The report provides an overview of the TMA certification review process, summarizes the various discussions from the recent site visit, provides a series of review findings, and issues the FHWA/FTA certification action. In general, the review determined the existence of a “3-C” metropolitan transportation planning process that satisfies the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303/5305, and associated Federal requirements. The Federal Review Team identified five (5) noteworthy practices, one (1) corrective action, and two (2) recommendations to improve the current planning process of the Collier MPO. The MPO proactively addressed the corrective action before this report was published, and no further action is required.

Based on the overall findings, the FHWA and the FTA jointly certify that the transportation planning process of the Bonita Springs TMA, which is comprised entirely by the Collier MPO, substantially meets the federal planning requirements in 23 CFR 450 Subpart C. This certification will remain in effect until December 2024.

If you have any questions regarding the certification review process and/or the *TMA Certification Review Report*, please contact Ms. Stacie Blizzard by phone at (850) 553-2223 or by email at Stacie.Blizzard@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

**FOR:** Jamie Christian, P.E.
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

**Yvette G. Taylor**, PhD
Regional Administrator
Federal Transit Administration

**cc:** Anne McLaughlin, Collier MPO
Cathy Kendall, FHWA
Karen Brunelle, FHWA
Stacie Blizzard, FHWA
Keith Melton, FTA (Region 4)
John Crocker, FTA (Region 4)
Victoria Peters, FDOT District 4
Wayne Gaither, FDOT District 4
Mark Reichert, FDOT
Erika Thompson, FDOT
Carl Mikyska, MPOAC
Ms. Blizzard,

Thank you very much for your follow-up and response, I greatly appreciate it. As a kid, I never did memorize that little rhyme about "30 days hath September..." so I was a day off on the public comment period! Thank you for distributing my comment letter to the interested parties and keeping a copy within the Division's certification review file.

I understand that political and staff turnover in short-term cycles is a challenge for many agencies dealing with long-term planning tasks. It's a reality we all have to factor into our expectations and remain committed to staying involved and speaking out. It's encouraging to see the value you place on public participation and input into transportation planning efforts. Be assured I fully intend to remain active in the MPO committee and provide citizen input to influence decision-makers to create better outcomes - safer and more enjoyable transportation options and healthier communities.

Thanks again for your in-depth response.

Best regards,

Dayna L. Fendrick, RLA, AICP
URBAN GREEN STUDIO

Office: 239-263-4029
Cell: 239-777-5806
dayna@urbangreenstudio.com

P.O. Box 111841
Naples, FL 34108

---

From: Stacie.Blizzard@dot.gov
Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 4:49 PM
To: dayna urbangreenstudio.com <dayna@urbangreenstudio.com>
Cc: Anne.McLaughlin@colliercountyFL.gov <Anne.McLaughlin@colliercountyFL.gov>; emiddelstaedt@cityofeverglades.org <emiddelstaedt@cityofeverglades.org>; OteroBrandy <Brandy.Otero@colliercountyfl.gov>; Gaither, Wayne <Wayne.Gaither@dot.state.fl.us>; Peters, Victoria <Victoria.Peters@dot.state.fl.us>; mark.reichert dot.state.fl.us
Ms. Fendrick,

Thank you so much for following up on your comments on the Collier TMA certification. The Collier TMA Certification Report issued by FHWA and FTA included those comments received within the 30 public comment period. Unfortunately, your comments sent on day 31 did not make it into the report. I am glad you were able to convey some of your concerns for the record at the public meeting held on August 11, 2020. They are an important part of the meeting transcript, which is included in the certification report.

Michael Sherman, whose last day at FHWA was also the day you sent your email comment, forwarded your recent follow-up to us at FHWA. We believe that your email makes some important points that would benefit the MPO and FDOT to see. I have copied the MPO Board Chair, MPO Executive Director, as well as FDOT staff on this response, which also has your September 11, 2020 email comments attached. We will also retain a copy of this email within the Division’s certification review file.

Your email comments address some of the challenges that many agencies face – maintaining project momentum and institutional knowledge during turnover of staff, decisionmakers, and even public participants. We experienced this with our recent staff turnover on the Collier certification. This prevented us from addressing your comment in a more timely manner, and for that, we sincerely apologize. For MPOs, citizen and staff technical committees can be an important bridge for sharing the institutional knowledge and maintaining project momentum. While I understand your disappointment that after many years of hard work, you feel that the Board did not implement the committees’ recommendations on the River of Grass Greenway project, I hope you will not be discouraged. Your participation in the planning process is important, and I hope you will continue to be active in the MPO committees.

Please let me know if there is anything you would like to discuss further.

Stacie Blizzard

Stacie E. Blizzard
Transportation Planning Specialist
Federal Highway Administration - Florida
Good morning Michael,

I've reviewed the Draft Report and found it interesting and reflective of the thorough process your team went through. I have one question for you - it appears that the written comments that I had sent after the public Zoom meeting are not included in the report. I thought I had sent them in by the deadline (emailed 9/11/20) but perhaps I had the incorrect date in mind?

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment during the review process.

Dayna L. Fendrick, RLA, AICP
URBAN GREEN STUDIO

Office: 239-263-4029
Cell: 239-777-5806
dayna@urbangreenstudio.com

P.O. Box 111841
Naples, Fl. 34108
Good evening Michael,

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the public comment portion of the FHWA review. To follow up on my comments during the public Zoom meeting, I've attached further thoughts for your consideration.

Best regards,

Dayna L. Fendrick, RLA, AICP
URBAN GREEN STUDIO

Office: 239-263-4029
Cell: 239-777-5806
dayna@urbangreenstudio.com

P.O. Box 111841
Naples, Fl. 34108
These comments are to follow up on some of my statements at the Public meeting portion of the Certification Review and to address additional topics.

**BEST PRACTICES/LESSONS LEARNED**

**RIVER OF GRASS GREENWAY DECISION** – While I recognize that the MPO Board is free to make decisions that I may disagree with, I have issues with the process and how the decision was made. In my opinion, the resolution to rescind support of the River of Grass Greenway in Feb. 2017 was a poorly made, rushed decision.

- Several newly elected (Nov 2016) Board members were completely uninformed (or misinformed by accounts in the media) about the project. The new members had not been involved with any of the numerous public workshops, stakeholder’s meetings or other events during the 5-6 year process in developing the Feasibility Study. The MPO Executive Director was also fairly new to the position and had not been involved with the process.

- Supporters of the project requested the opportunity to present the recently completed Feasibility Study & Master Plan, as it was a 400+ page document, and not easily absorbed. We were not provided that opportunity, so we never got to present the results of 5-6 years of work that had previously been supported by the MPO.

- During the public meeting on the project, The MPO Chair allowed the opponents (Miccosukee Tribe) unlimited time to speak, while the supporters of the ROGG were limited to the usual 3 minutes each. There was actually a motion made to rescind support before any supporters had even had the chance to speak.

- The Board could have deferred the ruling to allow time to become more informed, as there was no compelling reason to make a snap decision, but they chose to move ahead.

In doing so, the Board showed great disrespect for the hundreds of hours invested by the public, various agencies and stakeholders in the process.

The issue of Government-to-Government communication was raised very late in the game, after the Feasibility Study was complete. The design team had been told consistently by the National Park Service (who was managing the grant) that consultation with the Tribe was not required for a Feasibility Study and would take place at the PD&E stage. The MPO staff and previous Director attended numerous stakeholder’s meetings, along with FDOT staff members, and none of these entities, who presumably would be informed on the topic, ever raised a red flag on the issue.

In my opinion, the Gov’t to Gov’t issue created a great deal of confusion and led to a defensive mindset to avoid potential exposure. Again, the Board could have deferred action to allow more time to sort things out, but instead made a hasty decision.
At the same meeting, the Board decided to discontinue the PD&E study that was being done for the portion of the project from CR 92 to SR 29, and re-distribute the remaining funds. No one at the MPO had actually reviewed the PD&E study to see what had been found regarding the environmental and cultural impacts, which were the major concerns. Had they reviewed the PD&E report, they would have found that a formal consultation had actually been conducted with the Tribe and as a result, their level of concern had gone from a level 5 to a level 2. So apparently there was potential to manage the design and impacts to an acceptable degree.

This decision has negatively impacted the rural connectivity to Everglades City and Immokalee, since at the same meeting, the Board also decided to remove the existing SR 29 and US 41 facilities from the Regional Trails map, which I considered to be completely irresponsible for a Transportation Planning Board. It should be noted that Everglades City did not have effective representation on the Board at that time, and the results may have come out differently if we had. We are thankful to have Elaine Middelstaedt step up and fulfill that role these last 3 years.

EFFECTS ON OUTREACH / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
Part of the fallout from this decision was that many relationships with local Bike/Ped Advocacy groups and leaders were damaged. It was clear to the volunteer committees – the CAC, BPAC and TAC, who had all supported the project – that their voices were ignored.

The legitimacy of the ROGG study was called into question since it was a citizen-led effort and prepared by outside consultants, rather than being managed through the Collier MPO process. This raises the question of whether the MPO will accept citizen-led projects as valid, and if the MPO can operate as a reliable collaborative partner with other advocacy groups, such as the NPC (Naples Pathways Coalition) current efforts on the Paradise Coast Greenway.

GOOD GOVERNANCE & DECISION-MAKING
Political turnover creates uncertainty for these long-term projects that take years to advance. The local advocacy partner groups are assuming risk in their planning efforts and would benefit from consistent goals and direction from political leadership. For the MPO staff and Director, as well as Collier County Transportation staff to be involved for several years with the study, and to be fully informed, just to have a new batch of political leaders pull the rug out, is not good governance.

This question of good decision-making and respecting the public process is magnified now that we have the M-CORES toll roads being thrust upon us. While the M-CORES roads are not MPO-managed, it goes to the larger issue of responsible decision-making and credible public input for such consequential projects.
OTHER GENERAL COMMENTS
The LRTP update so far is still very car-centric and basically just calls for more and bigger roads. I would like to see the MPO place more emphasis on improved public transit and promoting better transportation alternatives for the future. The COVID situation has prompted many cities and counties to re-think how much space we are giving away to cars and finding ways to re-make places that are healthier and more enjoyable for humans. Just tacking on bike paths and a sidewalk to a 6-lane high speed arterial does not make it walkable or bike-friendly, especially once you get to an intersection with multiple turn lanes.

It seems the MPO is hesitant to dictate to the County Transportation Dept on policy matters – I think it would be more productive for the MPO to make it clear that they will reward funding to Multi-modal, interconnective projects and NOT support conventional 6-lane capacity projects that simply perpetuate the unsustainable sprawl model.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The MPO Board should recognize that their volunteer committees are the best conduit for informed public input. The Board should respect the value of their historical knowledge and local understanding of bike/ped facilities.
2. Recognize the need for safety and connectivity in the rural southeast part of the County. Since the Board disapproved the separated pathway along US 41, they have an obligation to make the current condition as safe as possible.
3. Options for the 3 segments of SR 29 that are undergoing PD&E studies for widening should consider separated pathways, since this will be a heavy freight corridor. For many cross-state cyclists, this is the preferred route as it avoids the urban traffic area. Pedestrians, fishermen and cyclists have been and will continue to use US41 and SR29 as a means of travel and for recreation. Big Cypress National Preserve has put forward a study that would take a more holistic approach to the roadway design & integration w/ water management that should be considered.
4. As a State-designated Florida Trail Town, Everglades City and the surrounding area is interested in regional trails that would connect to our nearby state and national parks and neighboring communities.
5. Encourage more interaction with the Office of Greenways & Trails (OGT) and take advantage of funding available through the SUN Trail program.
6. The MPO should operate more independently of the County Transportation Dept and push the County to better land use/transportation alternatives.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
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